


GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

AMARAVATI DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD.



ANDHRA PRADESH CAPITAL
REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

SMART INTEGRATED INFRASTRUCTURE MASTER PLAN AND DETAILED PROJECT
REPORTS FOR PHASE-I INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS FOR/AT AMARAVATI CAPITAL CITY -
"DETAILED PROJECT REPORTS AND DETAILED DESIGNS ON DEVELOPMENT OF
ARTERIAL AND SUB-ARTERIAL INTEGRATED ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE & DEVELOPMENT
OF EAST-WEST AND NORTH-SOUTH URBAN ROADS INCLUDING INTERCHANGES/
GRADE SEPARATORS"



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR 10 PRIORITY ROADS: E6, E8, E12, N9, N4, N11, N14, E10, E14 & N16

August 2017

	<p><i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i></p>	<p><i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i></p>
--	---	---

CONTENTS

Chapter 0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	i
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Objectives.....	2
1.2 Scope of the Study.....	3
1.3 Need for Environmental Impact Assessment.....	4
1.4 Project Proponent.....	4
1.5 Generic Structure of EIA report.....	4
Chapter 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	6
2.0 Project Location.....	6
2.1 Description of the Proposed Project.....	7
2.1.1 Major Arterial Roads.....	8
2.1.2 Arterial and Sub-arterial road network.....	8
2.1.3 Collector road network.....	8
2.1.4 Proposed Road Elements.....	13
2.2 Salient features of the Project Area and influence area.....	19
2.3 Study of existing amenities.....	21
2.3.1 Terrain.....	21
2.3.2 Land Use.....	21
2.3.3 Villages and Towns.....	22
2.4 Drainage Pattern.....	23
2.5 Traffic Study Analysis.....	25
2.6 Requirement of Natural Resources for the Project.....	25
Chapter 3 APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY.....	30
3.0 Approach and Methodology.....	30
3.1 Sub-Project Influence Area.....	31

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

3.2 Task 1: Collection of Baseline Environmental Data.....	31
3.2.1 Secondary Baseline Data.....	31
3.2.2 Primary Baseline Data.....	31
3.3 Task 2: Review of Policies, Regulations and Institutional Arrangements.....	34
3.4 Task 3: Identification of Potential Environmental Impacts.....	35
3.5 Task 4: Preparation of Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan.....	35

Chapter 4 ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....37

4.0 Environmental Regulatory Framework.....	37
4.1 Institutional Framework.....	37
4.2 Applicability of International, National, State and Local Environmental norms.....	38
4.3 World Bank Safeguard Policies.....	46
4.4 Statutory Clearance for Borrow area and Stone quarry.....	49
4.5 Applicability of Clearances.....	50
4.5.1 Environmental Clearance.....	50
4.5.2 Forest Clearances.....	52
4.5.3 Other Permissions/ Clearances.....	52

Chapter 5 ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES.....54

5.0 Analysis of Alternatives.....	54
-----------------------------------	----

Chapter 6 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT.....56

6.0 Description of the Environment.....	56
6.1 Site and its Environs.....	56
6.1.1 Geographical features.....	56
6.1.2 Geology & Soil Characteristics.....	57
6.1.3 Minerals.....	57
6.1.4 Hydro-geology.....	58
6.1.5 River basins, Flood and Cyclones.....	59
6.1.6 Industries.....	67
6.1.7 Transportation.....	67

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

6.1.8	Infrastructure.....	67
6.1.9	Seismic Details of the Area.....	68
6.1.10	Archeological Sites/Places of Tourist Interest.....	68
6.1.11	Sources of Irrigation and Cropping Pattern.....	69
6.2	Meteorology of the study area.....	69
6.2.1	Climatic Conditions.....	69
6.3	Air Environment.....	72
6.3.1	Air Environment for Package -I.....	73
6.3.2	Air Environment for Package -II.....	77
6.3.3	Air Environment for Package -III.....	81
6.3.4	Air Environment for Package -IV.....	86
6.3.5	Air Environment for Package -V.....	92
6.3.6	Air Environment for Package -VI.....	96
6.4	Water Environment.....	101
6.4.1	Surface water quality.....	101
6.4.2	Ground water quality.....	146
6.5	Noise Environment.....	193
6.5.1	Noise Environment for Package -I.....	193
6.5.2	Noise Environment for Package -II.....	195
6.5.3	Noise Environment for Package -III.....	197
6.5.4	Noise Environment for Package -IV.....	200
6.5.5	Noise Environment for Package -V.....	203
6.5.2	Noise Environment for Package -VI.....	205
6.6	Land Environment.....	209
6.6.1	Geology.....	209
6.6.2	Soil.....	209
6.7	Biological Environment.....	222
6.7.1	Land use.....	222
6.7.2	Proposed Land-use.....	223

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

6.7.3	Forests, Biosphere and Sanctuary.....	228
6.7.4	Flora and Fauna in the Study Area.....	228
6.8	Cultural and Sensitive Receptors:.....	232
6.9	Socio-economic Environment.....	233
6.9.1	Socio-economic Status of the Study area.....	234
6.9.2	Public Consultations.....	237
Chapter 7	ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	251
7.0	Anticipated Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	251
7.1	Impacts of Location.....	252
7.2	Impacts Due to Project Design.....	252
7.2.1	Avoidance of adverse impacts during Design Stage:.....	252
7.3	Impacts During Construction.....	253
7.3.1	Borrow Areas / Stone Quarries / Sand Quarries.....	253
7.3.2	Utilization of Fly Ash.....	256
7.3.3	Borrow Pit Restoration.....	258
7.3.4	Surplus Earth/ Muck/ Construction & Demolition Waste.....	258
7.3.5	Soil Quality.....	262
7.3.6	Impact on water resources and water quality.....	262
7.3.7	Impact on Air Quality.....	271
7.3.8	Impact on Noise.....	274
7.3.9	Impact on Biological Environment.....	275
7.3.10	Impacts on Human Use Values.....	278
7.3.11	Impacts on Quality of Life.....	279
7.3.12	Impacts from Construction Workers Camp.....	288
7.3.13	Rain Water Harvesting (RWH) Pits.....	289
7.4	Impacts During Operation Phase.....	289
7.4.1	Impact on Land use.....	289
7.4.2	Impact on Air Quality.....	289

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

7.4.3 Impact on Noise Quality.....	295
7.5 Accident Hazards and Safety.....	299
7.6 Aesthetics.....	299
7.7 Evaluation of Impacts.....	299
7.7.1 Evaluation for Alternative Scenarios.....	301
Chapter 8 ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	304
8.0 ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	304
8.1 EMP Implementing Agency.....	304
8.2 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).....	421
8.2.1 CSR in Companies Bill 2012.....	421
8.2.2 Guidelines on CSR for Public Enterprises.....	422
8.2.3 CSR Budgetary Allocations for this Project.....	423
8.3 Environmental Training.....	424
8.3.1 Training Module.....	424
Chapter 9 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN.....	428
9.1 Pre-Construction Phase.....	428
9.2 Construction Phase.....	429
9.2.1 Water Quality.....	429
9.2.2 Air Quality.....	430
9.2.3 Noise.....	430
9.2.4 Soil Quality.....	430
9.2.5 Workers health and safety.....	430
9.3 Operation Phase.....	431
9.4 Establishment of an Environment Management Cell.....	433
9.5 Implementation of EMP by Third party/ Project Management Consultant.....	434
Chapter 10 ENVIRONMENTAL COST ESTIMATES.....	436
10.1 Budget for EMP Implementation.....	436
Chapter 11 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	449

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

11.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....449

Chapter 12 DISCLOSURE OF CONSULTANT ENGAGED.....451

Annexure -I: Road wise maps for Drainage Pattern

Annexure -II: Estimation of mineral in Amaravati and Masshaul

Annexure -III: Environmental Base Map for 10 Priority Roads

Annexure -IV: Proceedings and minutes of meeting for stakeholder’s consultation and workshop

Annexure -V: Road wise maps for Corridor of Impact area

Annexure -VI: Environmental Formats

	<p><i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i></p>	<p><i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i></p>
--	---	---

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Classification of Different types of roads.....	7
Table 2-2: Details of the proposed road network under ASCCDP.....	9
Table 2-3: Packages of the Proposed 10 Priority Roads.....	13
Table 2-4: General features of the Proposed 10 Priority Roads.....	13
Table 2-5: Environmental setting within sub-project influence area (10 km radius).....	19
Table 2-6: Other features of the Project.....	20
Table 2-7: Terrain Details.....	21
Table 2-8: Existing Land Use Distribution for Sub-Project Influence Area.....	22
Table 2-9: List of Villages, Areas and Population in the Study Area.....	22
Table 2-10: Tentative Traffic Estimation at Various Road Junctions of the proposed roads for the year 2050.....	26
Table 2-11: Quantities of Natural Resources required for the proposed roads.....	28
Table 3-1: Sources of Secondary Data.....	31
Table 4-1: Applicability of Environmental Regulatory Norms for Urban Roads.....	38
Table 4-2: EC conditions pertaining to the proposed Roads.....	51
Table 5-1: Package wise roads intersecting the villages.....	54
Table 6-1: Details of Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of the Proposed roads package wise.....	61
Table 6-2: Frequency of occurrence of Cyclone and Storms in AP.....	67
Table 6-3 : Seasons of the study area.....	69
Table 6-4 : Climatological Table for Gannavaram Station (1971 – 2000).....	71
Table 6-5 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -I.....	73
Table 6-6 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -I.....	74
Table 6-7 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -II.....	78
Table 6-8 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -II.....	78
Table 6-9 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -III.....	82
Table 6-10 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -III.....	83
Table 6-11 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -IV.....	87
Table 6-12 Ambient air quality during study period in Package - IV.....	88
Table 6-13: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -V.....	92
Table 6-14: Ambient air quality during study period in Package -V.....	93
Table 6-15 : Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -VI.....	96
Table 6-16 : Ambient air quality during study period in Package -VI.....	97
Table 6-17 Surface water sampling locations in Package -I.....	101
Table 6-18 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -I.....	102
Table 6-19 Surface water sampling locations in Package -II.....	108

Table 6-20 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -II.....	108
Table 6-21 Surface water sampling locations in Package -III.....	115
Table 6-22 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -III.....	116
Table 6-23 Surface water sampling locations in Package -IV.....	124
Table 6-24 Results of Surface Water Quality in the Package -IV.....	125
Table 6-25: Surface water sampling locations in Package -V.....	133
Table 6-26: Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -V.....	133
Table 6-27 : Surface water sampling locations in Package -VI.....	139
Table 6-28 : Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -VI.....	140
Table 6-29 Ground Water sampling Locations in Package -I.....	146
Table 6-30 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -I.....	147
Table 6-31 Ground Water sampling Locations in Package -II.....	154
Table 6-32 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -II.....	154
Table 6-33 Ground Water sampling Locations for Package -III.....	160
Table 6-34 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -III.....	161
Table 6-35 Ground Water sampling Locations for Package -IV.....	167
Table 6-36 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -IV.....	169
Table 6-37: Ground Water Sampling Locations in Package -V.....	176
Table 6-38 : Ground water quality analysis results for Package – V.....	178
Table 6-39 : Ground Water Sampling Locations in Package -VI.....	184
Table 6-40 : Ground water quality analysis results for Package - VI.....	186
Table 6-41 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -I.....	193
Table 6-42 Noise Quality Status in the Package -I.....	194
Table 6-43 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations for Package -II.....	196
Table 6-44 Noise Quality Status in the Package -II.....	196
Table 6-45 Ambient Noise monitoring Locations in Package -III.....	198
Table 6-46 Noise Quality Monitoring Status in the Package -III.....	198
Table 6-47 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -IV.....	201
Table 6-48 Noise Quality Status in the Package -IV.....	202
Table 6-49 : Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -V.....	204
Table 6-50: Noise Quality Status in the Package -V.....	204
Table 6-51 : Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations for Package -VI.....	206
Table 6-52: Noise Quality Status in the Package -VI.....	206
Table 6-53: National ambient noise level standards.....	208
Table 6-54 Soil Quality Location for Package -I.....	210
Table 6-55 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -I.....	210
Table 6-56 Soil Quality Location in Package -II.....	212
Table 6-57 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -II.....	212
Table 6-58 Soil Quality Location in Package -III.....	214
Table 6-59 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -III.....	214

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

Table 6-60 Soil Quality Location for Package -IV.....	216
Table 6-61 Soil Quality Analysis in Package -IV.....	216
Table 6-62: Soil Quality Location for Package -V.....	218
Table 6-63 : Soil Quality Analysis for Package -V.....	218
Table 6-64: Soil Quality Location in Package -VI.....	221
Table 6-65 : Soil Quality Analysis for Package -VI.....	221
Table 6-66: Land Utilization Pattern of the Study Districts (Hectare).....	223
Table 6-67: Existing Land-use distribution for Sub-Project Influence Area.....	223
Table 6-68: Proposed Phase Wise Prime Categorization of the Land Use.....	224
Table 6-69 : List of Floral Species Along with Family Names.....	228
Table 6-70: List of Fauna Species along with Family Names and Status as Per IUCN And Wildlife Act Schedules.....	231
Table 6-71 Summary of identified Cultural & Sensitive Receptors within 100m of Proposed Roads.....	233
Table 6-72: Demographic details of the project area.....	235
Table 6-73 : Literacy rate in the project area.....	236
Table 6-74 : Work force details in the project area.....	237
Table 6-75 : Village wise objections / suggestions on Draft Mater Plan.....	237
Table 6-76 Details of the Public Consultations carried out.....	239
Table 6-77 Village wise objections and suggestions received during Public Consultations Vs Technical Committee Recommendations.....	240
Table 7-1 : Avoidance of adverse impacts on sensitive environmental resources in 10 Priority Roads roads.....	253
Table 7-2: List of thermal power stations which fall within 300 Km radius.....	257
Table 7-3 : Details of Surplus Earth/ Muck and C&D waste.....	258
Table 7-4: Details of Cross Drainage Structures for the proposed roads – Package I-IV.....	263
Table 7-5 : Details of Cross Drainage Structures for Package V-VI.....	266
Table 7-6 : Details of the Major Water Bodies/ Lakes Abutting the Project Roads.....	268
Table 7-7 : Details of Environment Enhancement Measures for water bodies in the proposed roads.....	271
Table 7-8: Total FDE from Haulage Roads, Excavation and Loading & Unloading activities	273
Table 7-9: Respective Fugitive Dust Emissions due to haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities.....	273
Table 7-10 : Details of trees to be cut in Proposed 10 Roads.....	276
Table 7-11 : Details of proposed avenue plantation and median plantation in Proposed 10 priority roads.....	276
Table 7-12 Traffic Management-Potential Risks, Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	284
Table 7-13 Key Assumptions Made for Different Possible Cases.....	296

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

Table 7-14 Junction wise predicted equivalent Noise levels for year 2050.....297

Table 7-15 : Impact Assessment for the Proposed Project (EIA WITHOUT EMP).....302

Table 7-16 : Impact Assessment for the Proposed Project (EIA WITH EMP).....303

Table 8-1 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -I.....307

Table 8-2 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 2.....327

Table 8-3 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 3.....346

Table 8-4 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 4 (E10+E14+N16).....365

Table 8-5 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -V (E6).....382

Table 8-6 : EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -VI.....400

Table 8-7: Awareness and Competence Training Module.....426

Table 9-1: Construction Stage Monitoring Schedule for Roads in the Project.....431

Table 9-2 : Operational Stage Monitoring Schedule for Roads in the Project.....432

Table 9-3 : Environmental Monitoring Schedule during Construction & Operation Phases435

Table 10-1 : Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items to be covered in BoQs.....437

Table 10-2 : Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items included in Project Cost.....445

	<p><i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i></p>	<p><i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i></p>
--	---	---

Table of Figures

Figure 2-1: Location Map showing the proposed new capital city.....	7
Figure 2-3: Proposed Road network for the Capital city area of Amaravati.....	11
Figure 2-8: TCS for proposed 4-Lane Sub-arterial road for construction in Partial Cutting	18
Figure 2-9: Terrain in the sub-project influence area.....	21
Figure 2-11: Drainage map of Amaravati Capital City.....	24
Figure 2-12: Quarry map around Amaravati Capital City.....	29
Figure 5-1: Proposed 10 Priority roads network in the capital city of Amaravati.....	55
Figure 6-1: Hydro-geology map of Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.....	59
Figure 6-2: Map showing the Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of 10 Priority Roads.....	66
Figure 6-3 : The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli in the sub-project influence area.....	68
Figure 6-4 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru.....	73
Figure 6-5 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Study Area.....	76
Figure 6-6 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Study Area.....	76
Figure 6-7 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Malkapuram and Velgapudi.....	78
Figure 6-8 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -II.....	81
Figure 6-9 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -II.....	81
Figure 6-10 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Venkatapalem and Thullur.....	82
Figure 6-11 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -III.....	85
Figure 6-12 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -III.....	85
Figure 6-13 : AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Dondapadu and Navuluru.....	87
Figure 6-14 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in Package -IV.....	90
Figure 6-15 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -IV.....	91
Figure 6-16: AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Nelapadu and Ananthavaram.....	93
Figure 6-17 : Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} concentrations in the Study Area.....	95
Figure 6-18 : Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Study Area.....	96
Figure 6-19 : AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Kuragullu and Yerrabalem.....	97
Figure 6-20: : Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -VI..	100
Figure 6-21 : Graph Showing the NO₂ & SO₂ Concentrations in the Package -VI.....	100
Figure 6-22 Surface Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru.....	101
Figure 6-23 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -I.....	104

Figure 6-24 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -I 105

Figure 6-25 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -I.....105

Figure 6-26 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -I.....106

Figure 6-27 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -I.....106

Figure 6-28 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -I.....107

Figure 6-29 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -I.....107

Figure 6-30 Surface Water Sample Collected at Malkapuram and Velagapudi.....108

Figure 6-31 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -II.....111

Figure 6-32 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -II 111

Figure 6-33 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -II.....112

Figure 6-34 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -II.....112

Figure 6-35 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -II.....113

Figure 6-36 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -II.....113

Figure 6-37 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -II.....114

Figure 6-38 Surface Water Sample Collected at Venkatapalem and Thullur Chakali Tank 114

Figure 6-39 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in the Package -III.....120

Figure 6-40 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -III..... 120

Figure 6-41 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -III.....121

Figure 6-42 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -III.....122

Figure 6-43 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -III.....122

Figure 6-44 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -III.....123

Figure 6-45 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -III.....123

Figure 6-46 Surface Water Sample Collected at Navuluruand and Dondapadu.....124

Figure 6-47 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in the Package -IV.....129

Figure 6-48 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -IV 129

Figure 6-49 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -IV.....130

Figure 6-50 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -IV.....130

Figure 6-51 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -IV.....131

Figure 6-52 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -IV.....131

Figure 6-53 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -IV.....132

Figure 6-54 : Surface Water Sample Collected Pond near Nelapadu.....132

Figure 6-55 : Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -V.....135

Figure 6-56 : Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -V 136

Figure 6-57: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in the Package -V.....136

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

Figure 6-58: Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -V.....137

Figure 6-59: Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -V.....137

Figure 6-60: Graph Showing the Turbidity values in the Package -V.....138

Figure 6-61: Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -V.....138

Figure 6-62: Surface Water Samples Collected at River Krishna near Lingayapalem and Kuragallu lake..... 139

Figure 6-63 : Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -VI.....143

Figure 6-64: Graph Showing the Sulphate and Chloride Concentrations in Package -VI 144

Figure 6-65: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -VI.....144

Figure 6-66 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -VI.....144

Figure 6-67 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -VI.....145

Figure 6-68: Graph Showing the Turbidity values in the Package -VI.....145

Figure 6-69 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -VI.....146

Figure 6-70 Ground Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru.....147

Figure 6-71 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -I.....150

Figure 6-72 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -I150

Figure 6-73 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -I.....151

Figure 6-74 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -I..... 152

Figure 6-75 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -I.....152

Figure 6-76 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -I.....153

Figure 6-77 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -I.....153

Figure 6-78 Ground Water Sample Collected at Malkapuram and Velagapudi.....154

Figure 6-79 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -II.....157

Figure 6-80 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -II 157

Figure 6-81 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -II.....158

Figure 6-82 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -II..... 158

Figure 6-83 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -II.....159

Figure 6-84 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -II.....159

Figure 6-85 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -II.....160

Figure 6-86 Ground Water Sample Collected at Abbarajupalem and Thullur.....161

Figure 6-87 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -III.....164

Figure 6-88 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -III 164

Figure 6-89 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -III.....165

Figure 6-90 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -III..... 165

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

Figure 6-91 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -III.....166

Figure 6-92 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -III.....166

Figure 6-93 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -III.....167

Figure 6-94 Ground Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Dondapadu.....168

Figure 6-95 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -IV.....173

Figure 6-96 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -IV 173

Figure 6-97 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -IV.....174

Figure 6-98 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -IV..... 174

Figure 6-99 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -IV.....175

Figure 6-100 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -IV.....175

Figure 6-101 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -IV.....176

Figure 6-102 : Ground Water Sample Collected at Ananthavaram and Nelapadu.....177

Figure 6-103: Graph Showing the Sulphate and Chloride Concentrations in Package -V 181

Figure 6-104: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -V.....181

Figure 6-105: Graph Showing the Hardness and Alkalinity values in the Package -V...182

Figure 6-106 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -V.....183

Figure 6-107 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -V.....183

Figure 6-108: Graph Showing the Fluoride values in the Package -V.....183

Figure 6-109 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -V.....184

Figure 6-110 : Ground Water Sample Collected at Neerukonda and Kuragullu.....185

Figure 6-111: Graph Showing the Sulphates and Chloride Concentrations in Package -VI 190

Figure 6-112: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -VI.....190

Figure 6-113: Graph Showing the Hardness and Alkalinity values in the Package -VI..191

Figure 6-114 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -VI.....192

Figure 6-115 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -VI.....192

Figure 6-116: Graph Showing the Fluoride values in the Package -VI.....193

Figure 6-117 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -VI.....193

Figure 6-118 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru.....194

Figure 6-119 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -I.....195

Figure 6-120 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Malkapuram and Velagapudi.....196

Figure 6-121 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package –II.....197

Figure 6-122 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Thullur and Abbarajupalem.....198

Figure 6-123 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -III.....199

Figure 6-124 Noise Quality levels in Commercial Category of Package -III.....200

Figure 6-125 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Dondapadu and Nekkallu.....201

Figure 6-126 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -IV.....203

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

Figure 6-127 : Ambient Noise quality sampling at Nelapadu and Ananthavaram.....204

Figure 6-128 :Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -V.....205

Figure 6-129: Ambient Noise quality sampling at Neerukonda and Penumaka.....206

Figure 6-130 :Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -VI.....207

Figure 6-131 :Noise Quality levels in Industrial Category of Package -VI.....208

Figure 6-132 Soil sampling near Sakhamuru and Nekkallu using Crowbar.....210

Figure 6-133 Soil sampling near Velagapudi and Malkapuram using Crowbar.....212

Figure 6-134 Soil sampling near Thullur and Abbarajupalem using Crowbar.....214

Figure 6-135 Soil sampling near Dondapadu and Rayapudi using Crowbar.....216

Figure 6-136: Soil sampling near Abbarajupalem and Velagapudi using Crowbar.....218

Figure 6-137: Soil sampling near Nidamaru and Penumaka using Crowbar.....220

Figure 7-1 : Areas identified for proposed landfill and tentative Construction & Demolition dump site.....261

Figure 7-2 Predicted Carbon monoxide Concentration along 10 priority roads for the Year 2050.....292

Figure 7-3 Predicted NO2 along 10 priority roads For the year 2050.....293

Figure 7-4 Predicted Concentration of particulate matter along 10 priority roads.....294

Figure 9-1 : Hierarchical structure of Environmental Management Cell.....433

Figure 9-2 : Organizational Setup During Construction and Operation Phase.....434

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

List of Abbreviations

AAQ	Ambient Air Quality
ADB	Asian Development Bank
ADC	Amaravati Development Corporation Limited
AP	Andhra Pradesh
AP CRDA	Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority
APHA	American Public Health Association
APPCB	Andhra Pradesh Pollution Control Board
BA	Borrow Area
BIS	Bureau of Indian Standards
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BoQ	Bill of Quantities
BRT	Bus Rapid Transit
BSI	Botanical Survey of India
C/L	Center Line
CBD	Central Business District
CD	Cross Drainage
CFE	Consent for Establishment
CFO	Consent for Operation

Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City

Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12

cm	Centimeters
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon di oxide
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CoI	Corridor of Impact
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
CPSE	Central Public Sector Enterprises
CPWD	Central Public Works Department
CRZ	Coastal Regulation Zone
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
cu ft/s	Cubic Feet/Second
Cum	Cubic Metres
dB	Decibel
DFID	Department for International Development
DG	Diesel Generator
DMP	Disaster Management Plan
DPR	Detailed Project Report
DST	Department of Science & Technology
E	East
EA	Environmental Assessment
EC	Environment Clearance
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMC	Environmental Management Cell
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
ESMF	Environmental and Social Management Framework

Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City

Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12

FDS	Fine Dust Samplers
FHWA	Federal Highways Administration
FRL	Finished Road Level
GoAP	Government of Andhra Pradesh
GoI	Government of India
GW	Ground Water
Ha	Hectares
HC	Hydro Carbon
HFL	High Flood Level
HPa	Hecta Pascals
HYDS	High Yield Deformed Bars
IMD	Indian Meteorological Department
IRC	Indian Road Congress
IS	Indian Standard
ISO	International Organization For Standardization
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
KCC	Krishna Canal Junction railway station code
KL	Kilo Litres
Km	Kilometre
KWD	Krishna Western Delta
LPG	Liquid Petroleum Gas
m	Metre
MDR	Major District Road
mm	Milli Metre

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

MoEF & CC	Ministry Of Environment, Forests And Climate Change
MoSRTTH	Ministry Of Shipping Road Transport And Highways
MPN	Most Probable Number
MW	Mega Watts
N	North
NABL	National Accreditation Board For Testing And Calibration Laboratories
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organization
NHAI	National Highways Authority Of India
no.	Number
NOC	No Objection Certificates
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
NSRCCA	Nagarjuna Sagar Right Bank Canal Command
NTTPS	Narla Tata Rao Thermal Power Plant
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Units
PAP	Project Affected People
PIA	Project Influence Area
PM	Particulate Matter
PM ₁₀	Particulate Matter < 10 Micrometre size
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter < 2.5 Micrometre size
ppm	Parts Per Million
R.D	Relative Density
R.dom	Relative Dominance
R.F	Reserve Forests
R.F	Relative Frequency
REET	Rare Or Endangered Or Endemic Or Threatened

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--

RHS	Right Hand Side
RoW	Right Of Way
RPM	Respirable Particulate Matter
RWH	Rain Water Harvesting
S	South
s	Second
SC	Scheduled Caste
SEIAA	State Environment Impact Assessment Authorities
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide
sq	Square
ST	Scheduled Tribe
SW	Surface Water
SWM	Solid Waste Management
TCE	Tata Consulting Engineers
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TIFAC	Technology Information, Forecasting & Assessment Council
ToR	Term of Reference
URDPFI	Urban and Regional Development Plan Formulation and Implementation Guidelines
VGTM UDA	Vijayawada Guntur Tenali Mangalagiri Urban Development Authority
W	West
ZSI	Zoological Survey Of India

	<i>Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City</i>	<i>Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11, E12</i>
--	--	--



Chapter 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

0.1 INTRODUCTION

Road projects are generally undertaken to improve the economic and social welfare of the people. At the same time, they may also create an adverse impact on the surrounding environment. The Government of Andhra Pradesh has envisaged the development of World Class People's Capital of Andhra Pradesh which is being located in between the cities of Vijayawada and Guntur. APCRDA has plans to develop World Class integrated transport infrastructure systems with facilities for seamless travel in line with rapid urbanization for the Amaravati Capital City. A grid network is proposed for the new Amaravati Capital city and it is classified based on functions & capabilities. The different types of roads proposed are major arterial roads, arterial roads, sub-arterial roads and collector roads. Road network length of major arterial roads are 44 km, arterial roads are 107 km, sub-arterial roads are 145 km, collector roads are 277 km and internal roads are 1100 km. Among the proposed road network, 10 priority roads are of primary interest and the EIA report is prepared package wise for the proposed roads.

Roads developmental activities will have an adverse effect on the neighboring Environment of the proposed project. Hence, these activities required to be well planned and should consider the environmental impacts. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a technique which is necessary for identification, quantification and assessment of potential environmental impacts. Assessment of these impacts should commence early in the planning process of the project to enable full consideration of alternatives and to avoid later delays and complications in the proposed project. The environment impact study is a part of the Detailed Project Report of the total roads prepared for the World Bank.

The MoEF&CC, Government of India, Notification of 14th September 2006 and its amendment enlist projects in Schedule that proposed Green Field Capital of Amaravati has already got Environmental Clearance for the entire project from State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Andhra Pradesh in their vide order no. SEIAA/AP/GTN-151/2015, Dated:09.10.2015. According to MoEF&CC EIA Notification, the sub-arterial roads are neither falling under Category -A nor Category -B hence, Environmental Clearance is not required. Based on Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development (ASCCDP) – Environmental & Social Management Framework (ESMF), the proposed sub-project (sub-arterial roads) is categorised as "E2", which means moderate Environmental Impacts are expected and needs sub-project specific EIA and EMP report. Category "E2" of ASCCDP ESMF is similar to the Environmental Category "B" of the World Bank Safeguard Policy. However, EIA and EMP report is prepared duly adopting both the National and WB norms (OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment).

0.2 Project Description

0.2.1 Location

The proposed road network is predominantly of rural character within the area of proposed capital city area of 217.23 sq.km. The grid network comprises roads designed and classified based on functions and capabilities. For classification of roads (as prescribed



by the Urban and Regional Development Plan Formulation and Implementation Guidelines URDPFI 2014) were identified and used as the major classes of roads in the Master Plan are: Major Arterial Roads, Arterial Roads, Sub-arterial, and Collector roads. Based on its classification, the Right of Way (RoW) and Length of the roads proposed in the project are given in Table -0.1.

Table -0.1: Classification of Different Types of roads

S. No.	Type of Road	Nos.	RoW (m)	Length (km)



Figure -0.1: Location Map Showing the Proposed New Capital City

Unclassified roads such as collector, local and access roads are not identified at Master Plan level, however, detailed guidelines for local roads should be prepared to guide developers during development control. The proposed grid network will also be sub-

categorized based on the level of social interaction, in line with international practice to create streets for people in urban settings.

The proposed road network of 10 Priority roads (10 nos.) namely are falling under sub-arterial roads category.

0.2.2 Project Features

The new Capital city, Amaravati is an almost Greenfield site and is therefore lacking in infrastructure. Major investment in transportation and infrastructure is required in the coming years to prepare the site as the new Capital of Andhra Pradesh. The transportation and infrastructural requirements, planning intention and objectives have been identified in the master plan. This is to guide the future detailed studies that will be conducted to develop detailed transportation and infrastructure plans for the Capital City. These recommendations have been included in the land use master plan to reserve appropriate land space for infrastructure development. The general features, environmental setting within 10 km radius of the project, other features of 10 Priority roads are given in Table -0.2, Table -0.3 & Table -0.4.

Table -0.2: General Features of Proposed Roads (10 Roads)

Package	Road ID	Type of Road	Length (km)	TCS	No. of structures	Cost (Cr.)
Package-I	E8	Sub-Arterial	14.955	4-lane + 2 lane BRT	3 Bridges & 17 Culverts	287.00
Package-II	N9	Sub-Arterial	13.168	4 lane + 2 lane BRT	2 bridge & 17 Culverts	317.46
Package-III	N4	Sub-Arterial	7.170	4 lane	2 bridges & 12 Culverts	149.39
	N14	Sub-Arterial	8.272	4 lane	2 bridges & 7 Culverts	141.79
Package-IV	E10	Sub-Arterial	7.814	4 lane	1 Bridge & 14 Culverts	152.48
	E14	Sub-Arterial	7.360	4 lane	17 Culverts	165.30
	N16	Sub-Arterial	8.777	4 lane	1 bridge & 12 Culverts	131.32
Package-V	E6	Sub-arterial	9.844	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	4 Bridges & 19 Culverts	120.03
Package-VI	E12	Sub-arterial	6.79	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	1 Bridge & 23 Culverts	140.30
	N11	Sub-arterial	8.657	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	1 Bridge & 24 Culverts	47.94
Total Length			92.807		Total Cost (Cr.)	1653.01

Table – 0.3: Environmental Setting within 10 Km Radius of the Project

S. No	Particulars	Details
1	Latitude and Longitude	North East Coordinate- 16°30'30" N, 80°37' E South West Coordinate-16°29' N, 80°25' E North West Coordinate-16°31' N, 80°22'30" E South East Coordinate-16°24'30" N, 80°34' E



S. No	Particulars	Details
2	Elevation above Mean Sea Level	Varying from 18m to 260m
3	Nearest Highway	NH16 passing within the boundary of the sub-project influence area (SPIA)
4	Nearest Railway Station	Krishna Canal Junction Railway Station near Tadepalli
5	Nearest Airport	Gannavaram Airport 22 km, North-East Directoin
6	Nearest City	Vijayawada, 3 km North-East
7	Rivers	River Krishna on the northern fringes of the sub-project influence area, 160 m, North-East direction from N4 road
8	Hills/Valleys, Monuments	Tadepalli Hills, 1.40 km
9	Archaeologically important places	The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli and fort, 1650 m, North East direction from E10 road
10	National Parks/ Forest areas	No National Park within 10 km radius Reserve Forests (R.F) are as under: Tadepalli R.F, 1.37 km, North-East direction from E12 road Mangalagiri R.F, 2.49 km, South-East direction from E12 road Karlapudi R.F, 2.3 km, West direction from E8 road Motadaka R.F, 4.71 km, South-West direction from N16 road and Kondapalli R.F, 6.18 km, North direction from N14 road
11	List of major industries in 10 km radius	Dr. Narla Tata Rao Thermal Power Plant, 4.10 km North-East direction.
12	Wildlife Sanctuary	None within 10 km radius
13	Core Biosphere reserve	None within 10 km radius

Table-0.4: Other Features of the Project

S. No	Parameter (s)	Description
1	Location of Project	Amaravati Capital City
2	Terrain	Mostly Plain
3	Major Settlement along the Project Stretch	Modugulankapalem, Malkapuram, Lingayapalem, Abbarajupalem, Nelapadu, Penumaka, Velagapudi, Venkatapalem, Bethapudi, Neerukonda, Undavalli, Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Krishnayapalem, Thullur, Navuluru, and Dondapadu
4	Rivers/ Streams/ Canals	Seasonal streams:Kondaveeti Vagu and Pala Vagu
5	Forest area and Sanctuaries	No
6	Length of the Existing Alignment	-
7	Existing Carriageway Width	-



S. No	Parameter (s)	Description
8	Proposed Carriageway width	14m carriageway with 7m BRT lane (2x2 Lane configuration of 7 m carriageway along with 2 lane BRT)
9	Administrative locations	Guntur District
10	State	Andhra Pradesh

The major requirement of the natural resources such as borrow earth, moorum, aggregates, sand, cement, HYDS bars, bitumen, water etc., for the construction of the 2/4/6-laning of the proposed road infrastructure in the study area.

Borrow earth, moorum, sand and aggregate will be taken from operational licensed borrow areas and quarries located allocated for APCRDA by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP. Steel, cement, bitumen, emulsion etc., would be sourced from authorized vendors. The water will be extracted from the nearest water bodies after getting formal approval from the irrigation department for construction and worker camps purposes.

0.2.3 Need of the project

Roads play a significant part in national and regional economies particularly in the development of trade, tourism and investment. Construction of the proposed 10 roads and its operation are of great significance, because they are expected to generate a wide range of economic activities which should help local development and because a wide communication network is generally felt to represent the new capital city of Amaravati.

0.2.4 Cost of the Project

The estimated cost of Rs. 1653.01 Crores is envisaged for the 10 Priority roads. Basic Environmental Management Plan (EMP) cost for Rs. 66.98 Crores and EMP cost included as integral part of the project is estimated to be Rs. 108.55 Crores.

0.2.5 Direct and Indirect Benefits:

Road widening project can harmonize with the surrounding environment and serve multiple users with the following benefits.

- The proposed roads will provide inter connectivity within the newly formed state capital of the Andhra Pradesh State and to the major National and State Highways
- Avenue Plantation: There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the roads as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. The avenue plants proposed to be planted along the Proposed 10 roads are about 88,973 nos. either side of the road. The avenue plants proposed are i.e., *Ravi, Nalla tumma, Neem, Veduru, Nagajemudu, Mango, Teku, Maredu etc.* The budget allocation for the avenue plantation is Rs. 8.89 Crores.
- Median Plantation: Since the project is construction of four lane project median will be utilized. The median plantation is proposed in single/quadruple rows and the numbers of species proposed are 55,158 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., *Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia,*



Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc. The budget allocation for the median plantation is Rs. 2.13 Crores.

- There will be improvements of the economic growth in the surrounding places due to establishment of more industries, institutions, MNCs and other organizations.
- Will improve the economic and social welfare of nearby people.
- Will Increase access to markets, jobs, education, and health services.
- Will Reduce transport costs for both freight and passengers.

0.3 Base Line Status of Project Site

Secondary data of the project area has been collected from secondary sources like published literature from various government agencies, or institutions on physical, biological and social components of environment. The data were reviewed and verified for establishing existing environmental and ecological status within the project area. Following documents from different sources were consulted for collection of baseline environmental data. The primary baseline information on different environmental components were collected through field survey. Field surveys were carried out on primary information on the major environmental features such as settlement facilities, drainage pattern of the area, forest, trees within RoW of the alignment, water bodies, river crossing, sensitive receptors, etc. and were studied in detail, which helped in identifying areas of concern along the stretch and critical issues. Consultation with the local officials and public were carried out also on the salient environmental features of the project area, etc.

Further, primary samples surveys for the environmental components, such as air, surface water, noise and soil quality that are critical in the context of the project were carried out through NABL approved laboratory. The Post-monsoon season monitoring was carried out in the months of October to November, 2016.

0.3.1 Air Environment

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the sub-project influence area and considering the meteorological (predominant wind directions, wind speed) & topographic conditions, proposed traffic volume, major settlements and details on existing industrial activities in the study area, 2,2,4,6,2,4 stations in Package – I,II,III,IV,V and VI respectively were identified for carrying out Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) in the study area. The criteria adopted for selecting the monitoring stations, sampling and analysis was carried out as recommended by IS: 5182 and CPCB.

The Air Quality data for the study period were collected at each location on 24 hourly twice in a week for one season as per national guidelines. The parameters selected for analyzing ambient air quality status were Sulphur dioxide (SO₂), Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), Particulate Matter (PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5}), Carbon Monoxide (CO) and Hydro-carbons. Package wise data reveals the findings as described below.

Package-I:

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 38.5 – 48.3 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was



recorded at Sakhamuru. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 16.0 – 21.6 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was found at Sakhamuru. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 4.8 – 5.6 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was found at Sakhamuru. The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 14.2 – 16.1 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was recorded at Sakhamuru. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards of CPCB / IFC Guidelines.

In general, the ambient air quality in the study area is satisfactory and well within the stipulated CPCB Standards.

Package II:

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 53.6 – 62.6 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was recorded at Velagapudi. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 19.8 – 26.0 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was found at Velagapudi. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 6.0 – 7.8 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was found at Velagapudi. The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 19.5 – 24.2 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was recorded at Velagapudi. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be slightly exceeding for IFC Guidelines for particulate matter and well within the stipulated CPCB standards for all the parameters.

Package III

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 43.4 – 68.3 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was recorded at Krishnayapalem. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 19.6 – 28.4 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and



minimum value was found at Krishnayapalem. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.0 – 8.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Krishnayapalem. The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO_2) values were observed in the range between 16.2 – 24.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was recorded at Abbarajupalem. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be slightly exceeding for IFC guidelines for particulate matter and well within the stipulated CPCB standards for all the parameters.

Package IV

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 42.6 – 56.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Bethapudi and minimum value was recorded at Nekkallu. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 18.8 – 24.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Penumaka and minimum value was found at Nekkallu. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.0 – 6.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Bethapudi and minimum value was found at Nekkallu and Krishnayapalem. The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO_2) values were observed in the range between 15.4 – 18.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Krishnayapalem and minimum value was recorded at Nekkallu. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be slightly exceeding for IFC guidelines for particulate matter and well within the stipulated CPCB standards for all the parameters.

Package V

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 40.0 – 45.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 16.2 – 20.17 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was found at Nelapadu. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.1 – 5.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu. The Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) values were observed in the



range between 15.3 – 17.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m^3 as against the CPCB standard of 4 mg/m^3 for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines for all the locations.

Package VI

The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 34.6 – 50.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was recorded at Nerukonda. The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 14.0 – 20.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Yerrabalem and minimum value was found at Neerukonda. The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 4.2-6.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was found at Neerukonda. The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 13.2-19.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was recorded at Neerukonda. The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m^3 as against the CPCB standard of 4 mg/m^3 for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines for all the locations.

0.3.2 Water Environment:

Surface Water Quality:

During the study period, 2,3,7,8,1 and 6 Surface Water samples were collected & analyzed for assessing the water quality in Packages I,II,III,IV,V and VI respectively. Samples were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the study area. Analysis was done for selected physico-chemical parameters along with bacteriological indicators of pollution have been used for describing the baseline status of water environment. Package wise surface water quality of the study area is discussed below:

Package -I:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 8.16 – 8.18 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.

Data on chemical characteristics indicated that the total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 130 to 260 mg/l . The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and



magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 252 mg/l to 738 mg/l and are well within the limits. The chlorides ranged between 45.0 – 220.0 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates ranged between 3.4– 6.3 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 16.4 – 76.8 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.50mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.5 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 5 – 24mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 20-82 mg/l.

Package-II:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.80 – 7.98 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.

Data on chemical characteristics: The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 160 to 700 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 336 mg/l to 1428 mg/l and are well within the limits. The chlorides ranged between 75.0 – 430.0 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates ranged between 3.7– 9.4 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 11.8 – 53.9 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.60 to 0.80mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.4mg/l to 4.9 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 14 – 30 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 48-104 mg/l.

Package III

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 8.16 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.

Data on chemical characteristics:



The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 100 to 580 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 252 mg/l to 1436 mg/l and are within the limits. The chlorides ranged between 12.8 – 485.0 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates ranged between 3.1– 10.0 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 12.8 – 32.2 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.90mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.1mg/l to 5.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 4.1 – 24 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 20-82 mg/l.

Package IV

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 8.50 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 140 to 420 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 292 mg/l to 878 mg/l and are well within the limits. The chlorides ranged between 60 – 220.0 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates ranged between 4.2– 6.3 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 14.4 – 76.8 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.80mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.1 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 6 – 24.0 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 30-82 mg/l.

Package V

Data on physical characteristic indicated a pH value of 7.96 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.



Data on chemical characteristics:

The total hardness observed is found to be 160 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed to be 448 mg/l and are well within the limits. The chlorides value is found to be 95 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates concentration is found to be 3.8 mg/l and the Sulphates concentration is found 72.1 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride concentration is found to be 0.30 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen is found to be 4.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is found to be 14 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be 44 mg/l.

Package VI

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.20-8.29 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 120-360 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values. The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 234-1186 mg/l and are well within the limits. The chlorides ranged between 65-310 mg/l and are well within the limits. The Nitrates ranged between 3.5-6.9 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 12.3-172.6 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits. The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30-0.80 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l. Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit. The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.3-5.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes. Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 4-20 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 24-64 mg/l.

Ground Water Quality:

Ground Water Quality:



Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the project corridor for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the study area, 2,2,4,6,2 & 5 samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells. Package wise analytical results are discussed in detail as below:

Package -I:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.18 – 7.90 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 600 to 800 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits and the permissible limits. The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1527 mg/l to 1802 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l. The chlorides ranged between 320.0-370.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 7.6 – 13.3 mg/l and 257.4 – 291.5 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits but within the desirable limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates. The Fluoride values found are in the range of 1.2 – 1.4 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The samples are within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l. Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

Package II:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.08 – 7.41 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 350 to 920mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. However, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at Malkapuram. The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1014 mg/l to 1620 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l. The chlorides ranged between 145.1-210.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 8.8 mg/l and 20.7 – 45.8 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the desirable limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates. The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.80 – 1.1 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples are not exceeding the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l. Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

Package III :



Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 7.78 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 320 to 680 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. However, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at two sampling locations namely Venkatapalem and Abbarajupalem. The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 954 mg/l to 1714 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits of 2000 mg/l. The chlorides ranged between 170.0-410.1 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 14.5 mg/l and 16.4 – 174.7 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates. The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.80 – 1.30 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples found to be within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l.

Package IV :

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.12 – 7.58 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 210 to 940mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. However, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at three sampling locations namely Penumaka, Navuluru and Bethapudi. The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 930 mg/l to 2868 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l except at Navuluru and Bethapudi. The chlorides ranged between 140.0-740.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 27.1 mg/l and 16.4 – 296.3 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates. The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.60 – 1.80 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples collected at Navuluru and Bethapudi are found to be exceeding the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l. Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

Package V :



Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.33 - 7.46 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 660 - 1300 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits and the permissible limits. The Total Dissolved Solids are observed to be high and ranged between 1024 - 2488 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l except at Ananthavaram. The chlorides ranged between 160-750 mg/l. All samples are well within permissible limits of 1000 mg/l. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 19.4-28.9 mg/l and 255.9-621.8 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits for Sulphates. The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.6 – 2.1 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The samples are within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l except at Nelapadu. Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

Package VI:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.15 – 7.82 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 80-2600 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits at Ainavolu, Lingayapalem and Kurugallu. The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1024- 10970 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are well within the permissible limits of 2000 mg/l except at Ainavolu and Yerrabalem . TDS is found to be high in all locations. The chlorides ranged between mg/l and are within permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l except at Mandadam. The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 7.6-58.6 mg/l and 21.4-254.3 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.

The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.8-1.80 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. Samples are well within the permissible limit of 1.5 mg/l except at Bethapudi and yerrabalem. Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

Overall, ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after pre-treatment.

0.3.3 Noise Environment:



The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring. The analysis of the same is given below:

Package -I:

There are two residential locations i.e., Nekkallu and Sakhamuru monitored in the Package -I. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 53.5 to 54.1 dB (A) & 45.5 to 46.3 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the CPCB Standards/ IFC Guidelines in all monitored locations.

Package-II:

There are two residential locations i.e., Malkapuram and Velagapudi monitored in the Package -II. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 43.8 to 53.0 dB (A) & 45.7 to 54.3 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be slightly exceeding night time limits for both CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines.

Package III:

There are three residential locations i.e., Venkatapalem, Krishnayapalem and Abbarajupalem monitored in the Package -III. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 51.9 to 53.3 dB (A) & 41.7 to 45.1 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits for both CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines.

The location Thullur fall under commercial category. The day and night noise levels are observed to be 68.6 dB (A) & 58.1 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 65.0 & 55.0 dB(A) and the noise levels are not found to be well within the limits at Thullur but well within the limits of IFC Guidelines (70.0 & 70.0 dB(A)). This may be due to temporal local activity/commercial activities observed during the study period.

Package IV:

There are six residential locations i.e., Krishnayapalem, Penumaka, Navuluru, Bethapudi, Nekkallu and Dondapadu monitored in the project study area. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 51.9 to 56.5dB (A) & 41.7 to 46.7 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits of CPCB Standards/IFC Guidelines in all monitored locations except at Dondapadu. This may be due to temporal local activity/commercial activities observed during the study period.

Package V:

In Package V, all the monitored locations fall under residential category. The day time noise levels are found to be in the range of 50.2 dB(A) to 51.6 dB(A) and night time noise levels found to be in the range of 43.5 dB(A) to 43.7 dB(A) for residential category.



The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits for both CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines.

Package-VI:

In Package V, all the monitored locations fall under residential category except Thullur, which falls under commercial category. The day time noise levels are found to be in the range of 50.2 dB(A) to 51.6 dB(A) and night time noise levels found to be in the range of 43.5 dB(A) to 43.7 dB(A) for residential category. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits for both CPCB standards/IFC Guidelines.

0.3.4 Land Environment

For land environment 2,2,4,6,2 & 5 numbers of soil samples were collected from different villages falling in the study area to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil for Package I,II,III,IV,V and VI respectively. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any, and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil.

Package-I

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.99 – 8.02. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 166 – 466 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil is 498 – 536 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 44 – 62 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 234 – 252 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low. Overall, the soil quality in the study area is found to be satisfactory and fit for plantation.

Package-II

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 8.02 – 8.14. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 316 – 398 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil is 546 – 612 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 46 – 54 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 228 – 264 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low. Overall, the soil quality in the study area is found to be satisfactory and fit for plantation.

Package-III

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.98 – 8.12. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 124 – 866 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil



is 456 – 596 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 47 – 66 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 239 – 264 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

Package IV

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.98 – 8.12. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 124 – 866 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil is 456 – 596 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 47 – 66 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 239 – 264 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

Package V :

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 8.09-8.14. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 105 - 248 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil is 528 - 544 Kg/ha, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 54-68 Kg/ha, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 244-268 Kg/ha, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

Package VI:

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature. Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.96-8.14. If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 90-158 μ S/cm. The available Nitrogen in the soil is 528 - 548 Kg/ha, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation. The available Phosphorous in the soil is 44-58 Kg/ha, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. The available Potassium in the soil is 228-266 Kg/ha, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes. Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

0.3.5 Biological Environment

Land use: Project area general terrain condition is plain, hilly near the Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli and near Ananthavaram village area and gently sloping towards of Krishna river basin area. The project area covered by Amaravati capital city is 217.23 sq km. About 75% land is developable, 2% of hills, 8% of Islands, 7% Village settlements, 6% of water



bodies and 2% of River Krishna and rest is others. The proposed landuse is 25.7% primary green spaces, 20.7% rivers/streams/farm ponds, 8.8% of roads, 9.0% village settlements, 14.5% of residential/commercial, 7% industries, rest is others. There is no forest area present well within the corridor of impact and no rare and endangered species are present well within 10Kms radius of study area.

Flora & Fauna: The proposed project of construction of roads in Capital City is passing through plain terrain and occasionally rolling terrain. The major crops grown in the sub-project influence area are paddy, chilli, cotton, red gram, black gram, among pulses, cereals like jowar and maize, sorghum, jute, fodder grass, subabul and commercial crops like turmeric. Whereas in the sub-project area no cultivation is envisaged. Faunal species like Common Mongoose, Squirrel, Indian Hare, Monkeys etc. are found in the study area. Some of the most common birds found in the project includes Doves, Yellow throated sparrow, Maina, Bulbul, Jungle fowl etc.

0.4 Identification and Prediction of Impacts

This section summarizes the pollution potential of the proposed road project and its possible impact on the surrounding environment during construction and operational phases.

0.4.1 Impact on Land Environment

An engineering design of the road is prepared incorporating all environmental safeguards as per IFC Environmental guidelines for highway projects. Design of roads is strategically carried out to ensure minimal negative impact on environment. About 1743 nos of trees & 78 nos of farm ponds are avoided from the corridor of impact in the proposed roads. However, there are a total of 39 nos of farm ponds whose lost water spread area of about 15 acres is lost and 849 nos. of trees are falling under the proposed alignments of 10 Priority Roads. Apart from these there are no ground water sources and cultural properties in corridor of impact.

The estimated construction & demolition (C&D) waste and muck generated from 10 priority roads are given below :

Road	C&D (m3)	Muck (m3)
E8	1895	655470.54
N9	121.29	511576.3
N4	62.04	264308.03
N14	1769	365590.71
E10	0	390988.9
E14	474.15	366528.74
N16	0	438391.33
E6	686.28102	1093818.23
N11	70.79973	400716.97
E8	34.10999	344801

The total cost for the disposal of the C&D and muck is estimated to be Rs. 40.63 Cr and provision for the same is made in the EMP.

0.4.2 Impact on Air Quality



Road construction will involve earth excavation, back-filling and concreting. Impacts on air during the construction phase could be due to earth excavation, back-filling and transportation of construction materials, D.G sets which may lead to rise in air pollution. It is estimated that a total reduction of 18731078.52 Tonnes/year of PM₁₀ and 41624618.93 Tonnes/year of PM₁₀₀ in fugitive dust emissions from haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities during construction phase of 10 Priority Roads with an effective implementation of EMP throughout the construction phase. However, the impact on ambient air quality will not be significant, since the dust and gases generated is confined to the proposed area and as it will be taken care of by adopting suitable control measures as described in EMP. Also, this impact is localized.

During operation phase, significant negative impact on the ambient air quality is envisaged due to increase of traffic in the Green field area. CALRoads (US EPA Preferred / recommended model) is an air quality model used to predict pollutant concentration near urban roads/ highways. Model requires source strength, meteorology and site geometry, the model can predict pollutant concentrations for receptors located within 500m of the roadway. The model runs based on crosswind Finite Line Source Gaussian formulation and the thermal turbulence created by hot vehicle exhaust & mechanical turbulence created by moving vehicles. The predicted air quality results and isopleths are given in impact assessment chapter. All the predicted values found to be well within the stipulated CPCB Standards for PM₁₀, NO₂ & CO for the year 2050 in most of the locations.

0.4.3 Impact on Water Quality

To prevent accumulation of water by the road side, adequate cross drainage (CD) structures in the form of culverts with adequate discharge and drainage along the road capacity will be constructed. During reconstruction of old cross drainage structures and construction of new ones, diversion is required to aid uninterrupted movement of traffic. Material will not be put in the drainage to avoid blockage and prevent the erosion. This will help in protecting the aquatic ecology. There are 17 bridges (proposed) and 162 box/pipe culverts (proposed) operated for adequate discharge of cross drainage in the project. No permanent impact is anticipated on water quality due to the project.

Construction activities may temporarily deteriorate surface water quality in terms of increased turbidity as well as oil and grease. The mitigation measures to protect the water quality include proper disposal of water and other liquid wastes arising from construction. Also, stream courses and drains will be kept free from dumping of solid wastes and earth material.

River/Lakes/Farm ponds Abutting/Falling in the project: River Krishna is flowing in the study area from NW to SE of the project location. There are 74 nos. of farm ponds/ streams/canal abutting close to the 10 Priority roads. River Krishna is a perennial river, major streams such as Kondaveeti vagu & Pala vagu are having low-flow regime during March – July whereas farm ponds and lakes are dry between March – July.

There are 39 nos of farm ponds falling within the corridor of impact. The lost water spread area on account farm ponds works out to be about 15 acres. Enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc.



The farm ponds/ lakes falling in the corridor of impact and sub-project influence area are mostly developed for meeting the agricultural needs of the villagers. These farm ponds/ lakes, canals & streams and River Krishna are having very common aquatic flora & fauna. Avia fauna are also found to be common species as identified in the Chapter -6. There are no migratory, rare, endangered and threatened species identified in the farm ponds falling under the proposed alignments of project. However, due importance will be given to the ecological habitat during improvement or rehabilitation of Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu and other streams. Population of local fauna is very minimal & trans-location is not feasible.

0.4.4 Impact on Noise Quality

The impact of noise levels from the project on the neighboring communities would be anticipated with the increase in vehicles and vary with vehicles speed. The increased noise level is attenuated by vegetative noise barriers (rows of trees planted). The major sources for noise pollution during construction will be movement of vehicles transporting the construction material to the construction yard and the noise generating activities at the yard itself. The construction equipment with high noise levels, loading and transportation of material near the borrow areas will affect the construction personnel and other humans in the area.

During operation phase, prediction of noise model under diverse traffic conditions in newly formed Andhra Pradesh State capital of Amaravati is really a challenging task as there is no traffic exists on the road. The noise model showed better results than earlier developed noise model for Indian traffic conditions and it was developed by Environmental Systems Design Modeling Division, CSIR National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India. The model has been developed to calculate equivalent traffic noise based on four input variables i.e. equivalent traffic flow (Q_e), equivalent vehicle speed (S_e) and distance (d) and honking (h). The traffic data is predicted and statistically analyzed peak hour (morning & evening rush hours) for the ultimate year 2050. The package wise assessment of noise levels is given in impact assessment chapter. Overall the predicted values found to be well matching with the similar density of population of India.

The impact of noise levels from the project on the neighboring communities would be anticipated with the increase in vehicles and vary with vehicles speed. The increased noise level is attenuated by vegetative noise barriers (rows of trees planted). The major sources for noise pollution during construction will be movement of vehicles transporting the construction material to the construction yard and the noise generating activities at the yard itself. The construction equipment with high noise levels, loading and transportation of material near the borrow areas will affect the construction personnel and other humans in the area.

0.4.5 Socio-Economic Environment

The following positive impacts are anticipated on the socio-economic environment during the project construction and operation phases. Several marginal activities and jobs would be available to the locals in the project. The project will provide livelihood opportunities during construction phase. The project will provide improvements in road network leading to improved socio economic status of the study area. The land prices are anticipated to rise. Increasing access to markets, investments, jobs, education, and health services.



0.4.6 Impact on Land and Soil Quality

Construction of 4 lane/ 4 lane + 2 lane BRT road with urban roads norms may lead to a change in the land use pattern of areas adjacent to the road. The existing land adjacent to the road at present is mostly of barren and very partially agricultural use, Government of AP has already collected about 98% Capital City area under Land Pooling Scheme for the development of People's Capital of Andhra Pradesh.

The project corridor lies mostly in plain and thus, no disfiguration of land is envisaged due to construction activities except for the opening of borrow pits.

0.5 Environmental Management Plan

Based on the detailed evaluation of the likely impacts on various environmental parameters, an environmental management plan is prepared. The mitigative measures for minimization of adverse impacts, green belt development/plantation programme have been incorporated in the chapter on environmental management plan. Post-project Monitoring and analysis shall be carried out as described in the EIA report. The frequency, methodology of sampling and testing for various pollutants specified by CPCB/APPCB will be followed. This plan also specifies the organizational requirements and institutional strengthening necessary for sound environmental management of the project. The major components of the EMP are:

1. EMP Implementing Agency
2. Monitoring of the EMP implementation
3. Training on Environmental management
4. Budget for EMP implementation

ADC/APCRDA will establish an Environmental Management Cell (EMC) to supervise and implement the mitigation measures as documented in the EMP. This EMC must also be adequately empowered to discharge the responsibilities as outlined in the EMP. To ensure smooth implementation of EMP the project proponent will have to collaborate with various government agencies like Public Works Department, Revenue Department, State Pollution Control Board, State Forest Department, Police Department and other allied departments.

The EMC constituted by the APCRDA/ADC shall be the prime agency for monitoring all the activities during both the phases. Contractor will implement the day to day Environmental monitoring, mitigation & management activities whereas, PMC will take care about the supervision of the day to day monitoring of environmental works. The Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC shall review & supervise all activities are monitored as per EMP. In addition to implementing and monitoring different environmental attributes, EMC shall also be actively involved in imparting training and raising environmental awareness level of Contractors and the construction staff so as to enable them to take the environmental aspects into consideration as and when required.

0.6 Costs towards Implementation of Environment Management Plan

The design and construction of the project involves several items such as sprinkling on haulage roads, muck/debris disposal, plantation, erosion prevention, rehabilitation of Borrow areas, safety signage, etc., which are included in the contractor cost. Only those



Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City

Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11 & E12

items that are not covered under the budget for construction are shown in the EMP implementation budget. The Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items to be covered in BoQs works out to be Rs. 66.98 Crores and the budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items included in Project Cost works out to be Rs. 108.55 Crores.

0.7 Disclosure of EA & EMP Report

Draft EA & EMP report was prepared and disclosed on APCRDA website on 4th March 2017, subsequently advertised both in leading Telugu and English daily News papers inviting public, NGO's and other interested groups for public consultation workshop, and the same was held on 4th April 2017. Additional time was announced for submission of suggestions on the EA & EMP report by Email, post or by hand (none received). Additional public consultations were held at seven distributed villages from 19th to 22nd July 2017. The latest EA and EMP report was prepared duly incorporating all the minutes of meeting of public consultations/workshop and The World Bank comments issued in the month of June 2017. This report will be disclosed and once again presented at the proposed public consultation meeting to be held in the last week of August 2017. Upon receiving the comments if any, the final EA & EMP report will be delivered.



Chapter 2

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Road projects are generally undertaken to improve the economic and social welfare of the people. At the same time, they may also create an adverse impact on the surrounding environment. People and property in the direct path of the road works are affected. The environmental impact of road projects include damage to sensitive Eco-systems, soil erosion, changes to drainage pattern and thereby groundwater, interference with animal and plant life, loss of productive agricultural lands, resettlement of people, disruption of local economic activities, demographic changes, accelerated urbanization and increase in air pollution. Road development and operation should, therefore, be planned with careful consideration of the environmental impact. To minimize these adverse effects that may be created by urban road development projects, the techniques of EIA become necessary. The Government of Andhra Pradesh has envisaged the development of World Class People's Capital of Andhra Pradesh which is being located in between the cities of Vijayawada and Guntur.

The Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (AP CRDA) comprises parts of Krishna and Guntur districts in Andhra Pradesh state. The AP CRDA is constituted through Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Act, 2014 replacing Vijayawada Guntur Tenali Mangalagiri Urban Development Authority (VGTM UDA). The Capital Region covers broadly an area of about 8352.69 km² from 56 mandals is the largest urban development region in the country. It includes 30 mandals from Krishna and 26 mandals from Guntur District. The region also includes the capital city of Andhra Pradesh state scheduled to develop in an approximate extent of 217 km². In view of this, Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (AP CRDA) was enacted by Government of Andhra Pradesh by AP CRDA Act, 2014. The proposed capital city is being developed with 'state-of-the-art' infrastructure including world class roads, water supply facilities, administrative and institutional complexes, drainage, sanitation, Solid Waste Management (SWM) facilities, river front development etc., among others.

APCRDA intends to prepare a Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master plan & Detailed Project Reports for Phase -I Infrastructure works for / at Amaravati Capital City. Under this project, a detailed Infrastructure Master plan consisting of individual master plans for transport, water, energy, ICT, landscapes, fire, disaster management. As a part of the sub-project design of the transport, APCRDA has plans to develop World Class integrated



transport infrastructure systems with facilities for seamless travel in line with rapid urbanization for the Amaravati Capital City. A grid network is proposed for the new Amaravati Capital city and it is classified based on functions & capabilities. The different types of roads proposed are major arterial roads, arterial roads, sub-arterial roads, collector roads and internal roads.

Road network length of major arterial roads is 44 km, arterial roads is 107 km, sub-arterial roads is 145 km, collector roads is 277 km and internal roads is 1100 km. Among the proposed road network, Among the proposed road network, 10 Priority roads namely E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11 and E12 are of primary interest and the EIA report is prepared package wise for 10 Priority roads.

The Urban Roads developmental activities effect adversely on the neighboring Environment of the proposed project. Hence, these activities required to be well planned and should consider the environmental impacts. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a technique which is necessary for identification, quantification and assessment of potential environmental impacts. Assessment of these impacts should commence early in the planning process of the project to enable full consideration of alternatives and to avoid later delays and complications in the proposed project.

1.1 Objectives

The major objective of this study is to establish present environmental condition along the project corridor through available data / information supported by field studies to evaluate the impacts on relevant environmental attributes due to the construction & operation of the proposed project; to recommend adequate mitigation measures to minimize / reduce adverse impacts and to prepare an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for timely implementation of the mitigation measures to ensure that the project will result in a high quality and safe road to users in a sustainable and environment-friendly manner. An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study basically includes:

- Establishment of the present environmental scenario;
- Study of the specific activities related to the project;
- Evaluation of the potential environmental impacts;
- Undertake an analysis of alternatives by bringing in environmental considerations into the upstream stages of sub-project planning and design;
- Preparation of Environmental Management Plan that specifies the measures to mitigate adverse impacts and enhance positive impacts of the sub-project on the



environment, along with the monitoring, capacity building and institutional arrangements.

1.2 Scope of the Study

The scope of the environmental report is given below:

- An environmental screening and categorization framework for the proposed project to identify the environmental analysis and planning aspects of the project as per MoEF & CC EIA guidelines, 2006 & subsequent amendments thereof/ Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP) Environmental & Social Management Framework prepared duly considering the WB Guidelines. (Detailed Environmental Screening process is given in Chapter -3).
- Review of National /International, state and local environmental regulatory requirements on environmental aspects, including assisting APCRDA /ADC in getting necessary approvals from the funding agencies.
- Establishing environmental baseline, covering the specific location of project sites – such as major towns, junctions, existing bypasses, proposed bypasses, forest stretches, proposed quarries, borrow areas, sensitive locations (schools, temples, hospitals, archaeological sites etc.).
- Carryout environmental study in the light of baseline conditions and proposed project activities to identify key environmental issues and defining the scope of detailed environmental assessment to be carried out as part of Infrastructure Master plan to APCRDA. During screening, consideration shall be paid to:
 - Location of the project stretch with respect to environmentally sensitive areas, and community concerns.
 - Volume, nature and technology of construction. The screening process shall include stakeholder consultations.
 - Conduct environmental analysis of alternatives for urban roads bypasses and provide specific inputs to technical analysis of alternatives.
 - The objectives of such analysis shall be to minimize environmental impacts and provide specific inputs to feasibility analysis.
- Impact assessment and prediction with respect to various environmental attributes i.e., land, air, water, noise, soil, socio-economic, ecological & biodiversity aspects of the project.



- Preparation of Implementable Environmental Management Plan with budgetary provisions and suggesting post project monitoring plan.

1.3 Need for Environmental Impact Assessment

Urban road developmental activities should be planned and executed after considering the potential environmental impacts. To minimize these adverse impacts that may be created by urban road development projects, the techniques of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) become necessary. Identification and assessment of potential environmental impacts should be an integral part of the project life cycle. It should commence early in the planning process of the project to enable a full consideration of alternatives and to avoid later delays and complications.

1.4 Project Proponent

The Government has enacted **Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (AP CRDA) Act 2014** (Act No. 11 of 2014) and it got assent of the Governor of Andhra Pradesh on 29-12-2014 and it came into force w.e.f 30-12-2014. Section 3 of the Act enables the State Government to declare by Notification the "Capital Region" and "Capital City Area" for the State of Andhra Pradesh. Section-4 of the Act enables establishment of Capital Region Development Authority for planning, co-ordination, execution, supervision, financing, funding and for promoting and securing the planned development of the Capital Region and Capital city area for the state of Andhra Pradesh and for managing and supervising urban services in the new capital area and for the matters ancillary thereto. The Government notified 7068 km² area for Capital Region vide G.O.Ms.No.253 MA & UD (M2) Department dated 30.12.2014. The Master Plan for Capital city for the area of 217 km² and the Amaravati Development Corporation (ADC) has been formed for the development and implementation of Capital City Area. The AP CRDA has assigned the "Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan & Detailed Project Reports for Phase-I Infrastructure works for / at Amaravati Capital City" to M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad and M/s. GIIC, China, vide agreement dated 09.09.2016.

1.5 Generic Structure of EIA report

In terms of the EIA notification of the MoEF&CC dated 14th September 2006, the generic structure of the EIA document shall be as under:

1. Introduction
2. Project Description



Preparation of Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan and Detailed Project Reports for Phase-I Infrastructure works for/at Amaravati City

Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan for 10 Priority Roads – E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, N11 & E12

3. Approach & Methodology
4. Environmental Regulatory Framework
5. Analysis of Alternatives (Technology and Site)
6. Description of the Environment
7. Anticipated Environmental Impact & Mitigation Measures
8. Environmental Management Plan
9. Environmental Monitoring Plan
10. Environmental Cost Estimates
11. Summary & Conclusions
12. Disclosure of Consultants engaged



Chapter 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.0 Project Location

The proposed Amaravati City of Andhra Pradesh falls in Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh and on the right bank side of Krishna River. This is covered in 24 revenue villages falling in three mandals namely Thulluru, Tadepalli and Mangalagiri and part of Tadepalli municipality. The site is abutting the River Krishna on the west of Old National Highway from Prakasam Barrage to Y-junction at Mangalagiri. The nearest railway station is Krishna Canal Junction Railway Station near Tadepalli and the nearest airport is at Gannavaram. The existing land use of the site is consisting of – fallow developable land, village settlements and farm ponds etc. The proposed road network is predominantly of urban roads category within the area of proposed capital city area of 217.23 km². Project location map is given in Figure 2-1.




Figure 2-1: Location Map showing the proposed new capital city

2.1 Description of the Proposed Project



The grid network comprises roads designed and classified based on functions and capabilities. For classification of roads (as prescribed by the Urban and Regional Development Plan Formulation and Implementation Guidelines URDPFI 2014) were identified and used as the major classes of roads in the Master Plan are: Major Arterial Roads, Arterial Roads, Sub-arterial, Collector roads and Internal roads. Based on its classification, the Right of Way (RoW) and Length of the roads proposed in the project are given in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Classification of Different types of roads

S. No	Type of Road	Nos.	RoW (m)	Length (km)
1	Major Arterial Roads	3	60	44
2	Arterial Roads	17	50	107
3	Sub-arterial Roads	17	50	145
4	Collector Roads	-	25	277
5	Internal Roads	-	15.6/17	1100
Total length of Road Network				1673

Unclassified roads such as collector, internal, local and access roads are not identified at Master Plan level, however detailed guidelines for local roads should be prepared to guide developers during development control. The proposed grid network will also be sub-categorized based on the level of social interaction, in line with international practice to create streets for people in urban settings. The different type of proposed roads is described below.

2.1.1 Major Arterial Roads

- The major arterial roads will provide circulation along the fringe of the city, therefore allowing through-traffic to bypass the Capital city Core.
- The downtown road will provide a traffic route from the major arterial road to the CBD
- Speeds along major arterial road are generally high to minimize travel time along the major arterial roads
- Major Industrial Zones to be located near the major arterial roads.

2.1.2 Arterial and Sub-arterial road network

- An arterial and sub-arterial network is developed to ensure mobility between the major areas within the City i.e. CBD, commercial zones, major townships and transport hubs.
- The arterial network also serves as the major transit corridors, especially for rapid transit systems.



- The sub-arterial network supports the arterial network, and is the primary access to township development zones.
- Infrastructure mains are to be located within or adjacent to the arterial network to ensure access to utilities.
- Traffic speeds along arterial roads should be maintained at 40-60 kmph.

2.1.3 Collector road network

- The collector road network is the main distributor of traffic at neighborhood level.
- Access to developments is to be gained from the collector roads.
- Access and Local roads are to be connected to the collector road network.
- Collector roads are to be generally designed for higher social interaction, and therefore speeds should be limited to no more than 40kmh, depending on context.
- Village Roads are to be integrated to the Collector Road network.

The proposed road hierarchy matrix is shown in Figure 2-2 below.




Figure 2-2: Proposed road hierarchy matrix

The details of the proposed major road network in Capital City area is given in Figure 2-4. Ten sub-arterial roads as outlined below are proposed to be taken up on priority to facilitate access to maximum number of existing villages as well as institutions and land pooling scheme layouts. LPS schemes layouts that are getting connected are: Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Ainavolu, Penumaka, Navalluru, Buthalpudi, Krishnaiahpalem,



Venkataiahpalem, Neerukonda, Velagapudi, Mandadam, Thulluru, Abbarajupalem, Anantavaram, Dondapadu etc. Table 2-2 shows the proposed 10 Roads+08 roads under ASCCDP Project are given below.

Table 2-2: Details of the proposed road network under ASCCDP

S. No	Road ID	Type and RoW	Chainage (km)	Length (km)	Geo Co-ordinates	
					From	To
10 Priority Roads						
1	E12	Arterial Road- 50m	0.000 to 6.79	6.79	16°27'33.43"N 80°29'57.57"E	16°27'34.23"N 80°33'46.77"E
2	N16	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 8.777	8.777	16°28'49.82"N 80°26'41.04"E	16°32'41.60"N 80°26'40.34"E
3	N14	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 8.272	8.272	16°29'9.78"N 80°27'51.77"E	16°33'38.82"N 80°27'51.03"E
4	N9	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 13.168	13.168	16°29'9.08"N 80°25'14.91"E	16°29'10.35"N 80°33'11.03"E
5	N4	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 7.170	7.170	16°27'5.70"N 80°33'11.47"E	16°30'47.13"N 80°33'26.20"E
6	E8	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 14.955	14.955	16°29'49.92"N 80°25'9.59"E	16°29'51.17"N 80°32'50.01"E
7	E6	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 9.844	9.844	16°30'23.89"N 80°25'49.58"E	16°30'58.92"N 80°30'49.04"E
8	N11	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 8.657	8.657	16°28'53.81"N 80°29'40.52"E	16°33'35.93"N 80°29'39.70"E
9	E14	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 7.360	7.360	16°26'30.84"N 80°29'47.37"E	16°26'11.87"N 80°33'47.39"E
10	E10	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 7.814	7.814	16°28'42.29"N 80°30'13.88"E	16°29'5.63"N 80°34'22.04"E
8 Roads (Phase II)						
11	E2	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 6.10	6.10	16°32'41.61"N 80°26'40.37"E	16°33'18.36"N 80°29'39.76"E
12	E4	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 15.56	15.56	16°32'7.17"N 80°26'11.12"E	16°30'2.77"N 80°34'21.91"E
13	E15	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 4.07	4.07	16°25'51.32"N 80°29'43.47"E	16°25'51.60"N 80°31'25.07"E
14	N1	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 3.67	3.67	16°28'23.50"N 80°35'22.94"E	16°29'49.79"N 80°35'49.40"E
15	N5	Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 2.06	2.06	16°25'52.41"N 80°32'55.83"E	16°27'0.21"N 80°32'55.60"E
16	N2	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 2.13	2.13	16°29'5.24"N 80°34'22.08"E	16°30'14.38"N 80°34'21.99"E
17	N7	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 12.24	12.24	16°25'17.54"N 80°32'0.70"E	16°31'48.26"N 80°32'33.82"E
18	N18	Sub Arterial Road – 50m	0.000 to 2.30	2.30	16°29'8.90"N 80°25'30.02"E	16°30'24.26"N 80°25'29.73"E

Figure 2-3: Proposed Road network for the Capital city area of Amaravati





Figure 2-4: Proposed 10 Roads in Capital City area of Amaravati



2.1.4 Proposed Road Elements

Road elements for the proposed road cross sections need to cater for all users. In the major arterial roads and arterial roads, the cross-sections should cater for higher vehicular volume, whereas in the sub-arterial and collector roads, emphasis will be given to the public and non-motorized transport users, particularly pedestrians and cyclists, and bus and rapid transit riders. For these purposes, the road widths for the defined roads are set in the master plan. To do this, road design guidelines have been developed for use in the master plan. These guidelines may be further refined based on the local authority's requirements.

The roads are further classified into packages as shown in Table 2-3. The general features of the proposed roads are given in Table 2-4 and Table 2-5. Typical Cross Section for 4-lane sub-arterial road with BRT for construction in filling and partial cutting is given in Figures 2-5 & 2-6 respectively and without BRT for construction in filling and partial cutting is given in Figures 2-7 & 2-8 respectively.

Table 2-3: Packages of the Proposed 10 Priority Roads

S. No	Package	Roads
1	Package-I	E8
2	Package-II	N9
3	Package-III	N4,N14
4	Package-IV	E10,E14,N16
5	Package-V	E6
6	Package-VI	N11 & E12

Table 2-4: General features of the Proposed 10 Priority Roads

Package	Road ID	Type of Road	Length (km)	TCS	No. of structures	Cost (Cr.)
Package-I	E8	Sub-Arterial	14.955	4-lane + 2 lane BRT	3 Bridges & 17 Culverts	287.00
Package-II	N9	Sub-Arterial	13.168	4 lane + 2 lane BRT	2 bridge & 17 Culverts	317.46
Package-III	N4	Sub-Arterial	7.170	4 lane	2 bridges & 12 Culverts	149.39
	N14	Sub-Arterial	8.272	4 lane	2 bridges & 7 Culverts	141.79
Package-IV	E10	Sub-Arterial	7.814	4 lane	1 Bridge & 14 Culverts	152.48
	E14	Sub-Arterial	7.360	4 lane	17 Culverts	165.30
	N16	Sub-Arterial	8.777	4 lane	1 bridge & 12 Culverts	131.32
Package-V	E6	Sub-arterial	9.844	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	4 Bridges & 19 Culverts	120.03
Package-VI	E12	Sub-arterial	6.790	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	1 Bridge & 23 Culverts	140.30
	N11	Sub-arterial	8.657	4-lane + 2-lane BRT	1 Bridge & 24 Culverts	47.94
Total Length			92.807		Total Cost (Cr.)	1653.01




Figure 2-5: TCS for proposed 4-Lane + 2-Lane BRT Sub-arterial road for construction in Filling

Figure 2-6: TCS for proposed 4-Lane + 2-Lane BRT Sub-arterial road for construction in Partial Cutting




Figure 2-7: TCS for proposed 4-Lane Sub-arterial road for construction in Filling




Figure 2-8: TCS for proposed 4-Lane Sub-arterial road for construction in Partial Cutting

2.2 Salient features of the Project Area and influence area

The new Capital city, Amaravati is almost a Greenfield site and is therefore lacking in infrastructure. Major investment in transportation and infrastructure is required in the coming years to prepare the site as the new Capital of Andhra Pradesh. The transportation and infrastructural requirements, planning intention and objectives have been identified in the master plan. This is to guide the future detailed studies that will be conducted to develop detailed transportation and infrastructure plans for the Capital City. These recommendations have been included in the land use master plan to reserve appropriate land space for infrastructure development. The environmental setting within 10 km radius of the project and other features are given in Table 2-5 & Table 2-6.

Table 2-5: Environmental setting within sub-project influence area (10 km radius)

S. No	Particulars	Details
1	Latitude and Longitude	North East Coordinate- 16°30'30" N, 80°37' E South West Coordinate-16°29' N, 80°25' E North West Coordinate-16°31' N, 80°22'30" E South East Coordinate-16°24'30" N, 80°34' E
2	Elevation above Mean Sea Level	Varying from 18m to 260m
3	Nearest Highway	NH16 passing within the boundary of the sub-project influence area (SPIA)
4	Nearest Railway Station	Krishna Canal Junction Railway Station near Tadepalli, 1.26 km, East direction from N1 road
5	Nearest Airport	Gannavaram Airport 22 km, North-East direction
6	Nearest City	Vijayawada, 3 km North-East
7	Rivers	River Krishna on the northern fringes of the sub-project influence area, 160 m, North-East direction from N4 road
8	Hills/Valleys, Monuments	Tadepalli Hills, 1.40 km, East direction from N1 road
9	Archaeologically important places	The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli and fort, 1650 m, North East direction from E10 road
10	National Parks/ Forest areas	No National Park within 10 km radius Reserve Forests (R.F) are as under: Tadepalli R.F, 1.37 km, North-East direction from E12 road Mangalagiri R.F, 2.49 km, South-East direction from E12 road Karlapudi R.F, 2.3 km, West direction from E8 road Motadaka R.F, 4.71 km, South-West direction from N16 road Kondapalli R.F, 6.18 km, North direction from N14 road
11	List of major industries in 10 km radius	Dr. Narla Tata Rao Thermal Power Plant, 4.10 km North-East direction.
12	Wildlife Sanctuary	None within 10 km radius
13	Core Biosphere reserve	None within 10 km radius

Table 2-6: Other features of the area

S. No	Parameter (s)	Description
1	Location of Project	Amaravati Capital City
2	Terrain	Plain/Rolling
3	Major Settlement along the Project Stretch	Modugulankapalem, Malkapuram, Lingayapalem, Abbarajupalem, Nelapadu, Penumaka, Velagapudi, Venkatapalem, Bethapudi, Neerukonda, Undavalli, Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Krishnayapalem, Thullur, Navuluru, and Dondapadu
4	Rivers/ Streams/ Canals	Kondaveeti Vagu and Pala Vagu
5	Forest area and Sanctuaries	-
6	Length of the Existing Alignment	-
7	Existing Carriageway Width	-
8	Proposed Carriageway width	14m carriageway with 7m BRT lane (2x2 Lane configuration of 7 m carriageway along with 2 lane BRT)
9	Administrative locations	Guntur District
10	State	Andhra Pradesh

2.3 Study of existing amenities

The study has been conducted to know the existing amenities in the proposed project area. The Chainage wise features like terrain, land-use, nature of soil, location of water bodies, cross drainage structures have been recorded.

2.3.1 Terrain

Terrain is classified by the general slope of the project area across the roads alignment. The general topography of the area along the project corridor is a combination of plain and rolling terrain shown in Table 2-7. Figure 2-9 is showing the photographs of the terrain in the sub-project influence area.

Table 2-7: Terrain Details

Type of Terrain	Percentage
Plain	98%

Type of Terrain	Percentage
Rolling	2%




Figure 2-9: Terrain in the sub-project influence area

2.3.2 Land Use

The proposed 10 priority roads pass through villages like Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Ainavolu, Penumaka, Navalluru, Buthalpudi, Krishnaiahpalem, Venkataiahpalem, Neerukonda, Velagapudi, Mandadam, Thulluru, Abbarajupalem, Anantavaram, Dondapadu etc. Much of adjoining land is developable land and built up sections. There is no forest area involved in construction of the roads project. The type of land use pattern that exists along the project stretch in sub-project influence area is presented in Table 2-8.

Table 2-8: Existing Land Use Distribution for Sub-Project Influence Area

S. No	Land Use	Capital City		Proposed roads	
		Area (Ha)	%	Area (Ha)	%
1	Developable Land	16302.2	75	419.4	97.6
2	Hills	426.5	2	0.0	0.0
3	Islands	1750.9	8	0.0	0.0
4	Village Settlements	1449.4	7	5.1	1.2
5	Water Bodies	497.5	6	3.1	0.7
6	River	1277.7	2	1.9	0.4
Total		21704.2	100.0	429.6	100

2.3.3 Villages and Towns

This Section passes through Modugulankapalem, Malkapuram, Lingayapalem, Abbarajupalem, Nelapadu, Penumaka, Ananthavaram, Velagapudi, Venkatapalem, Bethapudi, Neerukonda and Nidamaru etc. Further there are more number of villages and hamlets with less density along the project stretch and the list of villages, areas and population are given in Table 2-9. The photographs showing the view of the sub-project influence area village is given in Figure 2-10.

Table 2-9: List of Villages, Areas and Population in the Study Area

S. No	Mandal	Village	Area in km ²	Population
1	Thulluru	Lingayapalem including Hamlet Villages of Modugulankapalem	14.49	1554
2		Uddandarayunipalem		1503
3		Malkapuram		1360
4		Velagapudi	8.09	2688
5		Sakamuru	6.58	1218
6		Nekkallu	5.71	1908
7		Dondapadu	1.56	2189
8		Nelapadu	5.74	1028
9		Venkatapalem	11.09	3732
10		Abbarajupalem	5.86	490
11		Borupalem		1190
12	Tadepalli	Penumaka	8.85	7918
13	Mangalagiri	Krishnayapalem	6.34	1560
14		Bethapudi	19.53	6883
15		Kuragallu including Hamlet villages of Neerukonda	14.33	4340
Total			129.77	49148



Figure 2-10: Photograph showing Thullur and Malkapuram Village

2.4 Drainage Pattern

Capital city area is bound by several natural features mainly the Krishna River and seasonal streams, Kondapalli, Mangalagiri and Tadepalli reserve forest and hills. Existing terrain within the proposed Capital city is flat and gently slopes towards Northeast. All major and minor streams in this area originate either from South, Southwest or West, draining to Northeast and discharge finally in to River Krishna upstream of Prakasam Barrage.

Amaravati area is currently protected from the floods within the Krishna River by a flood bund along the right bank of river.

A typical drainage map of the Amaravati Capital City Region is given in Figure 2-11. Maps showing the drainage patterns of roads are attached separately in **Annexure-I**.

Figure 2-11: Drainage map of Amaravati Capital City

2.5 Traffic Study Analysis

An accurate estimate of the traffic that is likely to use the Project road is very important as it forms the basic input in planning, design, operation and financing. A thorough knowledge of the travel characteristics of the traffic likely to use the Project road as well as other major roads in the sub-project influence area is essential for future traffic estimation. The estimation of revenue through toll collection is important to assess the financial viability of the project and to finalize the financial covenants for the concession agreement. At present, there is a minimal traffic in the Amaravati Capital City Area, thus, accurate assessment of the traffic forecasting attains utmost importance in the project. Hence, detailed traffic surveys are being carried out to assess the baseline traffic characteristics on the project road. However, the tentative range of traffic figures predicted for the year 2050 at different junctions of the proposed roads is given in Table 2-10 for the estimation purpose and the same will be confirmed at the later stage of the project.

2.6 Requirement of Natural Resources for the Project

The major requirement of the natural resources such as borrow earth, moorum, aggregates, sand, cement, HYDS bars, bitumen, water etc., for the construction of the 2/4/6-laning of the proposed road infrastructure in the sub-project influence area. The quantity of the resources requirement in the project is given in Table 2-11.

Borrow earth, moorum, sand and aggregate shall be taken from operational licensed borrow areas and quarries located around nearby areas. Steel, cement, bitumen, emulsion etc., would be sourced from authorized locally available industries/vendors in/around Vijayawada. The water will be extracted from the nearest water bodies after getting formal approval from the concerned department for construction and workers camps purposes. The details of the borrow areas, Metal and Sand quarries in the sub-project influence area are allotted for AP CRDA by the Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP; and the masshaul diagram of the same can be found in **Annexure -II**. The details of the quarry map around the Amaravati Capital City Area is given in Figure 2-12. The allocation of borrow areas for APCRDA as per the quantities recommended in Table 2-11. List of borrow areas, metal quarries are given in **Annexure -II**.

Table 2-10: Tentative Traffic Estimation at Various Road Junctions of the proposed roads for the year 2050

Junction No.	Heavy Vehicles			Buses			Cars			Peak Hour Vehicles			Vehicles per day		
	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Package -I (E8)															
1115	2047	-	-	420	-	-	-	2467	-	-	24670	-	-	-	-
1696	-	2319	-	-	431	-	-	-	2750	-	-	27500	-	-	-
1576	-	-	4138	-	-	-	891	-	-	5029	-	-	-	50290	-
Package -II (N9)															
1450	523	-	-	110	-	-	-	633	-	-	6330	-	-	-	-
1453	-	1255	-	-	292	-	-	-	1517	-	-	15170	-	-	-
1471	-	-	1550	-	-	-	316	-	-	1866	-	-	-	18660	-
Package -III (N4)															
1727	1096	-	-	270	-	-	-	1366	-	-	13660	-	-	-	-
1717	-	2140	-	-	444	-	-	-	2584	-	-	25840	-	-	-
1725	-	-	4340	-	-	-	922	-	-	5262	-	-	-	52620	-
Package -III (N14)															
1183	308	-	-	120	-	-	-	428	-	-	4280	-	-	-	-
1175	-	985	-	-	212	-	-	-	1197	-	-	11970	-	-	-

Junctio n No.	Heavy Vehicles			Buses			Cars			Peak Hour Vehicles			Vehicles per day		
	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
1168	-	-	1209	-	-	-	268	-	-	1477	-	-	-	-	14770
Package -IV (E10)															
1458	335	-	-	117	-	-	-	452	-	-	4520	-	-	-	-
1745	-	1074	-	-	-	293	-	-	1367	-	-	13670	-	-	-
1772	-	-	2846	-	-	-	662	-	-	3508	-	-	-	-	35080
Package -IV (E14)															
1446	480	-	-	124	-	-	-	604	-	-	6040	-	-	-	-
1699	-	1456	-	-	-	294	-	-	1750	-	-	17500	-	-	-
1649	-	-	2268	-	-	-	528	-	-	2796	-	-	-	-	27960
Package -IV (N16)															
1088	452	-	-	100	-	-	-	552	-	-	5520	-	-	-	-
1078	-	1291	-	-	-	249	-	-	1540	-	-	15400	-	-	-
1082	-	-	1543	-	-	-	300	-	-	1843	-	-	-	-	18430
Package - V (E6)															
1252	-	-	179	-	-	2515	-	-	11681	-	-	14376	-	-	14376 0

Junction No.	Heavy Vehicles			Buses			Cars			Peak Hour Vehicles			Vehicles per day		
	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
1336	-	99	-	-	1392	-	-	6466	-	-	7957	-	-	7957	-
1062	45	-	-	636	-	-	2954	-	-	3635	-	-	3635	-	-
Package VI (N11)															
1327	-	-	90	-	-	1260	-	-	5861	-	-	7213	-	-	72130
1317	-	30	-	-	420	-	-	1950	-	-	2400	-	-	24000	-
1331	67	-	-	946	-	-	4394	-	-	5408	-	-	54080	-	-
Package-VI (E12)															
1693	-	-	172	-	-	2410	-	-	11190	-	-	13773	-	-	137730
1453	-	87	-	-	1223	-	-	5682	-	-	6994	-	-	69940	-
1403	36	-	-	510	-	-	2371	-	-	2919	-	-	29190	-	-

Table 2-11: Quantities of Natural Resources required for the proposed roads

S. No	Construction Material	Quantity (Cum /Tones)									
		Package -I	Package -II	Package -III		Package -IV			Package -V	Package -VI	
		E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16	E6	N11	E12
1	Borrow Earth (Cum)	6,03,975	5,78,740	4,20,695	3,78,260	4,57,900	3,41,305	3,95,706	324658	287694	239786
2	Moorum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98	901	1682
3	Aggregates (Cum)	3,68,150	2,97,066	1,46,027	1,67,475	1,62,175	1,43,918	1,70,978	325934	257481	207330
4	Sand (Cum)	29,326	19,662	15,288	14,351	11,633	1,697	11,425	74101	36163	35428
5	Cement (MT)	16,300	11,845	9,455	8,002	7,000	727	6,382	38236	21946	15646
6	HYSD Bars (MT)	3,950	2,837	2,670	2,094	1,750	97	1,236	7982	4285	2768
7	Bitumen (MT)	6,203	5,097	2,000	2,367	2,325	2,118	2,484	4180	3576	2952

8	Emulsion (MT)	569	468	182	214	213	197	226	388	336	278
9	Water (KL)	10,000	8,000	6,500	5,500	4,500	1,000	4,000	91384	81333	67507

(b)




Figure 2-12: Quarry map around Amaravati Capital City

Chapter 4

APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

3.0 Approach and Methodology

The approach for the preparation of EIA involves the following steps:

A project specific Environmental Assessment study has been carried out for proposed Smart Integrated Infrastructure Master Plan & Detailed Project Reports for Phase-I Infrastructure works for / at Amaravati Capital City in accordance with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) developed for Roads & Highway Projects guidelines and Operational Manual of World Bank & guidelines of Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India.

The approach to carry out site specific EIA study was organized in four tasks and is based on the field investigations and reconnaissance surveys in the project area, collection, collation and analysis of secondary data and discussions with key stakeholders on the potential impacts of the project. Information on various environmental components were collected through survey oriented screening, collection of data using questionnaire, monitoring of air, water and soil quality and collection of secondary data.

The Screening exercise along the proposed roads pinpointed the environmental constraints. The sensitive receptors identified during the screening exercise were further assessed for their criticality and impacts jointly by teams of environmental specialist, social impact specialist, design engineers and surveyors. The proposed alignment has been examined in detail for any direct, indirect or impact on environmental characteristics during report preparation. A variety of mitigation measures have been included in road design to minimize the adverse effects of road widening on environmental components. During screening, the following screening process was used to assess the extent of potential impacts on different environmental components.

The analysis of location of environmental features with respect to the project alignment and extent of identified impacts due to project, reflect that most of the impacts are of low and medium extent and mainly related to construction activities which are mostly temporary in nature. Based on the environmental screening the project is Classified as Category-B project in accordance with World Bank's Policy (OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment) and therefore it warrants site specific Environmental Impact Assessment.

For Environmental Impact Assessment study, the task wise activities undertaken for screening process is presented below:

3.1 Sub-Project Influence Area

The direct Corridor of Impact (CoI) has been considered 20m on either side, beyond RoW of all type of the roads. The sub-project influence area (SPIA) is considered as 10 km on either side of the road, for Eco-sensitive areas, such as wildlife sanctuary, national parks, tiger reserves, notified wetlands, elephant corridors, etc. as notified by the MoEF & CC, New Delhi.

3.2 Task 1: Collection of Baseline Environmental Data

3.2.1 Secondary Baseline Data

Secondary data of the project area has been collected from secondary sources like published literature from various government agencies, or institutions on physical, biological and social components of environment. The data were reviewed and verified for establishing existing environmental and ecological status within the project area. Following documents from different sources were consulted for collection of baseline environmental data. The source of secondary data is given in Table 3-1.

Table 3-12: Sources of Secondary Data

S. No	Information	Source
1	Topo sheets, District Planning Maps etc.	Survey of India, GoI.
2	Meteorological Data for the year 1971 to 2000	India Meteorological Department, Gannavaram.
3	Geological Data	Geological Survey of India
4	Reserved Forests, Protected Forests Wildlife Sanctuaries, Forests Statistics etc.	Forests Department, GoAP, MoEF&CC, New Delhi
5	Land-use Pattern	CRDA Master Plan
6	Wetland Atlas	MoEF & CC, New Delhi
7	Demographic Profile	District Statistics Handbook
8	Census of India	Census of India, GoI

3.2.2 Primary Baseline Data

The primary baseline information on different environmental components were collected through field survey. Field survey were carried out to collect information on the major environmental features such as settlement facilities, drainage pattern of the area, forest, trees within RoW of the alignment, water bodies, river crossing, sensitive receptors, air, water, noise and soil quality etc. and were studied in detail, which helped in identifying areas of concern along the stretch and critical issues. Consultation with the local officials and public were carried out also on the salient environmental features of the project area, etc.

Further primary samples surveys for the environmental components, such as air, surface water, noise and soil characteristics that are critical in the context of the project were carried out. The post-monsoon season monitoring was carried out in the months of October to November, 2016.

Ambient Air Quality: Ambient air monitoring stations were established at various locations along the project section accessing the ambient air quality in the project area. The air quality parameters considered for the study included Particulate Matter (PM₁₀), Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}), Nitrogen oxides (NO_x), Sulphur dioxide (SO₂), and Carbon Monoxide (CO). The criteria for the selection of sampling sites were based on type of activity, residential, commercial, traffic congestion, urban centres, location of sensitive receptors etc. Monitoring was done 20-30 m away from the central line of the existing roads from the villages. 24 hourly monitoring was carried out twice in a week for one season.

Water Quality: Grab samples were collected from River Krishna and farm ponds/lakes in the study area of APCRDA to assess the surface water quality in the project area. Ground water samples were collected from handpumps. The water samples were tested for different physico-chemical parameters such as dissolved oxygen, total alkalinity, pH, conductivity, TDS, BOD, COD, etc. using standard methods (APHA, 1998). Bacteriological quality of the water was tested using the membrane filter method (APHA, 1998).

Soil Quality: The composite soil samples were collected from different locations in the study area. They were analyzed for relevant physico-chemical parameters using standard analytical methods (Allen, 1989; Anderson and Ingram, 1993; Rowell, 1994) to assess the soil quality of the area.

Noise Level: 24 hourly ambient noise level was measured using noise level meter at various key locations of study area during day and night time. The selection of sampling locations was based on land use & categorization of the study area. The noise levels have been expressed as an equivalent noise level (Leq), which is the measurement of sound pressure level as the logarithmic averaging time.

Flora and Fauna Study: A list of all macroscopic plants is prepared based on extensive field survey covering the entire project site or core area. If any species cannot be identified, photos of the plant and plant parts are taken and a field note is prepared on the plant for subsequent identification.

Quantitative data on frequency, density, dominance as percent cover etc are noted based on quadrants of 10 x 10 m in case of trees, 2 x 5 m in case of shrubs and 1 x 1 m in case of herbs. Cover is usually estimated by a modified line intercept method where the

area occupied by each species is estimated as percentage of total length of a line transect either intercepted or over lain or under laid along with the transect.

Data from field study is recorded in the following table.

List of plant species found in the Project area				
Name of plant species	Local name or common name (if any)	Family		
Frequency, density and dominance of different species of plants (separate Table for each quadrant and transect will be maintained)				
Name of plant species	Frequency (recorded as + or - only)	Density as number per quadrant	% cover based on line transects	

Relative frequency (R.F), relative density (R.D), relative dominance (R.dom) and Importance value indices (IVI) of different species							
Name of plant species	Frequency		Density		Dominance		IVI
	%	R.F	%	R.D	%	R.Dom	

Based on the IVI values, Shannon –Wiener Indices of Diversity was calculated.

Shannon – Wiener Indices of Diversity was calculated as the sum of p^i value of each species multiplied by \ln of p^i using the following equation:

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^S p_i \ln p_i$$

Where, p^i is calculated by dividing the IVI of a species by the total IVI of all species in the sampled community.

3.3 Task 2: Review of Policies, Regulations and Institutional Arrangements

A review of all applicable operational policies / directives of MoEF&CC, State government, the World Bank and environmental laws / regulations in India, were carried out in this task. In addition to the above, the following key environmental regulations / policies in India that may affect / influence the project environment both during preparation and implementation stages were also reviewed.

World Bank Safeguards Policies:

- OP 4.01: Environmental Assessment
- OP 4.04: Natural Habitats
- OP 4.36: Forests
- OP 4.09: Pest Management
- OP 4.12: Involuntary Resettlement
- OP 4.10: Indigenous Peoples
- OP 4.11: Physical Cultural Resources
- OP 4.37: Safety of Dams
- OP 7.50: Projects on International Waterways
- OP 7.60: Projects in Disputed Areas

Other Indian Rules & Regulation and Polices:

- EIA Notification, 2006 and amendment thereafter
- Environment (Protection) Act, 1986
- Water (Prevention & Control) Act, 1974
- Air (Prevention & Control) Act 1981
- Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980
- Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules, 1989
- The Motor Vehicle Rule, 1983
- The Explosives Act, 1984

3.4 Task 3: Identification of Potential Environmental Impacts

Based on the baseline environmental profile of the project area and proposed improvement and project activities, impacts of the proposed project on various environmental components were identified. The impacts were also analyzed with respect to pre-construction, construction and operation phases and were categorized in terms of magnitude and significance.

The environmental impacts identified through the earlier tasks were used in the design formulation process to integrate environmental issues and for identification of suitable mitigation measures.

3.5 Task 4: Preparation of Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan

Based on the nature and type of environmental impacts anticipated, mitigation measures for preventing / minimizing the same were identified and an Environmental Management Plan has been formulated both for the project execution and operation phases. Monitoring requirements and institutional responsibilities for the implementation of the suggested management plan has also been worked out. The cost for implementing the proposed environmental mitigation measures has been worked out and presented in the report for necessary budgetary allocations as part of the project cost.

The methodology presented in the report for necessary budgetary allocations as part of the project cost.




Figure 3-13: Flow Chart showing the EIA Methodology

Chapter 5

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

4.0 Environmental Regulatory Framework

The increase of environmental concerns has necessitated appropriate tools to protect the environment. India has developed a comprehensive regulatory framework to address environmental and social concerns in relation to development projects. Its wide-ranging enactments cover almost all major issues that need to be addressed in the course of development of infrastructure from a social and environmental perspective. The following section describes the institutional set-up and key legislation pertaining to environmental issues.

4.1 Institutional Framework

The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC) to serve as the focal point in the administrative structure for the planning, promotion and coordination of environmental and forestry programs. The Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) has been renamed recently in the year 2014 as Ministry of Environment and Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC). The MoEF&CC has overall authority for the administration and implementation of government policies, laws and regulations related to the environment, including conservation, environmental assessment, sustainable development and pollution control. MoEF & CC identifies the need to enact new laws and amend existing environmental legislation when required, to continue to conserve and protect the environment. At the state level, the MoEF & CC authority is implemented by the Department of the Environment, Forests and Science & Technology.

In 1976, the 42nd Constitutional Amendment created Article 48A and 51A, placing an obligation on every citizen of the country to attempt to conserve the environment. Thus, several laws related to environmental conservation were passed to strengthen existing legislation. Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 is the landmark legislation as it provides for the protection of environment and aims at plugging the loopholes in the other related acts.

The Government of India through specific legislation regulates the environmental management system in India. The Ministries / Statutory Bodies responsible for ensuring environmental compliance by project proponents include:

- The Ministry of Environment & Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC)
- Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB)
- Andhra Pradesh Pollution Control Board (APPCB)
- Department of Environment, Forests and Science & Technology in the States

4.2 Applicability of International, National, State and Local Environmental norms

The proposed Road network in the Capital City area is attracting various International, National, State, regional and World Bank environmental laws, rules and regulations. These regulations and rules are helpful in impact mitigation and improvement of the environment. The environmental assessment study will be carried out as per the requirement of the National/State/World Bank environmental guidelines. The applicability of the regulatory norms is given in Table 4-1.

Table 4-13: Applicability of Environmental Regulatory Norms for Urban Roads

Project	Project Components	Applicability of Environmental Laws, Policies and Notifications	Remarks
<p>Construction of Proposed 10 Roads in the Capital City area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Right of Way • Land Acquisition • Protected Social Forestry throughout the Right of Way (RoW) • Quarries • Borrow Areas • Establishment of Hot Mix Plants and Batch Mix Plants • Sensitive Locations (Schools, hospitals, etc.) • Archaeological Sites 	<p>The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and further notifications issued under this Act.</p>	<p>Any act during implementation causing damage to environment</p> <p>As per the Environment (Protection) Act (EP) 1986, ambient noise levels are to be maintained as stipulated by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) for different categories of areas like, commercial, residential and silence zones, etc., during sub-project construction and operation. Section -3 (2) (iii & iv).</p>
		<p>Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977 including Rules</p>	<p>Applicable to all activities, which discharge effluents because of process or operations.</p>
		<p>Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 – as amended in 1978 & 1988.</p>	<p>Section 3 (2) (a) of the Act and Cess to the Govt. of India as per Table -I & II for consumption of water for domestic, commercial and industrial purposes.</p>
		<p>Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 – as amended in 1988.</p>	<p>Applicable if the project involves any activities in the reserved forests, village forests, protected forests and other areas as declared by the state Government. Forest Conservation Act –Chapter –2.4 and Chapter –3.0.</p>
		<p>The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958, as amended in 2010.</p> <p>Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Rules, 1959.</p>	<p>Not applicable since The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli is 1650 m, East direction from E10 road (closest 10 Priority road)</p>
<p>Wildlife Protection Act, 1972, amended thereof. The Wildlife (Protection) Rules, 1995.</p>	<p>The act prohibits picking, uprooting, damaging, destroying, acquiring any specified plant from any forest land.</p>		

Project	Project Components	Applicability of Environmental Laws, Policies and Notifications	Remarks
			It bans the use of injurious substances, chemicals, explosives that may cause injury or endanger any wildlife.
		<p>A. P. New Sand Policy, 2016</p> <p>G.O.Ms.No.21 Dated: 19-01-2016</p> <p>Read the following:</p> <p>1) G.O.Ms.No.19, Ind. & Com. (M.II) Dept., Dt.15.01.2016.</p> <p>2) G.O.Ms.No.20, Ind. & Com. (M.II) Dept., Dt.15.01.2016.</p>	<p>The act prohibits in-stream mining of sand. The maximum sale price of the sand shall be read as Rs.500/- per cubic metre in the State.</p> <p>The Government reserves the right to allot any of the specified sand bearing area on nomination basis for consumption of sand in Government works such as Major Irrigation Projects, Capital Region Development and such other Public purposes on payment of the Seignior age fees, contribution fund to DMF and MERIT at applicable rates.</p>
		Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notification 1991 as amended till January 2011	Not Applicable
		The Hazardous Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989 and subsequent amendments thereof till date.	<p>Materials such as heavy metals, toxic inorganic, oils, emulsions, spent chemicals and Metal-finishing wastes emanating during construction and operation shall be stored and disposed of as per the Rules.</p> <p>Rule 17, 18 & 19 of the Act.</p>
		The Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991.	<p>Act enables the people to access legal aid to claim compensation in the event of an accident occurred while handling any hazardous substance. So, insurance needs to be taken up by the project implementing agencies or contractors.</p> <p>PLI Act: Act 6 of 1991 as amended by Act 11 of 1992.</p>
		Ministry of Environment Forests & Climate Change (MoEF&CC) EIA	<p>Not Applicable.</p> <p>The EIA Report should be</p>

Project	Project Components	Applicability of Environmental Laws, Policies and Notifications	Remarks
		Notification (New) issued on 14 th September, 2006 and subsequent amendments thereof till date.	prepared as per the World Bank guidelines
		World Bank Operational Directive and Operational Policies for Environmental Impact Assessment OP:4.01, OP 4.04: Natural Habitats, OP 4.36: Forests, OP 4.11: Operational Policy on cultural property and OP 4.12: Involuntary Resettlement for roads & highways projects.	Applicable in preparation of Environmental assessment report, protection of cultural property, forest clearances etc.
		Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000	Applicable Under Rule 3(1) & 4 (1) - Clause 2, 3 & 6.
		Land Acquisition Act 1894 Land Acquisition Act 1989 & RFCTLARR Act, 2013. AP Capital City Land Pooling Scheme New Guidelines, The Andhra Pradesh Capital City Amaravati Land Pooling Scheme (Formulation and Implementation) Rules, 2015 - Amendment Notification. AP Municipal Administration & Urban Development (CRDA.2) Department G.O.MS.No. 41 Dated: 17-02-2016.	Applicable. To set out rules for the acquisition of land by Government.
		Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 Rules of Road Regulations, 1989	Applicable. To enforce urban roads/highway codes during construction and operation.

A brief description of relevant laws is given below:

EIA Notification, 2006

This is the Indian Government's Guidelines for environmental impact assessment governing all the development interventions that takes place within the boundaries of India. EIA notification was issued by Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC) in 2006. Under this EIA Notification, the projects listed in Schedule-1 of the Notification require prior environmental clearance. The objective of the notification is to formulate a transparent, decentralized and efficient regulatory mechanism to:

- Incorporate necessary environmental safeguards at planning stage
- Involve stakeholders in the public consultation process
- Identify developmental projects based on impact potential instead of the investment criteria

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and amendment thereafter, the Expansion of State Highway does not require environmental clearance except for the State highways expansion project in hilly terrain (above 1000 m above mean sea level) and/or in eco-sensitive areas. As per Hon'ble Supreme court's direction, 10 km radius from the boundary of wildlife sanctuary will be considered as eco-sensitive zone till the actual radius of the eco-sensitive zone around the wildlife sanctuary boundary is notified by the state government. The MDR and ODRs do not come in the purview of EIA Notification, 2006.

Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980:

The Forest Conservation Act, 1980 is a Central Act of Parliament with a view to provide for the conservation of forest and for matters connected therewith or ancillary or incidental thereto. The act extends to the whole of India except the state of Jammu and Kashmir. Section 2 of the act makes a provision of a prior approval of the Central Government necessary before a State Government or any other authority issues direction for dereservation of reserved forests (which have been reserved under the Indian Forest Act 1927), use of forest land for non – forest purpose, assigning forest land by way of lease or otherwise to any private person or to any authority, corporation, agency or any other organization not owned, managed or controlled by the government and clear felling of naturally grown trees. The term "forest land" mentioned in Section 2 of the Act refers to reserved forest, protected forest or any area recorded as forest in the government records. Lands which are notified under section 4 of the Indian Forest Act would also come within the purview of the Forest Conservation Act 1980.

Submission of the proposals seeking approval of the Central Government under section 2 of the Act. -

(1) Every user agency, who wants to use any forest land for non-forest purposes shall make his proposal in the appropriate Form appended to these rules, i.e. Form A for proposals seeking first time approval under the Act and Form B for proposals seeking renewal of leases where approval of the Central Government under the Act had already been obtained earlier, to the concerned nodal officer authorized in this behalf by the State Government, along with requisite information and documents, complete in all respects, well in advance of taking up any non-forest activity on the forest land.

(2) Every State Government or other authority, after having received the proposal under sub-rule (1) and after being satisfied that the proposal requires prior approval under section 2 of the Act, shall send the proposal to the Central Government in the appropriate forms, within ninety days of the receipt of the proposal from the user agency for proposals seeking first time approval under the Act and within sixty days for proposals seeking renewal of leases where approval of the Central Government under the Act had already been obtained earlier: Provided that all proposals involving clearing naturally grown trees in forest land or portion thereof for the purpose of using it for re-forestation shall be sent in the form of Working Plan or Management Plan.

(3) The proposal referred to in sub-rule (2) above, involving forest land of more than forty hectare shall be sent by the State Government to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110 003, with a copy of the proposal (with complete enclosures) to the concerned Regional Office.

(4) The proposal referred to in sub-rule (2) above, involving forest land up to forty hectares shall be sent to the Chief Conservator of Forests or Conservator of Forests of the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry of Environment and Forests.

(5) The proposal referred to in sub-rule (2) above, involving clearing of naturally grown trees in forest land or portion thereof for the purpose of using it for reforestation shall be sent to the Chief Conservator of Forests or Conservator of Forests of the concerned Regional Office of the Ministry of Environment and Forests.

Committee to advice on proposals received by the Central Government. -

(1) The Central Government shall refer every proposal, complete in all respects, received by it under sub-rule (3) of rule 6 including site inspection report, wherever required, to the Committee for its advice thereon.

(2) The Committee shall have due regard to all or any of the following matters while tendering its advice on the proposals referred to it under sub-rule (1), namely: - a. Whether the forests land proposed to be used for non-forest purpose forms part of a nature reserve, national park wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve or forms part of the habitat or any endangered or threatened species of flora and fauna or of an area lying in severely eroded catchment; b. Whether the use of any forest land is for agricultural purposes or for the rehabilitation of persons displaced from their residences by reason of any river valley or hydro-electric project; c. Whether the State Government or the other authority has certified that it has considered all other alternatives and that no other alternatives in the circumstances are feasible and that the required area is the minimum needed for the purpose; and d. Whether the State Government or the other authority undertakes to provide at its cost for the acquisition of land of an equivalent area and afforestation thereof.

(3) While tendering the advice, the Committee may also suggest any conditions or restrictions on the use of any forest land for any non-forest purpose, which in its opinion, would minimise adverse environmental impact.

Wild Life Protection Act, 1972:

The Wildlife Act was passed in 1972 to protect the wildlife and their habitats. The habitat destruction due to agriculture, industries, urbanization and other human activities had led to the erosion of the country's wildlife.

The major activities and provisions in the act can be summed up as follows:

1. It defines the wildlife related terminology.
2. Enactment of an All India Wildlife Protection Act (1972).
3. It provides for the appointment of wildlife advisory Board, Wildlife warden, their powers, duties etc.
4. Becoming a party to the Convention of International Trade in Endangered Species of Fauna and Flora (CITES, 1976).
5. Launching a "national component of UNESCO's 'Man and Biosphere Programme' (1971).

6. Under the Act, comprehensive listing of endangered wildlife species was done for the first time and prohibition of hunting of the endangered species was mentioned.
7. Protection to some endangered plants.
8. The Act provides for setting up of National Parks, Wildlife Sanctuaries etc.
9. The Act provides for the constitution of Central Zoo Authority.
10. There is provision for trade and commerce in some wildlife species with license for sale, possession, transfer etc.
11. The act imposes a ban on the trade or commerce in scheduled animals.
12. It provides for legal powers to officers and punishment to offenders.
13. It provides for captive breeding programme for endangered species. Several Conservation Projects for individual endangered species like Lion (1972), Tiger (1973), Crocodile (1974) and Brown antlered Deer (1981) were stated under this Act. The Act is adopted by all states in India except J & K, which has its own Act.

The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974:

The act resulted in the establishment of the Central and State Level Pollution Control Boards whose responsibilities include managing water quality and effluent standards, as well as monitoring water quality, prosecuting offenders and issuing licenses for construction and operation of any facility. This will include generation of liquid effluent during construction of road from civil engineering activities or from domestic activities in workers' colony. There are specific penalties for violation, which include imprisonment for responsible officials.

The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981:

The act empowers Central and State Pollution Control Boards for managing air quality and emission standards, as well as monitoring air quality, prosecuting offenders and issuing licenses for construction and operation of any facility. Air quality includes noise level standards. There are specific penalties for violation, which include imprisonment for responsible officials. This act has notified National Ambient Air Quality Standard for different regions e.g. Industrial, Residential and Sensitive. Air quality during construction and operation phases will be guided by this specific act.

Environment (Protection) Act, 1986:

This act was passed as an overall comprehensive act "for protection and improvement of environment" Under this act rules have been specified for discharge/emission of effluents and different standards for environmental quality. These include Ambient Noise Standard, Emission from Motor Vehicles, Mass Emission standard for Petrol Driven Vehicles, General Effluent Standards etc. especially important for road project.

Fly ash Notification, 2016:

As per the Notification No. S.O. 763 (E), dated 14.09.1999 and its amendment thereafter on 27.08.2003 and notification S.O. 2804 (E) dated 3rd November 2009 by Ministry of Environment and Forests, it is mandatory to use fly ash within a radius of 300 km of Thermal Power Plant. No agency, person or organization shall within a radius of 300 km of Thermal Power Plant undertake construction or approve design for construction of roads of flyover embankments in contravention of the guidelines/specification issued by the Indian Road Congress (IRC) as contained in IRC specification No. SP: 58: 2001. Any deviation from this direction can only be agreed to technical reasons if the same is approved by Chief Engineer (Design) or Engineer-in-chief of the concerned agency or organization or on production of certificate of "Pond ash not available" from the Thermal Power Plant(s) located within 100 kilometers of the site construction. This certificate shall be provided by TPP within two working days from the date of making request for fly ash.

Soil required for top or side cover of embankment of roads or flyovers shall be excavated from the embankment site and it is not possible to do so, only the minimum quantity of the soil required for the purpose shall be excavated from soil borrow area. In either case, the topsoil should be kept or stored separately. Voids created due to soil borrow area shall be filled up with ash with proper compaction and covered with top soil kept separately as mentioned above.

No agency, person or organization shall within a radius of 100 kilometers of coal or lignite based Thermal Power Plant allow reclamation and compaction of low lying areas with soil. Only Pond ash shall be used for compaction. They shall also ensure that such reclamation and compaction is done in accordance with the bye-laws, regulation and specification laid down by Authorities.

All agencies undertaking construction of roads or fly over bridges including Ministry of Shipping Road Transport and Highways (MoSRTTH), National Highways Authority of India (NHAI), Central Public Works Department (CPWD), State Public Works Department and other State Government Agencies, shall within three months from the 1st day of September 2003 make provision in their documents, schedules of approved materials

and rates as well as technical documents; including those related to soil borrow area or pits.

Make necessary specifications/guidelines for road or fly over embankments that are not covered by the specification laid down by the Indian Road Congress (IRC).

4.3 World Bank Safeguard Policies

Environmental Assessment – OP 4.01 Requirements

Operational Policy 4.01 (OP 4.01) is one of the ten safeguard policies of the World Bank, which provides the Environmental Assessment (EA) guidance for the lending operations. The OP 4.01 requires the borrower to screen projects upstream in the project cycle for potential impacts. Thereafter, an appropriate EA approach to assess, minimize, enhance and mitigate potentially adverse impacts is selected depending on nature and scale of project. The EA needs to be integrated in the project development process such that timely measures can be applied to address identified impacts. The policy requires consultation with affected groups and NGOs to recognize community concerns and the need to address the same as part of EA.

Cultural Property – OP 4.11 Requirements:

The World Bank's Operational Policy Note 4.11 aims at preserving and avoiding the elimination of structures having archaeological (prehistoric), paleontological, historical, religious and unique natural values. Projects that could significantly damage non-replicable cultural properties are declined for funding and the Bank will in turn assist protection and enhancement of cultural properties encountered in the project rather than leaving that protection to chance.

Natural Habitats – OP 4.04 Requirements:

Operational Policy 4.04 sets out the World Bank's policy on supporting and emphasizing the precautionary approach to natural resource management and ensuring opportunities for environmentally sustainable development. As per this policy, projects that involve significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats are not supported by the Bank. Projects involving non-critical habitats are supported if no alternatives are available and if acceptable mitigation measures are in place.

Forests – OP 4.36 Requirements:

OP 4.36 sets out specific policy on protection of forests through consideration of forest related impacts of all investment operations, ensuring restrictions for operations affecting critical forest conservation areas, and improving commercial forest practice

through use of modern certification systems. The policy requires consultation with local people, the private sector and other stakeholders in forest area.

Involuntary Resettlement – OP 4.12:

This policy describes Bank policy and procedures on involuntary resettlement as well as the conditions the borrowers are expected to meet in operations involving resettlement. The objective of the Bank's policy is to ensure that populations displaced by a project also benefit from the project and that livelihood and standards of living are improved, or at, least restored to earlier levels.

Indigenous People – OP 4.10 Requirements:

The World Bank policy on indigenous peoples, **OP/BP 4.10, Indigenous Peoples**, underscores the need for Borrowers and Bank staff to identify indigenous peoples, consult with them, ensure that they participate in, and benefit from Bank-funded operations in a culturally appropriate way- and that adverse impacts on them are avoided, or where not feasible, minimized or mitigated.

As per the World Bank's Environmental Safeguard policy, the project coordinating entity or implementing institution carries out Environmental Assessment (EA) during the preparation of each proposed sub-project as per country requirements and the requirements of this policy. The Bank appraises and recommends to strengthen the capabilities of the coordinating entity or the implementing institution to (a) screen sub-projects, (b) obtain the necessary expertise to carry out EA, (c) review all findings and results of EA for individual sub-projects, (d) ensure implementation of mitigation measures (including, where applicable, an EMP), and (e) monitor environmental conditions during project implementation. If the Bank is not satisfied that adequate capacity exists for carrying out EA, all Category A sub-projects and, as appropriate, Category B sub-projects including any EA reports are subject to prior review and approval by the Bank.

The purpose of conducting an environmental assessment (EA) is to identify environmental and social consequences of the proposed sub-projects or components, to:

- Ensure the identification of potential environmental issues and social concerns early in the implementation of a proposed project to incorporate necessary safeguards in project design to prevent potential adverse impacts by determining appropriate mitigation and compensation measures;
- Minimize risks and enhance positive impacts/benefits;

- Avoid delays and extra costs which may subsequently arise due to unanticipated environmental problems;
- Identify the potential for maximizing environmental resources management and socio-economic benefits to local communities within the scope of the subproject.
- The EA should cover physical-chemical, biological, socio-economic and cultural issues that are likely to arise during upgrading and widening of roads safety risks and appurtenance structures and associated activities as appropriate.

The World Bank has classified the type of projects into following categories depending on the extent of the impact on environment:

(i) Category A: A proposed project is classified as Category A, if it is likely to have significant adverse environmental impacts that are sensitive, diverse, or unprecedented. Such project requires full EIA study.

(ii) Category B: A proposed project is classified as Category B if its potential adverse environmental impacts on human populations or environmentally important areas—including wetlands, forests, grasslands, and other natural habitats—are less adverse than those of Category A projects. These impacts are site-specific; few if any of them are irreversible; and in most cases mitigatory measures can be designed more readily than for Category A projects.

(iii) Category C: A proposed project is classified as Category C if it is likely to have minimal or no adverse environmental impacts. Beyond screening, no further EA action is required for a Category C project.

(iv) Category FI: A proposed project is classified as Category FI if it involves investment of Bank funds through a financial intermediary, in subprojects that may result in adverse environmental impacts.

Thus, for Category-A project detail Environmental Assessment would be required. For the project requiring Environmental Clearance from the MoEF&CC, detailed Environmental Impact Assessment would be required in accordance with the Environmental Impact Assessment Notification, 2006 and amended thereafter. For Category-B projects site specific EA is required and a generic environmental management plan (EMP) would be required to be prepared for such project. For Category C projects, no study beyond environmental screening is required.

4.4 Statutory Clearance for Borrow area and Stone quarry

Mining of minor minerals such as sand, gravel, clay, marble and other stones will not be allowed in the country without the approval of the Central government. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide its order dated 27.02.2012 in I.A.No.12-13 of 2011 in SLP (C) No.19628-19629 of 2009 titled Deepak Kumar etc. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors. has inter alia ordered that leases of minor mineral including their renewal for an area less than 5 ha be granted by the State / Union Territory only after getting environment clearance (EC) from the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC). In order to ensure compliance of the aforesaid order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, MoEF&CC issued an OM No.L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 18.05.2012 stating inter alia that all mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal, irrespective of the size of the lease would require prior EC and that the projects of minor minerals with lease area less than 5 ha would be treated as Category "B" as defined in EIA Notification, 2006 and will be considered by the respective State Environment Impact Assessment Authorities (SEIAAs) notified by MoEF&CC and following the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006. The mining projects having more than 5 Ha of lease area will be categorized as Category A project and will be appraised by Central Committee of MoEF&CC.

Regarding the borrow area for ordinary soil, the Contractor should obtain environmental clearance from State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) of MoEF&CC in compliance to the Supreme Court's order and MoEF&CC conditions vide their circular no. L-11011/47/2011-IA. II(M) dated 20th June, 2013. If the area of a borrow area is less than 5 Ha, then this will be treated as Category-B-2 Project and will be appraised and approved based of only Form-1. No EIA study will be required for such area. However, if the size of the borrow area is more than 5 Ha then it will be categorized as "Category-B1" and therefore will require EIA study, based on which the SEIAA will give clearance for the same.

4.5 Applicability of Clearances

4.5.1 Environmental Clearance

As the sub-project consists of only urban roads it doesn't need to comply with Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification issued on 14th September 2006 (amended) by the MOEF, Govt., of India and as per the amended Notification of the MoEF on 22nd August 2013 on Highway projects.

However, the proposed roads sub-project needs to get approvals from Andhra Pradesh Pollution Control Board (APPCB) are i.e., No Objection Certificates (NOC), Consent for Establishment (CFE) and Consent for Operation (CFO) for establishment and operation of Hot mix plants, batch mix plants, quarries etc. during the construction phase of the project.

The MoEF&CC, Government of India, Notification of 14th September 2006 and its amendment enlist projects in Schedule that proposed Green Field Capital of Amaravati has already got Environmental Clearance for the entire project from State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), Andhra Pradesh in vide order no. SEIAA/AP/GTN-151/2015, Dated:09.10.2015. No specific clearance is required for roads sub-project in the Amaravati Capital City area. According to MoEF&CC, EIA Notification, the sub-arterial roads are neither falling under Category -A nor Category -B hence, Environmental Clearance is not required. Based on Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development (ASCCDP) – Environmental & Social Management Framework (ESMF), the proposed sub-project (sub-arterial roads) is categorised as “E2”, which means moderate Environmental Impacts are expected and needs sub-project specific EIA and EMP report. Category “E2” of ASCCDP ESMF is similar to the Environmental Category “B” of the World Bank Safeguard Policy (OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment) and therefore it warrants site specific Environmental Impact Assessment. Hence, EIA/EMP report is prepared duly adopting the both national and WB norms.

The EC conditions pertaining to the 10 Priority roads are compiled and given in Table -4.2.

Table 4-14: EC conditions pertaining to the proposed Roads

S. No.	Applicable EC Condition	Compliance	Remarks
1	All roads should have rain water drains connected separately to the treatment facility of the zone. (Point -12 of Water Environment under Specific Conditions)	Provided storm water drains either side of Right of Way	Storm water drains included in package however they will be taken up as sub-project subsequently
2	Proponent shall ensure construction of rain water harvesting structures and also promote rain water storage use system by considering heavy rains in the area. Proponent shall make these as mandatory by incorporating in the Bye-laws of APCRDA & CA (Point -06 of Water Environment under Specific Conditions)	53, 51, 60 & 95 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m for Package -I, Package -II, Package -III and Package -IV 38 & 59 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m for Package -V, Package -VI	Section -7.3.13 of EA & EMP report
3	The proponent shall give priority for walking, cycling and integrated public	3m Sidewalk, 3m Cycle Track and 7m BRT are proposed in	Section -2.2 of EA & EMP

S. No.	Applicable EC Condition	Compliance	Remarks
	transport system for laying of the roads and usage of cleaner fuels and plying of fuel efficient vehicles on the road. (Point -01 of Air Environment under Specific Conditions)	Typical Cross Section for 4 – Lane + 2 – Lane BRT sub-arterial roads	report
4	The proponent shall ensure that all utility lines (Electricity, telephone, cable, water supply, sewerage, drainage etc.) shall be laid below the ground. Duct shall be provided along and across the roads to lay the utility lines. Major truck (water / sewerage) lines are to be laid along the utility corridor. (Point -10 of Air Environment under Specific Conditions).	All utility lines including ICT, Gas, F&I and WS are proposed to be laid below the Sidewalk and Cycle Track segments on either side of the 10 Priority Roads	Section -2.2 of EA & EMP report
5	All construction activities by the proponent should ensure that the activities do not alter or do not adversely affect the water bodies and their ecology (Point -02 of Ecology under Specific Conditions).	The project affects about 0.13% of water spread area or .02% of the capital city area. Enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagues of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vugu, Pala vugu, other streams etc. As such the proposed water spread area in the capital city will increase by 197%.	Section -7.3.6.1

4.5.2 Forest Clearances

Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 (amended in 1988) enacted by Government of India, restricts the diversion of forests for use of non-forest purposes. As per the Act, State Government requires prior approval of GoI for the use of forest land for non-forest purposes (means the breaking up or clearing of any forest land) or for assigning lease to any private person or agency not controlled by Government. The Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2003 issued under this Act, provide specific procedures to be followed for conversion of forest land for non-forest purposes.

The forest land conversion will follow the "Guidelines for Diversion of Forest Lands for Non-Forest Purpose" under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. Compensatory afforestation is one of the most important conditions stipulated for diversion of forest land.

Cutting of trees in non-forest land, irrespective of land ownership, also requires permission from the State Forest Department. Afforestation to the extent of two trees per each tree felled is mandatory.

There are no forest areas involved in the construction of roads in the Amaravati Capital City Area. Hence, no Forest Clearance is required.

4.5.3 Other Permissions/ Clearances

The project implementing agency will ensure the following clearances before initiating the works.

- Permission from I& CADD for new and widening cases and to draw water during the construction period.
- All the borrow areas, quarries, sand mines proposed to be utilized in the project shall get the permissions from respective departments /owners.
- Permission for breaking or shifting of public utilities like sewer lines or water pipe lines from water supply and sewerage boards.
- Permission and clearance from electricity board for shifting/ moving of streetlights transformers, electric poles during construction of roads or fly over or pedestrian ways or any other likely sub projects.

Chapter 6

ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES

5.0 Analysis of Alternatives

In case of projects related to brownfield, various alternatives can be adopted during the planning stage. Whereas in the present case, the proposed project is greenfield and alternatives are evaluated at the master planning stage itself. The master plan was prepared and finalised by M/s. Surbana Jurong which was notified on Feb 23, 2016 and the proposed roads are directly considered from the Master Plan itself.

Several existing villages are present in the capital city area; Extreme care has been taken during the masterplan stage to avoid the intersection of the road alignment through the villages and minimal damage to existing environment. The various villages which are intersecting with the proposed 10 Priority roads alignment are given in the Table 5-1

Table 5-15: Package wise roads intersecting the villages

S. No	Package	Road ID	Name of Intersecting Villages
1	Package -I	E8	-
2	Package -II	N9	-
3	Package -III	N4	Venkatayapalem
4		N14	Thulluru, Abbarajupalem
5	Package -IV	E10	-
6		E14	Nowluru
7		N16	-
8	Package V	E6	Ananthavaram, Thullur
9	Package-VI	E12	-
10		N11	Lingayapalem




Figure 5-14: Proposed 10 Priority roads network in the capital city of Amaravati

Chapter 7

DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

6.0 Description of the Environment

This chapter provides an overall description of the existing environmental status in the sub-project influence area. Studies were conducted to generate baseline data within a 10 Km radius sub-project influence area along the proposed project on micro – meteorology, air quality, ground and surface water quality, noise levels, land environment including soil quality, geomorphology, land-use pattern, forest cover, biological environment and socio-economic status of the population, etc. From these inputs, possible significant impacts are identified. Impacts are quantified and an appropriate Environmental Management Plan is prepared to manage and mitigate these impacts.

As a primary requirement of EIA process, the EIA report has been prepared based on the standard ToR given in the “EIA and EMP for Roads Sub-projects” by MoEF & CC and World Bank. For the study area, primary Environmental baseline data was collected by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad during October & November 2016 through M/s. Vison Labs, Hyderabad (A laboratory Recognized by Ministry of Environment & Forests, Government of India, S.O. 1680 (E), Dated 02.07.2014 and NABL), who was engaged for the field study of air, water, noise, soil etc. The basic site & its environs are analyzed during the field study to know the pre-existing status of the study area and the same is described below.

6.1 Site and its Environs

The reconnaissance survey and subsequent field studies are carried out in the study area and the major aspects emphasized with respect to the geographical, geology & soil, hydro-geology, river basins, forests & wildlife sanctuaries, transportation, socio-economic aspects, industries, and climatological conditions of the sub-project influence area are collected and analyzed. The details of the same are given below:

6.1.1 Geographical features

This section contains a detailed description on existing environment of the proposed project area including physical and biological environment particularly in the study area. The project area passes through Guntur district in Andhra Pradesh.

Guntur district: Guntur is one of the 9 Coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh. It is located between 15° 18’ and 16° 50’ North latitude and 70° 10’ and 80° 55’ East longitude. It is bounded by Krishna & Nalgonda districts on the North, by Prakasam and Mahabubnagar districts on the West, by Prakasam district on the South and by Krishna district, and the

Bay of Bengal on the East. The district has a coastline of 42 km. The total geographical area of the district is 11328 km². Guntur district lies at an elevation of 33m from sea level. The district is mainly plain, with a few hill ranges. The average rainfall in the district is 830mm, through South-West monsoon.

Guntur district is divided into 3 Revenue divisions: Guntur, Tenali and Narsaraopeta. The district is divided into 57 Mandals and 729 revenue villages. The Ethipothala water falls near Macherla, Kottappakonda shrine, Amaravati stupas, Nagarjunasagar dam, Manalgiri temple etc are the major centres of tourist attraction. Krishna is the main river, which traverses 250 km in the district, irrigating an area of nearly 5 lakh Ha. Chandravanka, Naguleru and Gundlakamma are the 3 major rivulets.

6.1.2 Geology & Soil Characteristics

Geology:

The area is underlain by various geological formations of different age groups ranging from Archaean to Recent. The Archaean basement complex comprising the granite-gneisses, Schists, Khondalites, Charnockites and basic dykes of dolerites form the predominant rock types in the central part. The fringe of the Archaeans in the central part is represented by Cuddapah basin, namely Nallamalai group of Upper Cuddapahs. In a sequential order, the younger Kurnools occurring in the Cuddapahs and those in the western parts of the district are thrust over by the Cuddapahs and these in turn by the Archaean granite-gneisses. The Upper Gondwana group of sandstones and shales out crop are seen at Guntur. The youngest rock types of the district appear to be of Mio-Pliocene age followed by the Alluvial deposits of Recent to Sub-Recent age.

Soil:

The soils in general are very fertile and they are broadly classified as Black cotton, Red loamy and sandy loamy. Black cotton area is in 70%, Red loamy in 24% and sandy loamy in about 6% of the area in the district.

6.1.3 Minerals

Guntur district has deposits of limestone, clay, quartz, copper, and lead. Lime stone is abundantly available in Pidiuguralla, Macherla, Pondugula and Tadipalli areas. Copper deposits are found at Agnigundala. Napa stones are found in abundance in various places in this district.

6.1.4 Hydro-geology

Guntur District: Ground water occurs in the granites, gneisses, schists, charnockites and Khondalites of Archaean age in the weathered to semi-weathered portions under water table and semi-confined conditions. In general, the thickness of weathered zone varies

from 10 to 30m and the fractured zones extend from 30 to 50m bgl. The fracture porosity decreases with depth and appears negligible below 50m. The Open wells constructed in the hard rock area generally vary in depth from 10 to 15m. The depth to water table varies from 4 to 10m. The yields range from 30 to 80 cubic meters per day. The bore wells constructed in the hard rock range in depth from 50 to 120m and the yields vary from 3,000 to 10,000 liters per hour. Dug wells are feasible in Guntur Mandal, bore wells are not feasible in these areas due to shallow basement. The sandstones of Upper Gondwanas at Chebrolu Mandal, are good water bearing, open wells and tube wells are feasible in these rocks, Ground water occurs under confined conditions and the potential aquifer exist down to 60 to 70m only followed by thick clay. Open wells are feasible and can be constructed to a depth of 10 to 12m in the villages of Suddapally, Narakodur, Chebrolu, Gundavaram etc.

The chemical quality of groundwater exhibits considerable variations from place to place. It is observed from the data in pre-monsoon period (May-2008) that the EC value ranges from 700 to 7780 $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$, TDS from 480 to 4979- ppm, pH from 7.65 to 8.95, Cl from 60 to 1850 ppm, F from 0.1 to 2.16 ppm, and NO₃ up to 463 ppm. The quality of groundwater is generally suitable for both drinking as well as for irrigation purposes in study area. Similarly, Fluoride contamination is well known in Mandal and in localized pockets of Nuzendla, pedakurapadu and Krosuru mandals. (Source: Dynamic Ground Water Resources of Guntur District, 2008-09, APGD).

The hydro-geological map of Guntur district was collected from "Ground Water Brochure, Guntur District, prepared by Central Ground Water Board, September 2013" and the same thing is given in Figure 6-1.

Source: Ground Water Brochure, Guntur District, September 2013




Figure 6-15: Hydro-geology map of Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh

6.1.5 River basins, Flood and Cyclones

Krishna river system:

Krishna, the second largest river in the state, flows 780 km from Mahabaleshwar in Maharashtra before entering Andhra Pradesh. The main tributaries are Koyna, Bhima, Ghataprabha, Mallaprabha, Tungabhadra, Yerla, Warna and Dudhganga in combined Andhra Pradesh State. The river gets most of its water from Western Ghats. The catchment area of the river is 2,58,818 km², of which 29.45% is in combined Andhra Pradesh. Most part of this basin comprises rolling and undulating country except the western border which is formed by an unbroken line of ranges of the Western Ghats. The important soil types found in the basin are black soils, red soils, laterite and lateritic soils, alluvium, mixed soils, red and black soils and saline and alkaline soils.

An average annual surface water potential of 78.1 km³ has been assessed in this basin. Out of this, 58.0 km³ is utilizable water. Culturable area in the basin is about 203,000 km², which is 10.4% of the total culturable area of the country.

Floods occurred to River Krishna:

In 2009 October, heavy floods occurred, isolating 350 villages and leaving millions homeless, which is believed to be first occurrence in 1000 years. The flood resulted in heavy damage to Kurnool, Mahabubnagar, Guntur, Krishna and Nalgonda Districts. The entire city of Kurnool was immersed in approximately 10 feet (3.0 m) water for nearly 3 days. Water inflow of 1,110,000 cu ft/s (31,000m³/s) was recorded at the Prakasam Barrage, which surpassed the previous record of 1,080,000 cu ft./s (31,000 m³ /s) recorded in the year 1903. The map showing the Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of the proposed roads is given in Figure -6.2 (attached separately due to space constraint). Details of Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of the proposed Roads are given in Table -6.1. Chainage of road along the vagu is highlighted in 'green' whereas chainage of road across the vagu is highlighted in 'yellow' in Table -6.1.

Table 6-16: Details of Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of the Proposed roads package wise.

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
Package-I						
E8	7900	23.767		15400	17.63	No inundation is anticipated on the road along the vagu since FRL > HFL
E8	8100	24.165		15600	17.75	
E8	8200	23.958		15800	18.14	
E8	8400	23.544		16000	18.81	
E8	8600	23.911		16200	19.32	
E8	8800	25.059		16400	19.80	
E8	9000	26.175		16600	20.20	
E8	9100	26.301		16800	20.50	
E8	9200	26.090		17000	20.73	
E8	9400	25.656		17200	20.87	
E8	9500	25.456		17400	21.04	
E8	9700	26.215		17600	21.26	
E8	9800	26.804		17800	21.57	

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
E8	10000	27.983		18000	22.17	
E8	10200	28.714		18200	22.78	
E8	10400	28.350		18400	23.75	
E8	10600	28.953		18600	24.56	
E8	10800	30.798		18800	24.90	
E8	11000	32.644		19000	25.18	
E8	11100	33.566		19200	25.38	
E8	11300	35.411		19400	25.52	
E8	11500	36.398		19600	25.67	
E8	11700	35.482		19800	25.82	
E8	11900	34.201		20000	25.98	
E8	12100	34.529		20200	26.28	
E8	12300	35.000		20400	27.24	
E8	12500	35.025		20600	28.00	
E8	12700	36.124		20800	29.54	
E8	12900	38.156		21000	30.24	

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
E8	13050	39.500		21200	30.51	
E8	13250	39.617		21400	30.73	
E8	13450	38.774		21600	30.93	
E8	13650	38.130		21800	31.15	
E8	13900	39.768		22000	33.33	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
E8	14050	39.837		22200	34.58	
E8	14200	39.844		22400	35.64	
Package-II						
N9	9100	22.000	Kondaveeti vagu from Krishnayapalem To Neerukonda	10400	15.30	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N9	9100	22.000		10600	15.40	
N9	9100	22.000		10800	15.40	
N9	9100	22.000		11000	15.40	
N9	9100	22.000		11200	15.40	
N9	2500	22.000	Pala vagu (Navigable)	6000	16.30	No inundation is
N9	2550	22.000		6200	16.40	

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
N9	2550	22.000		6400	16.40	anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N9	2550	22.000		6600	16.40	
N9	2600	21.998		6800	16.50	
Package-III						
N4	3700	20.717	Kondaveeti vagu from Krishnayapalem to Neerukonda	5200	15.30	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N4	3800	21.685		5400	15.30	
N4	3900	22.000		5600	15.30	
N4	4000	22.000		5800	15.30	
N4	4100	22.000		6000	15.30	
N4	4200	21.987		6200	15.30	
N4	2800	21.235	Pala vagu (Navigable)	400	15.50	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N4	2700	21.973		600	15.60	
N4	2500	22.000		800	15.60	
N4	2350	21.554		1000	15.60	
N4	2200	20.259		1200	15.60	

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
N4	2000	20.307		1400	15.65	
N14	7300	23.730	Kondaveeti vagu from Shakamuru to Ananthavaram	18000	22.17	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N14	7300	23.730		18200	22.78	
N14	7300	23.730		18400	23.75	
N14	600	23.700		13800	16.70	
N14	500	23.700	Pala vagu (Non-navigable)	14000	16.70	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N14	480	23.700		14200	16.70	
N14	460	23.700		14400	16.80	
Package - IV						
E10	1700	19.943	Kondaveeti vagu from Krishnayapalem to Neerukonda	4800	15.30	
E10	1900	19.543		5000	15.30	
E10	2100	19.143		5200	15.30	
E10	2200	19.279		5400	15.30	
E10	2400	21.390		5600	15.30	
E10	2600	22.000		5800	15.30	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu
E10	2750	22.000		6000	15.30	

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks		
E10	2900	22.000		6200	15.30	since FRL > HFL		
E10	3100	22.000		6400	15.30			
E10	3200	21.942		6600	15.30			
E10	3400	20.570		6800	15.30			
E10	3600	20.593		7000	15.30			
E10	3700	20.427		7200	15.30			
E10	3800	20.107		7400	15.30			
E10	3900	19.784		7600	15.30			
E14	-	-		-	-		-	-
N16	7460	31.007		Kondaveeti vagu from Shakamuru to Ananthavaram	20000		28.00	No inundation is anticipated on the road across vagu since FRL > HFL
N16	7380	31.341	20200		29.00			
N16	7280	31.341	20400		30.00			
N16	7240	31.370	20600		31.00			
N16	0	23.190	Pala vagu (Non-navigable)	15200	18.30	No inundation is anticipated on the road along the vagu since FRL > HFL		
N16	150	23.510		15400	18.80			
N16	300	23.791		15600	19.90			

Road ID	Chainage of the road along/across the vagu in m	Median edge level (FRL) of road in m	Vagu section	Chainage of vagu section in m	HFL of vagu section in m	Remarks
N16	500	24.133		15800	19.90	
N16	640	23.938		16000	19.90	
N16	800	23.604		16200	19.90	
N16	900	23.405		16400	19.90	
Package -V						
E6	-	-		-	-	
Package-VI						
E12	-	-	-	-	-	-
N11	3200	22.000	Pala Vagu (Navigable)	8400	16.60	No inundation is anticipated Since FRL > HFL
N11	3220	22.000		8600	16.60	
N11	3240	22.000		8800	16.65	
N11	3240	22.000		9000	16.65	
N11	3240	22.000		9200	16.70	
N11	3240	22.000		9300	16.70	

Figure 6-16: Map showing the Vagu/Stream High Flood Level (HFL) vs Finished Road Level (FRL) of 10 Priority Roads

Cyclones:

The state of Andhra Pradesh experienced 71 cyclones during 1892-1997, each time with colossal damage. Between 1892 and 1977, 56 cyclones affected the seven coastal districts. The district wise figures are given in the following Table which indicates that Nellore, Krishna and Srikakulam districts had more number of cyclones. The frequency of occurrence of Cyclone and storms in AP are given in Table 6-2.

Table 6-17: Frequency of occurrence of Cyclone and Storms in AP

S.No.	District	No. of Cyclones and Storms in Coastal AP
1	Srikakulam	4 & 10
2	Vizianagaram	-
3	Visakhapatnam	3 & 7
4	East Godavari	4 & 11
5	West Godavari	--
6	Krishna	8 & 13
7	Guntur*	1 & 2
8	Prakasam	2 & 4

Source: Disaster Management Department, Govt. of AP

Note: 1. The figures in bold indicate severe cyclones 2. *: Study Districts

6.1.6 Industries

The District has 38 Large and Medium Industries in the sectors based on Lime Stone, Granite, Sand etc. with an investment of Rs 653 Crores. The Deccan Cements Ltd, Sri Chakra Cements and KCP Cements are some of the predominant industries. There are 11 Industrial Estates, 4 Autonagars and 2 Shopping Complexes in the District.

6.1.7 Transportation

The study district is having a good transport system in the State of Andhra Pradesh. These are connected to all the major cities across the country through various major and local highways, railways, waterways and air. There is a couple of major highways. State Highways and MDRs, Panchayat roads etc. Common modes of road transport within the cities /towns are city buses, cars and auto-rickshaws etc.

6.1.8 Infrastructure

The study area has well-developed and extensive infrastructural facilities in place. The power supply position is good and almost all the villages in study district are electrified. The districts head quarter Guntur have facilities like housing, hospital, drinking water facility, school and technical education, universities, medical college, etc. Emergency medical care and firefighting facilities are also available at all Tehsils headquarters along the proposed project corridor.

6.1.9 Seismic Details of the Area

As per the seismic hazard map of India updated in 2000 by the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS), the study district Guntur lies in Zone -III. As classified in BIS map, Zone -V indicates the most seismically active region, while zone -II is the least seismically active region. However, the sub-project influence area falls in 'low to medium damage risk zone' region only.

6.1.10 Archeological Sites/Places of Tourist Interest

The Rock Cut Cave Temple, Undavalli:

The Rock Cut Cave Temple, Undavalli, a monolithic example of Indian rock-cut architecture and one of the finest testimonials to ancient vishwakarma sthapatihis, are in the village of Undavalli in Tadepalle Mandal in the Guntur District, and near the southern bank of the Krishna River in the state of Andhra Pradesh, India. The caves are located 6 km south west from Vijayawada, 22 km north east of Guntur City of Andhra Pradesh. The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli are 910 m, North East direction from N2, and is shown in Figure -6.3.



Figure 6-17 : The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli in the sub-project influence area

6.1.11 Sources of Irrigation and Cropping Pattern

The major crops grown in the sub-project influence area are paddy, chilli, cotton, red gram, black gram, among pulses, cereals like jowar and maize, sorghum, jute, fodder grass, subabul and commercial crops like turmeric, guar gum. The district has 2 major and 1 medium irrigation projects: the Nagarjunasagar Right Bank Canal Command (NSRCCA), Krishna Western Delta (KWD) Canal System and Guntur Channels Scheme. The total area irrigated by all sources in the district is 4,30,806 ha which works out to be 23.33% of the total area of the district. Out of this about 3,56,328 ha (82.71%) is irrigated through canal network and 1.94% through lift irrigation. 14% area is irrigated through tube wells and filter points and the rest 1.35% area is irrigated through tanks, farm ponds and other sources.

6.2 Meteorology of the study area

6.2.1 Climatic Conditions

Meteorological data was compiled from Indian Meteorological Department (IMD), for Gannavaram station, which is located within the sub-project influence area. The climatological data was compiled for a period of 30 years (1971 – 2000). Data on tropical storms and cyclones were compiled from IMD for a period of more than 100 years. The whole year is divided into four seasons as given in Table-6.3.

Table 6-18 : Seasons of the study area

Season	Months	Characteristics
Summer	March-Mid of June	Hottest part of the year, occurrence of dust storms.
South-west monsoon	Mid of June-September	Characterized by predominantly SW winds. Generally strong and persistent winds prevail.
Post monsoon	October-November	Characterized by predominantly NE winds. Fair weather with the variable winds.
Winter	December-February	Cool season of the year

Temperature: The cold weather commences towards the end of November when the temperature begins to fall in Gannavaram is observed as the coldest month, with the mean daily maximum temperature at 30.0°C and the mean daily minimum at 18.4°C. The period from March to May is the hottest month with the mean daily maximum temperature at 44.6°C and a mean daily minimum at 19.6°C. With the advance of south-west & north-east monsoon by about mid of June there is an appreciable drop in temperatures. By about 3rd week of November when the monsoon withdraws, the day temperature begins to increase slightly but the night temperatures decrease steadily. After November both day and night temperatures decrease rapidly.

Humidity: The relative humidity observed is high generally during the south-west & north-east monsoon season (64-82%). The air is generally dry during the rest of the year, the remaining part of the year being the summer season the humidity is ranging between 52 -75%.

Winds: In Gannavaram winds are light to moderate with some strengthening in the period from May to August (8.6 – 11.0 Km/Hr) and the winds are blowing west and south-west. During the post- monsoon and cold season, winds blow mostly from the east or north-east. By March, south westerly's and westerlies started blowing and continue during the rest of summer. The south west monsoon season winds are mostly from directions between south-west and North West.

Cloudiness: During the south – west monsoon season the sky is heavily clouded to overcast (3.8–6.5 Oktas). There is rapid decrease of cloudiness during the post-monsoon season. For the rest of the year, the sky is mostly clear or lightly clouded.

Rainfall: The rainfall in Gannavaram in general increases from the south-west towards the north east. About 69.5% of annual rainfall is received during the south-west monsoon season. July being the peak rainy month with 189 mm, the variation in the Annual rainfall from year is almost compatible with the base levels. The Annual rainfall of the Gannavaram station is observed as 921mm.

The climatological data for Gannavaram station was compiled for a period of 30 years (1971 – 2000) and presented in Table-6.4.

Table 6-19 : Climatological Table for Gannavaram Station (1971 – 2000)

		Air temperature															Rain fall						
Month	Station level pressure	Mean						Extremes				Humidity		Cloud amount		Monthly total	No. of rainy days	Total in wettest month with year	Total in driest month with year	Heaviest rainfall in 24 years	Date and year	Mean wind speed	
		Dry blue	Wet blue	Daily max	Daily min	Highest in the month	Lowest in the city	highest	Date and year	lowest	Date and year	Relative humidity	Vapour pressure	All clouds	Low clouds								
		hpa	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	°c	%	hpa			mm		mm	mm	mm		kmph
Jan	I	1013.4	22.3	20.2	30.0	18.4	32.5	15.4	35.9	22	11.1	7	81	22.0	2.1	0.9	0.8	0.3	59.1	0.0	47.5	2	6.3
	II	1009.4	27.5	21.3						1990		1992	55	20.5	2.3	1.0			1985			1985	
Feb	I	1011.6	24.3	22.1	32.7	20.5	35.7	17.6	37.8	14	14.4	22	81	24.9	2.5	1.5	9.2	0.6	66.9	0.0	45.7	16	6.9
	II	1007.8	30.0	22.7						1954		1993	52	21.8	2.0	1.2			1994			1972	
Mar	I	1009.9	26.7	24.1	35.7	22.6	38.7	19.6	43.3	29	17.0	1	79	28.0	3.1	2.2	9.7	0.7	138.8	0.0	76.4	19	7.3
	II	1005.6	32.7	23.9						1953		1976	46	22.7	1.5	0.6			1967			1967	
Apr	I	1002.5	29.7	26.3	37.8	25.5	41.5	22.4	44.5	24	19.4	21	75	31.5	4.4	3.4	17.1	1.1	83.8	0.0	77.5	25	8.8
	II	1003.5	34.8	25.8						1985		1971	47	26.1	2.6	1.1			1971			1953	
May	I	998.9	31.7	26.7	40.0	27.4	44.6	22.7	47.6	23	19.4	1	67	31.2	4.4	2.3	44.3	2.4	411.0	0.5	210.0	10	9.8
	II	1000.9	36.8	26.5						1966		1997	45	26.7	3.8	1.5			1969	1978		1990	
Jun	I	996.9	30.5	25.5	37.4	27.0	42.6	22.9	46.7	11	21.5	25	66	28.6	5.8	1.8	118.7	6.8	300.7	15.4	156.9	16	11.0
	II	1001.5	35.0	26.1						1953		1957	49	26.6	6.0	2.6			1957	1976		1996	

Jul	I	998.1	28.1	25.4	33.7	25.3	37.8	22.8	41.0	7	21.4	13	79	30.2	6.3	2.1	189.2	11.9	588.2	47.4	211.3	23	9.2
	II	1002.3	31.6	26.1						1966		1993	64	29.4	6.5	2.8			1988	1971		1989	
Aug	I	998.9	27.8	25.2	32.7	25.1	36.0	22.8	38.3	7	21.4	9	81	30.1	6.3	2.2	174.3	10.6	392.4	10.0	95.0	12	8.6
	II		30.6	26.0						1971		1992	69	29.9	6.4	2.7			1978	1993		1986	
Sep	I	1004.8		25.8	33.0	25.0	36.2	22.5	38.6	4	18.2	6	82	31.3	5.6	2.2	158.3	8.4	483.2	11.4	143.3	27	6.1
	II	1001.2		26.3						1972		1957	73	31.1	6.1	2.6			1964	2000		1954	
Oct	I	1008.0		24.9	32.1	23.8	34.8	21.0	37.9	15	17.6	24	81	29.6	4.4	1.8	129.3	7.1	419.7	0.0	200.6	16	5.7
	II	1004.7		25.4						1980		1967	76	29.8	5.2	2.3			1969			1995	
Nov	I	1011.0		22.3	31.0	21.4	33.2	17.7	35.2	20	14.6	30	75	24.5	3.4	1.1	59.7	3.1	247.7	0.0	148.3	20	7.0
	II	1007.8		23.0						1979		1970	65	24.4	4.2	1.7			1994			1977	
Dec	I	1013.6		20.1	29.9	19.1	31.8	16.0	34.2	14	13.0	14	75	21.3	2.6	0.7	11.0	0.8	93.4	0.0	71.7	2	6.6
	II	1010.0		21.1						1965		1970	57	20.4	3.2	1.1			1985			2000	
Annual	I	1007.4	27.1	24.0	33.8	23.4	45.1	14.7	47.6	23	11.1	7	77	27.8	4.2	1.8	921.5	53.6	1488.9	621.7	211.3	23	7.8
Total or Mean	II	1003.5	31.0	24.5					5	1966	1	1992	58	25.8	4.2	1.8			1956	1979	7	1989	
No. of Years	III	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	51		51		30	30	30	30	29	29	49	49	49		30

Source: IMD, Gannavaram

6.3 Air Environment

Methodology

In assessing the environmental impact, collection and interpretation of baseline data is of prime importance. The primary data for the study period were collected 24 hourly twice in a week for October-November 2016 as per national guidelines. The criteria followed for selecting the AAQM stations is recommended by IS: 5182 and CPCB.

They are:

- The sampling station had free exposure so that it did not collect air from stagnant pockets.
- It was not obstructed by large structures including hills.
- The sampling point was not directly influenced by any local source of emission.
- It was located at a minimum height of 1.5m from the ground level.

Monitoring and analytical procedure:

Ambient air quality was monitored for the presence of contaminants existing in the air. To evaluate and quantify the air pollution problem, measurements were carried out for various air pollutants mentioned above. This data was used not only to evaluate the air quality in the study area but also as the basis to develop programs aiming at preventing the spread of pollutants leading to a risk to human health and general environment. Fine Dust Samplers (FDS) were used for ambient air sampling of selected parameters. The method for the selected parameters are based on the methods recommended by IS: 5182.

Selection of monitoring parameters: The parameters selected for analyzing ambient air quality status were Sulphur dioxide (SO₂), Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀), Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}), Carbon Monoxide (CO).

6.3.1 Air Environment for Package -I

6.3.1.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study region and taking into account the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study region, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at two (02) locations in Package -I (E8). The study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.5 and are shown in Figure-6.4

Table 6-20 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -I

Station Number	Station
AAQI-1	Nekkallu
AAQI-2	Sakhamuru



Figure 6-18 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at two (02) monitored locations are presented in Table -6.6. The recorded concentrations are

compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF.

Table 6-21 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -I

Location/Category	Result			CPCB Standard	IFC Guidelines
	Min	Max	Mean		
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Nekkallu	42.6	48.3	45.5	100	50
Sakhamuru	38.5	42.5	40.5	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Nekkallu	18.8	21.6	20.2	60	25
Sakhamuru	16.0	18.3	17.2	60	25
SO₂ (µg/m³)					
Nekkallu	5.0	5.6	5.3	80	20
Sakhamuru	4.8	5.2	5.0	80	20
NO₂ (µg/m³)					
Nekkallu	15.4	16.1	15.8	80	40
Sakhamuru	14.2	15.0	14.6	80	40
CO (mg/m³)					
Nekkallu	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	4	-
Sakhamuru	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 38.5 – 48.3 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was recorded at Sakhamuru.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 16.0 – 21.6 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for

all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was found at Sakhamuru.

- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 4.8 – 5.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was found at Sakhamuru.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO_2) values were observed in the range between 14.2 – 16.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was recorded at Sakhamuru.
- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of $4\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS/IFC standards/Guidlines for the study period in Package -I. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -I is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -I of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels.

The graph of PM_{10} & $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and SO_2 & NO_2 are shown in the Figures -6.5 & 6.6.




Figure 6-19 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Study Area




Figure 6-20 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Study Area

6.3.1.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from "EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City" prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report

were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons are as follows for Package -I.

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 48 – 52 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was recorded at Sakhamuru. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 26.0 – 29.0 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Sakhamuru and minimum value was found at Nekkallu unlike the trend in primary data. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 16 – 18 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Sakhamuru and minimum value was found at Nekkallu unlike the trend in primary data. The values were found to be well within the standard as compared to primary data.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 27 – 29 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Sakhamuru and minimum value was found at Nekkallu unlike the trend in primary data. The values were found to be well within the standard as compared to primary data.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS standards for the study period in Package -I. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -I is satisfactory.

6.3.2 Air Environment for Package -II

6.3.2.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study region and taking into account the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study region, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at two (02) locations in Package -II (N9). The study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.7 and are shown in Figure-6.7.

Table 6-22 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -II

Station Number	Station
AAQII-1	Malkapuram
AAQII-2	Velgapudi



Figure 6-21 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Malkapuram and Velgapudi

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at two (02) monitored locations in Package -II are presented in Table -6.8. The recorded concentrations are compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF.

Table 6-23 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -II

Location/Categor y	Result			CPCB Standard	IFC Guidlines
	Min	Max	Mean		
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Malkapuram	58.2	62.6	60.4	100	50
Velgapudi	53.6	56.4	55.0	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Malkapuram	23.4	26.0	24.7	60	25

Velgapudi	19.8	21.5	20.7	60	25
SO₂ (µg/m³)					
Malkapuram	7.1	7.8	7.5	80	20
Velgapudi	6.0	6.4	6.2	80	20
NO₂ (µg/m³)					
Malkapuram	22.4	24.2	23.3	80	40
Velgapudi	19.5	20.1	19.8	80	40
CO (mg/m³)					
Malkapuram	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	4	-
Velgapudi	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 53.6 – 62.6 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was recorded at Velagapudi.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 19.8 – 26.0 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was found at Velagapudi.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 6.0 – 7.8 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was found at Velagapudi.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 19.5 – 24.2 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Malkapuram and minimum value was recorded at Velagapudi.

- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg/m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m^3 for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS/IFC standards/guidlines for the study period in Package -II. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -II is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -II of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels.

The graph of PM_{10} & $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and SO_2 & NO_2 are shown in the Figures -6.8 & 6.9.




Figure 6-22 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -II




Figure 6-23 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -II

6.3.3 Air Environment for Package -III

6.3.3.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study region and taking into account the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study

region, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at four (04) locations in Package -III. The study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.9 and are shown in Figure-6.10.

Table 6-24 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -III

Station Number	Station
AAQIII-1	Venkatapalem
AAQIII-2	Krishnayapalem
AAQIII-3	Thullur
AAQIII-4	Abbarajupalem



Figure 6-24 AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Venkatapalem and Thullur

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at four (04) monitored locations in Package -III are presented in Table -6.10. The recorded concentrations are compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF.

Table 6-25 Ambient air quality during study period in Package -III

	Result	CPCB	IFC
--	--------	------	-----

Location/Categor y	Min	Max	Mean	Standard	Guidlines
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Venkatapalem	50.3	54.9	52.6	100	50
Krishnayapalem	43.4	46.2	44.8	100	50
Thullur	64.2	68.3	66.25	100	50
Abbarajupalem	48.5	52.4	50.45	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Venkatapalem	20.5	23.2	21.85	60	25
Krishnayapalem	19.6	20.1	19.85	60	25
Thullur	25.7	28.4	27.05	60	25
Abbarajupalem	20.0	22.3	21.15	60	25
SO₂ (µg/m³)					
Venkatapalem	5.4	6.0	5.7	80	20
Krishnayapalem	5.0	5.4	5.2	80	20
Thullur	7.8	8.0	7.9	80	20
Abbarajupalem	5.1	5.5	5.3	80	20
NO₂ (µg/m³)					
Venkatapalem	17.0	18.2	17.6	80	40
Krishnayapalem	17.5	18.9	18.2	80	40
Thullur	22.0	24.4	23.2	80	40
Abbarajupalem	16.2	17.0	16.6	80	40
CO (mg/m³)					
Venkatapalem	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Krishnayapalem	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Thullur	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Abbarajupalem	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 43.4 – 68.3 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was recorded at Krishnayapalem.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 19.6 – 28.4 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Krishnayapalem.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.0 – 8.0 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Krishnayapalem.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 16.2 – 24.4 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was recorded at Abbarajupalem.
- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS standards for the study period in Package -III. When compared with IFC guidelines, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} values are found to be slightly higher side. In general, the ambient air quality in the study area is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -III of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels.

The graph of PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} and SO₂ & NO₂ are shown in the Figures -6.11 & 6.12.




Figure 6-25 Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -III




Figure 6-26 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -III

6.3.3.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from "EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City" prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons for Thullur, Venkatapalem and Abbarajupalem are as follows for Package -III.

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 46 – 60 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was recorded at Abbarajupalem. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 27.0 – 43.0 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Abbarajupalem. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 15 – 23 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Abbarajupalem. The values were found to be well within the standard as compared to primary data.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 26 – 42 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Thullur and minimum value was found at Abbarajupalem. The values were found to be well within the standard as compared to primary data.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS standards for the study period in Package -III. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -III is satisfactory.

6.3.4 Air Environment for Package -IV

6.3.4.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study region and taking into account the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study region, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at six (06) locations in Package -IV. The

study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.11 and are shown in Figure-6.13.

Table 6-26 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -IV

Station Number	Station
AAQIV-1	Krishnayapalem
AAQIV-2	Penumaka
AAQIV-3	Navuluru
AAQIV-4	Bethapudi
AAQIV-5	Nekkallu
AAQIV-6	Dondapadu



Figure 6-27 : AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Dondapadu and Navuluru

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at six (06) monitored locations in Package -IV are presented in Table -6.12. The recorded concentrations are compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF.

Table 6-27 Ambient air quality during study period in Package - IV

Location/Category	Result			CPCB Standard	IFC Guidelines
	Min	Max	Mean		
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Krishnayapalem	43.4	46.2	44.8	100	50
Penumaka	50.3	54.5	52.4	100	50
Navuluru	51.6	54.2	52.9	100	50
Bethapudi	52.4	56.8	54.6	100	50
Nekkallu	42.6	48.3	45.5	100	50
Dondapadu	51.4	56.1	53.8	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Krishnayapalem	19.6	20.1	19.9	60	25
Penumaka	22.4	24.8	23.6	60	25
Navuluru	19.2	22.8	21.0	60	25
Bethapudi	19.3	23.7	21.5	60	25
Nekkallu	18.8	21.6	20.2	60	25
Dondapadu	20.8	22.3	21.6	60	25
SO₂ (µg/m³)					
Krishnayapalem	5.0	5.4	5.2	80	20
Penumaka	5.8	6.2	6.0	80	20
Navuluru	5.6	6.0	5.8	80	20
Bethapudi	6.0	6.5	6.3	80	20
Nekkallu	5.0	5.6	5.3	80	20
Dondapadu	5.8	6.0	5.9	80	20
NO₂ (µg/m³)					
Krishnayapalem	17.5	18.9	18.2	80	40
Penumaka	16.8	17.5	17.2	80	40
Navuluru	15.8	16.2	16.0	80	40

Bethapudi	17.4	18.4	17.9	80	40
Nekkallu	15.4	16.1	15.8	80	40
Dondapadu	18.0	18.5	18.3	80	40
CO (mg/m³)					
Krishnayapalem	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Penumaka	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Navuluru	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Bethapudi	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Nekkallu	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-
Dondapadu	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 42.6 – 56.8 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Bethapudi and minimum value was recorded at Nekkallu.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 18.8 – 24.8 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Penumaka and minimum value was found at Nekkallu.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.0 – 6.5 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Bethapudi and minimum value was found at Nekkallu and Krishnayapalem.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 15.4 – 18.9 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Krishnayapalem and minimum value was recorded at Nekkallu.

- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg/m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of 4 mg/m^3 for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS standards for the study period in Package -IV. When compared with IFC guidelines. PM10 values are found to be slightly on the higher side. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -IV is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -IV of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels.

The graph of PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} and SO₂ & NO₂ are shown in the Figures -6.14 & 6.15.




Figure 6-28 Graph Showing the PM10 & PM 2.5 Concentrations in Package -IV




Figure 6-29 Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Package -IV

6.3.4.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from “EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City” prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons for the villages Navuluru and Nekkallu are as follows for Package -IV.

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 49 – 52 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Navuluru and minimum value was recorded at Nekkallu. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 26.0 – 28.0 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Nekkallu and minimum value was found at Navuluru. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 15 – 16 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Navuluru and minimum value was found at Nekkallu. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.

- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 27 – 28 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The maximum value was recorded at Navuluru and minimum value was found at Nekkallu. The values were found to be well within the standard similar to primary data.

As a whole, the gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS standards for the study period in Package -IV. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -IV is satisfactory.

6.3.5 Air Environment for Package -V

6.3.5.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study area and taking into account the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study area, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at two (2) locations in Package -V (E6). The study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.13.

Table 6-28: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -V

Station Number	Station
AAQV-1	Nelapadu
AAQV-2	Ananthavaram



Figure 6-30: AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Nelapadu and Ananthavaram

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at eight (08) monitored locations are presented in Table-6.14. The recorded concentrations are compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF&CC.

Table 6-29: Ambient air quality during study period in Package -V

Location/Category	Result			CPCB Standard	IFC Guidelines
	Min	Max	Mean		
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Nelapadu	40.0	45.1	42.6	100	50
Ananthavaram	40.5	45.2	42.9	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Nelapadu	16.2	18.1	17.2	60	25
Ananthavaram	17.5	20.17	18.8	60	25
SO₂ (µg/m³)					
Nelapadu	5.1	5.6	5.4	80	20
Ananthavaram	5.4	5.9	5.7	80	20
NO₂ (µg/m³)					
Nelapadu	15.3	16.1	15.7	80	40
Ananthavaram	16.5	17.2	16.9	80	40
CO (mg/m³)					
Nelapadu	<1	<1	<1	4	-
Ananthavaram	<1	<1	<1	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 40.0 – 45.2 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu.
- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 16.2 – 20.17 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was found at Nelapadu.

- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 5.1 – 5.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu.
- The Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) values were observed in the range between 15.3 – 17.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ against the CPCB standard of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Ananthavaram and minimum value was recorded at Nelapadu.
- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were $<1.0 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ as against the CPCB standard of $4 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

The gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS/IFC standards/guidlines for the study period in Package -V. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -V is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -V of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels. The graphs of PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, SO_2 & NO_2 concentrations in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.17 to 6.18.




Figure 6-31 : Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} concentrations in the Study Area




Figure 6-32 : Graph Showing the SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the Study Area

6.3.6 Air Environment for Package -VI

6.3.2.1 Primary Data

After a preliminary reconnaissance of the study area and considering the meteorological, topographic conditions (predominant wind directions, wind speed) and considering the spatial relationship of various land uses in accordance with the BIS Guidelines (IS: 5182 (part-14)-1985) and details on existing activities in the study area, Air Quality monitoring was carried out at seven (05) locations in Package -VI (N11, E12). The study was carried out during the month of November 2016. Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (AAQM) locations are given in Table -6.15.

Table 6-30 : Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations in Package -VI

Station Number	Station
AAQVI-1	Ainavolu
AAQVI-2	Lingayapalem
AAQVI-3	Neerukonda
AAQVI-4	Kuragallu

AAQVI-5	Yerrabalem
---------	------------



Figure 6-33 : AAQ Monitoring stations installed at Kuragullu and Yerrabalem

Data analysis

The observed concentrations of various pollutants at all the sampling stations were processed. The existing baseline levels obtained during the study period at five (05) monitored locations in Package -VI are presented in Table: 6-16. The recorded concentrations are compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as notified on 16.11.2009 by MoEF&CC.

Table 6-31 : Ambient air quality during study period in Package -VI

Location/Category	Result			CPCB Standard	IFC Guidelines
	Min	Max	Mean		
PM₁₀ (µg/m³)					
Ainavolu	46.3	50.1	48.2	100	50
Lingayapalem	40.5	43.8	42.2	100	50
Neerukonda	34.6	36.7	35.7	100	50
Kuragallu	48.5	50.3	49.4	100	50
Yerrabalem	40.3	48.5	44.4	100	50
PM_{2.5} (µg/m³)					
Ainavolu	17.1	20.6	18.9	60	25

Lingayapalem	16.4	19.6	18	60	25
Neerukonda	14	16.2	15.1	60	25
Kuragallu	18.5	21	19.8	60	25
Yerrabalem	17.5	20.8	19.2	60	25
SO2 (µg/m3)					
Ainavolu	5	5.4	5.2	80	20
Lingayapalem	4.8	5.3	5.1	80	20
Neerukonda	4.2	4.5	4.4	80	20
Kuragallu	6.1	6.8	6.5	80	20
Yerrabalem	5	5.8	5.4	80	20
NO2 (µg/m3)					
Ainavolu	15.8	16.3	16.1	80	40
Lingayapalem	15	16.1	15.6	80	40
Neerukonda	13.2	14.8	14	80	40
Kuragallu	18.4	19.3	18.9	80	40
Yerrabalem	15.2	16.4	15.8	80	40
CO (mg/m3)					
Ainavolu	<1	<1	<1	4	
Lingayapalem	<1	<1	<1	4	-
Neerukonda	<1	<1	<1	4	-
Kuragallu	<1	<1	<1	4	-
Yerrabalem	<1	<1	<1	4	-

As can be seen from the above Table:

- The Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) values observed in the range between 34.6 – 50.3 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 100 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was recorded at Nerukonda

- The Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) values were found in the range between 14.0 – 20.8 µg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 60 µg/m³ for residential/industrial category. All the values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Yerrabalem and minimum value was found at Neerukonda.
- The Sulfur dioxide values were observed in the range between 4.2-6.8 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was found at Neerukonda.
- The Dioxides of Nitrogen (NO₂) values were observed in the range between 13.2-19.3 µg/m³ against the CPCB standard of 80 µg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations. The maximum value was recorded at Kurugallu and minimum value was recorded at Neerukonda.
- The carbon monoxide (CO) levels observed were <1.0 mg/m³ as against the CPCB standard of 4mg/m³ for residential / industrial category. The values were found to be well within the stipulated standards for all the locations.

The gaseous concentrations at all the locations are well below the NAAQS/IFC standards/guidelines for the study period in Package -VI. In general, the ambient air quality in the Package -VI is satisfactory. It is envisaged that due to the proposed construction of Package -VI of the proposed roads in Capital City, the vehicle movement and traffic congestion will be eased, which may lead to reduction in the pollution levels. The graph of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} SO₂ & NO₂ Concentrations in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.20 & 6.21.




Figure 6-34: : Graph Showing the PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5} Concentrations in the Package -VI




Figure 6-35 : Graph Showing the NO₂ & SO₂ Concentrations in the Package -VI

6.4 Water Environment

Selected physico-chemical parameters along with bacteriological indicators of pollution have been used for describing the baseline status of water environment. Generation of baseline data for water quality covers sources of ground and surface water. Assessment of water quality in the study area includes.

- Surface water quality (IS – 2296)
- Ground water quality (IS – 10500)

6.4.1 Surface water quality

6.4.1.1 Surface water quality for Package –I

During the study period two (02) samples were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -I (E8). The sampling locations are designated as SWI1 to SWI2 as described in Table -6.17 and are shown in Figure -6.22. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the region. Table -6.17 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-36 Surface Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru

Table 6-32 Surface water sampling locations in Package -I

Location Code	Location
SWI-1	Tank near Nekkallu
SWI-2	Tank near Shakamuru

Table 6-33 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -I

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWI-1	SWI-2
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	8.18	8.16
2	Color	Hazen	300	120	18
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	1162	414
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	738	252
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	18.0	5.80
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	4.5	5.4
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	82	20
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	24	05
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	260	130
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	180	120
11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	56	32.0
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	28.8	12.0
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	220	45.0
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	142.6	32.4
15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	3.5	1.7
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ²⁻	mg/L	400	76.8	16.4
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	6.3	3.4
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	5.6	2.8
19	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.5	0.50	0.30
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1	<0.1
21	Iron as Fe	mg/L	50	0.12	0.04
22	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	15	0.040	0.020
23	Oil and grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Chromium as Cr	mg/L	0.05	<0.001	<0.001

25	Lead as Pb	mg/L	0.1	<0.001	<0.001
26	Temperature	°C	--	25.8	26.2
27	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	--	26.2	8.6
28	Phosphate as po ⁴	mg/L	--	<0.02	<0.02
29	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.005	<0.001	<0.001
30	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.002	<0.0001	<0.0001
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.2	<0.001	<0.001
32	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.01	<0.001	<0.001
33	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	<0.05	<0.05
34	Copper as Cu	mg/L	1.5	0.036	0.026
35	Total Plate Count	MPN/250ml	--	48*10 ²	560
36	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ 100ml	Should not exceed 5000	380	14

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 8.16 – 8.18 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 130 to 260 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 252 mg/l to 738 mg/l and are well within the limits.

- The chlorides ranged between 45.0 – 220.0 mg/l and are well within the limits:
- The Nitrates ranged between 3.4– 6.3 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 16.4 – 76.8 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
- The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.50mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.5 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 5 – 24mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 20-82 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Chloride & Sulphate, Nitrate, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the Package -I are shown in the Figures -6.23, 6.24, 6.25, 6.26, 6.27, 6.28 & 6.29.




Figure 6-37 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package –I




Figure 6-38 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -I




Figure 6-39 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -I




Figure 6-40 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -I




Figure 6-41 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -I




Figure 6-42 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -I




Figure 6-43 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -I

6.4.1.2 Surface water quality for Package -II

During the study period three (03) samples were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -II (N9). The sampling locations are designated as SWI1 to SWI3 as described in Table -6.19 and are shown in Figure -6.30. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the region. Table -6.20 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-44 Surface Water Sample Collected at Malkapuram and Velagapudi

Table 6-34 Surface water sampling locations in Package -II

Location Code	Location
SWII-1	River Krishna near Uddandarayunipalem
SWII-2	Stream near Velagapudi
SWII-3	Stream near Malkapuram

Table 6-35 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -II

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 ClassC Limits	SWII-1	SWII-2	SWII-3
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	7.98	7.80	7.93
2	Color	Hazen	300	130	150	140
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	570	2276	1492
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	336	1428	954
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	14.20	15.30	17.6
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	4.4	4.9	4.4
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	48	104	62
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	14	30	18
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	160	700	520
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	160	460	480

11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	36	144	112
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	16.8	81.6	57.6
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	75	430	165.0
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	54	194.8	98.9
15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	2.1	4.9	3.5
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ²⁻	mg/L	400	11.8	53.9	215.6
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	3.7	9.4	6.3
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	1.8	8.0	4.2
19	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.5	0.60	0.80	0.80
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
21	Iron as Fe	mg/L	50	0.12	0.14	0.14
22	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	15	0.030	0.036	0.032
23	Oil and grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Chromium as Cr	mg/L	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
25	Lead as Pb	mg/L	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26	Temperature	°C	--	25.8	25.8	26.5
27	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	--	22.6	29.1	32.2
28	Phosphate as po ⁴	mg/L	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
29	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
30	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
33	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
34	Copper as Cu	mg/L	1.5	0.016	0.040	0.038
35	Total Plate Count	MPN/250ml	--	24*10 ²	46*10 ²	45*10 ²
36	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ 100ml	Should not	140	360	380


			exceed 5000			
--	--	--	-------------	--	--	--

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.80 – 7.98 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 160 to 700 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 336 mg/l to 1428 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The chlorides ranged between 75.0 – 430.0 mg/l and are well within the limits
 - The Nitrates ranged between 3.7– 9.4 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 11.8 – 53.9 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
 - The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.60 to 0.80mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.4mg/l to 4.9 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 14 – 30 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 48-104 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Chloride & Sulphate, Nitrate, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.31 6.32, 6.33, 6.34, 6.358, 6.36, & 6.37.



Fig

Figure 6-45 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -II




Figure 6-46 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -II




Figure 6-47 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -II




Figure 6-48 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -II




Figure 6-49 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -II




Figure 6-50 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -II




Figure 6-51 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -II

6.4.1.3 Surface water quality for Package -III

During the study period seven (07) samples were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -III (N4 & N14). The sampling locations are designated as SWIII1 to SWIII7 as described in Table -6.21 and are shown in Figure -6.38. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the region. Table -6.22 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-52 Surface Water Sample Collected at Venkatapalem and Thullur Chakali Tank

Table 6-36 Surface water sampling locations in Package -III

Location Code	Location
SWIII-1	Lake near Navuluru
SWIII-2	Pala Vagu near Krishnayapalem
SWIII-3	Kondaveeti Vagu near Krishnayapalem
SWIII-4	River Krishna near Venkatapalem
SWIII-5	River Krishna near Borupalem
SWIII-6	Lake in Thullur
SWIII-7	Chakali cheruvu near Thullur

Table 6-37 Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -III

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWIII-1	SWIII-2	SWIII-3	SWIII-4	SWIII-5	SWIII-6	SWIII-7
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	8.03	7.28	7.65	8.16	8.10	8.09	8.15
2	Color	Hazen	300	140	120	225	18	15	180	240
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	664	470	464	414	516	1402	1128
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	418	292	288	252	320	898	1436
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	16.3	12.8	15.6	5.80	5.50	14.0	17.5
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	4.1	5.4	4.5	5.4	5.8	4.4	4.2
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	38	30	58	20	26	56	82
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	06	06	16	05	06	18	24
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	140	150	140	130	220	100	580
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	160	130	140	120	140	270	100
11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	32	32.0	32	32.0	48	24.0	120
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	14.4	16.8	14.4	12.0	24	9.6	67.2
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	100	60	50.0	45.0	70	275	485
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	84.6	36.3	39.1	32.4	12.7	266.8	233.5
15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	2.4	2.3	2.0	1.7	3.6	6.2	5.8
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ⁻	mg/L	400	22.2	14.4	14.0	16.4	12.8	32.2	30.1
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	4.4	4.4	3.8	3.4	3.1	5.6	10.0
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.6	4.2	4.8
19	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	1.5	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.4	0.9	0.90
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 8.16 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 100 to 580 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 252 mg/l to 1436 mg/l and are within the limits.
 - The chlorides ranged between 12.8 – 485.0 mg/l and are well within the limits:
 - The Nitrates ranged between 3.1– 10.0 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 12.8 – 32.2 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
 - The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.90mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.1mg/l to 5.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 4.1 – 24 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 20-82 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Chloride & Sulphate, Nitrate, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.39, 6.40, 6.41, 6.42, 6.43, 6.44 & 6.45.




Figure 6-53 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in the Package -III




Figure 6-54 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -III




Figure 6-55 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -III




Figure 6-56 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -III




Figure 6-57 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -III




Figure 6-58 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package –III




Figure 6-59 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -III

6.4.1.4 Surface water quality for Package -IV

During the study period eight (08) samples were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -IV (E10, E14 & N16). The sampling locations are designated as SWIV1 to SWIV8 as described in Table -6.23 and are shown in Figure -6.46. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the region. Table -6.24 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-60 Surface Water Sample Collected at Navuluruand and Dondapadu

Table 6-38 Surface water sampling locations in Package -IV

Location Code	Location
SWIV-1	Kondaveeti Vagu near Krishnayapalem
SWIV-2	Pala Vagu near Krishnayapalem
SWIV-3	Tank near Penumaka Jr. College
SWIV-4	Tank near Penumaka
SWIV-5	Lake in Bethapadu
SWIV-6	Lake in Navuluru
SWIV-7	Tank near Nekkallu
SWIV-8	Lake in Dondapadu

Table 6-39 Results of Surface Water Quality in the Package -IV

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWIV-1	SWIV-2	SWIV-3	SWIV-4	SWIV-5	SWIV-6	SWIV-7	SWIV-8
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	7.66	7.28	8.50	7.64	8.22	8.03	8.18	8.12
2	Color	Hazen	300	125	120	220	230	150	140	120	220
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	564	470	1334	1392	528	664	1162	1174
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	342	292	836	878	320	418	738	742
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	13.6	12.8	15.8	18.3	15.0	16.3	18.0	15.1
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	5.2	5.4	4.5	4.6	4.9	4.1	4.5	4.6
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	32	30	70	82	44	38	82	50
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	08	06	18	20	12	06	24	14
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	150	150	380	420	150	140	260	180
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	150	130	390	420	140	160	180	380
11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	36.0	32.0	80.0	88.0	32.0	32	56	40.0
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	14.4	16.8	43.2	48.0	16.8	14.4	28.8	19.2
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	70	60	170	165.0	60.0	100	220	130
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	56.8	36.3	127.6	122.3	48.7	84.6	142.6	178.5

15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	2.5	2.3	3.0	3.1	2.3	2.4	3.5	4.3
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ²⁻	mg/L	400	24.4	14.4	27.3	32.2	30.7	22.2	76.8	15.2
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	4.4	4.4	5.0	5.6	4.2	4.4	6.3	4.4
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	2.8	2.8	3.8	4.2	3.4	3.0	5.6	3.6
19	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.5	0.40	0.30	0.60	0.50	0.30	0.30	0.50	0.8
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
21	Iron as Fe	mg/L	50	0.6	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.12
22	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	15	0.024	0.020	0.028	0.024	0.016	0.034	0.040	0.062
23	Oil and grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Chromium as Cr	mg/L	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
25	Lead as Pb	mg/L	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26	Temperature	°C	--	26.6	25.6	26.5	26.5	26.8	26.3	25.8	25.9
27	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	--	12.8	10.2	18.2	15.3	08.4	14.6	26.2	28.8
28	Phosphate as po ⁴	mg/L	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
29	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
30	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
33	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
34	Copper as Cu	mg/L	1.5	0.042	0.042	0.036	0.030	0.016	0.035	0.036	0.032
35	Total Plate Count	MPN/250ml	--	28*10 ²	25*10 ²	43*10 ²	42*10 ²	34*10 ²	36*10 ²	48*10 ²	46*10 ²
36	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ 100ml	Should not exceed 5000	114	98	245	222	138	136	380	350

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 8.50 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 140 to 420 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 292 mg/l to 878 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The chlorides ranged between 60 – 220.0 mg/l and are well within the limits:
 - The Nitrates ranged between 4.2– 6.3 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 14.4 – 76.8 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
 - The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30 to 0.80mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.1 mg/l to 5.4 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 6 – 24.0 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to near by water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 30-82 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Chloride & Sulphate, Nitrate, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.47, 6.48, 6.49, 6.50, 6.51, 6.52 & 6.53.




Figure 6-61 Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in the Package -IV




Figure 6-62 Graph Showing the Chloride and Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -IV




Figure 6-63 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -IV




Figure 6-64 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -IV




Figure 6-65 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -IV




Figure 6-66 Graph Showing the Turbidity Concentrations in the Package -IV




Figure 6-67 Graph Showing the TDS Concentrations in the Package -IV

6.4.1.5 Surface water quality for Package -V

During the study period one (1) sample were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -V (E6 roads). The sampling locations are designated as SWV1 as described in Table -6.25. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the study area. Table -6.26 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-68 : Surface Water Sample Collected Pond near Nelapadu

Table 6-40: Surface water sampling locations in Package -V

Location Code	Location
SWV-1	Pond near Nelapadu

Table 6-41: Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -V

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:229 6 Class C Limits	SWV-1
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	7.96
2	Color	Hazen	300	110
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	712
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	448
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	13.3
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	4.8
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	44
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	14
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	160
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	140
11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	40.0
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	14.4
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	95.0
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	86.7
15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	2.1
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ²⁻	mg/L	400	72.1
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	3.8

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:229 6 Class C Limits	SWV-1
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	2.4
19	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.5	0.30
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1
21	Iron as Fe	mg/L	50	0.10
22	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	15	0.024
23	Oil and grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1
24	Chromium as Cr	mg/L	0.05	<0.001
25	Lead as Pb	mg/L	0.1	<0.001
26	Temperature	°C	--	246.3
27	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	--	26.1
28	Phosphate as po ⁴	mg/L	--	<0.02
29	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.005	<0.001
30	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.002	<0.0001
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.2	<0.001
32	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.01	<0.001
33	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	<0.05
34	Copper as Cu	mg/L	1.5	0.025
35	Total Plate Count	MPN/250m l	--	35*102
36	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ 100ml	Should not exceed 5000	250

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristic indicated a pH value of 7.96 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed is found to be 160 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed to be 448 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The chlorides value is found to be 95 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The Nitrates concentration is found to be 3.8 mg/l and the Sulphates concentration is found 72.1 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
 - The Fluoride concentration is found to be 0.30 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen is found to be 4.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is found to be 14 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be 44 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Sulphate & Nitrate, Chloride, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the Package -V are shown in the Figures -6.55, 6.56, 6.57, 6.58, 6.59, 6.60 & 6.61.




Figure 6-69 : Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -V




Figure 6-70 : Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -V




Figure 6-71: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in the Package -V




Figure 6-72: Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -V




Figure 6-73: Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -V




Figure 6-74: Graph Showing the Turbidity values in the Package -V




Figure 6-75: Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -V

6.4.1.6 Surface water quality for Package -VI

During the study period six (06) samples were collected for assessing the water quality in Package -VI (E12, N11). The sampling locations are designated as SWVI-1 to SWVI-6 as described in Table -6.27. These were identified considering proximity to the project site, their activities and depending upon its utility by the people in the study area. Table -6.28 presents surface water quality obtained at different locations.



Figure 6-76: Surface Water Samples Collected at River Krishna near Lingayapalem and Kuragallu lake

Table 6-42 : Surface water sampling locations in Package -VI

Location Code	Location
SWVI-1	Tank near Ainavolu
SWVI-2	Krishna River near Lingayapalem
SWVI-3	Pond near Neerukonda
SWVI-4	Kuragallu lake
SWVI-5	Lake near Yerrabalem
SWVI-6	Kondaveeti Vagu _Mandadam-Kuragallu Road

Table 6-43 : Results of Surface Water Quality in Package -VI

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWVI-1	SWVI-2	SWVI-3	SWVI-4	SWVI-5	SWVI-6
1	pH at 25 °C	-	6.5 – 8.5	8.22	8.16	7.20	8.29	8.26	7.12
2	Color	Hazen	300	110	15	165	180	185	120
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µS/cm	--	596	486	944	1876	1366	710
4	Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1500	364	304	592	1186	858	234
5	Turbidity	NTU	--	12.8	6.8	16.5	19.2	18.1	13.1
6	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	4	4.5	5.8	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.6
7	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	56	24	40	64	54	50
8	BOD (3 days at 27°C)	mg/L	3	18	04	12	20	16	14
9	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	120	130	160	360	240	210
10	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	--	160	130	240	400	340	190
11	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	--	28	32	36.0	80	52	44
12	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	--	12	12	16.8	38.4	26.4	24
13	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	600	85	65	140	310	215	115
14	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	78.6	48.7	138.9	260.8	198.7	72.3

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWVI-1	SWVI-2	SWVI-3	SWVI-4	SWVI-5	SWVI-6
15	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	2.0	2.0	3.1	3.5	3.5	2.8
16	Sulphate as SO ₄ ²⁻	mg/L	400	12.3	13.8	23.8	85.6	27.9	172.6
17	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	50	3.5	3.8	5.6	6.9	6.3	5.3
18	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	2.0	2.6	4.0	5.2	5.4	3.5
19	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.5	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.80	0.60	0.30
20	Residual Sodium Carbonate	mg/L	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
21	Iron as Fe	mg/L	50	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.08
22	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	15	0.025	0.024	0.030	0.028	0.042	0.022
23	Oil and grease	mg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Chromium as Cr	mg/L	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
25	Lead as Pb	mg/L	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26	Temperature	°C	--	25.6	25.8	26.8	26.5	26.3	27.2
27	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	--	26.4	10.2	16.1	20.4	12.5	12.6
28	Phosphate as po ⁴	mg/L	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
29	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.005	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:2296 Class C Limits	SWVI-1	SWVI-2	SWVI-3	SWVI-4	SWVI-5	SWVI-6
30	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.2	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
33	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
34	Copper as Cu	mg/L	1.5	0.020	0.020	0.054	0.061	0.060	0.028
35	Total Plate Count	MPN/250ml	--	34*102	480	38*102	43*102	41*102	25*102
36	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ 100ml	Should not exceed 5000	240	12	122	168	148	102

Data analysis:

The surface water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS- 2296 Class -C Limit (Drinking water source after conventional treatment). The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.20-8.29 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. Odour of water is un-objectionable. The Colour of water found to be well within desirable limit.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The total hardness observed to be constant in all samples and is in the range of 120-360 mg/l. The contribution of calcium is more than magnesium to the total hardness, which is reflected clearly from calcium and magnesium values.
 - The total dissolved solids observed are ranged between 234-1186 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The chlorides ranged between 65-310 mg/l and are well within the limits.
 - The Nitrates ranged between 3.5-6.9 mg/l and the Sulphates ranged between 12.3-172.6 mg/l and the observed values found to be consistent in Nitrates and Sulphates. Nitrates and Sulphate values are well within the limits.
 - The Fluoride values found to be in the range of 0.30-0.80 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 1 mg/l.
- Data on trace metals i.e., Fe, Zn, B, Cr & Cd concentrations found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and are found to be very well within desirable limit.
- The Dissolved Oxygen in the sources is ranging between 4.3-5.8 mg/l as against the minimum requirement limit 4mg/l, which implies sustainability of aquatic life in the tanks /lakes.
- Bio-chemical Oxidation Demand (BOD) is observed to be slightly high and ranged between 4-20 mg/l as against the specified limit of 3mg/l. This may be due to intrusion of domestic effluents in to nearby water bodies. The COD is found to be ranged between 24-64 mg/l.

Overall, the surface water quality is found to be satisfactory. The graphs of BOD & DO, Sulphate & Nitrate, Chloride, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity and TDS in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.63, 6.64, 6.65, 6.66, 6.67, 6.68 & 6.69.




Figure 6-77 : Graph Showing the BOD and DO Concentrations in Package -VI




Figure 6-78: Graph Showing the Sulphate and Chloride Concentrations in Package -VI




Figure 6-79: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -VI




Figure 6-80 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-81 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-82: Graph Showing the Turbidity values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-83 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -VI

6.4.2 Ground water quality

6.4.2.1 Ground water quality for Package -I

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use, hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -I (E8) of 10 Priority roads, two (02) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.29. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.30 and the locations are shown in Figure -6.70.

Table 6-44 Ground Water sampling Locations in Package -I

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWI-1	Nekkallu
GWI-2	Sakhamuru



Figure 6-84 Ground Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru

Table 6-45 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -I

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWI-1	GWI-2
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits		
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.18	7.90
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree
4	Odor	-	Agree	Agree	UO	UO
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	2814	2464
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	2.80	2.10
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	1802	1527
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	600	800
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	560	500
10	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	140	192.0
11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	60	76.8
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	370	320
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	291.5	257.4
14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	1.20	1.40
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	13.3	7.6

16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	363.8	192.9
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	5.5	4.1
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.20	0.16
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.082	0.080
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	12.0	5.2
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	26.8	27.3
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<01	<01
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<01	<01
26	Phosphate as po ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001
32	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05
36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.050	0.025
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	ND	ND
38	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ ml	Absent	Absent	ND	ND

Note: Agre – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.18 – 7.90 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 600 to 800 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits and the permissible limits.
 - The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1527 mg/l to 1802 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l.
 - The chlorides ranged between 320.0-370.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l.
 - The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 7.6 – 13.3 mg/l and 257.4 – 291.5 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits but within the desirable limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.
 - The Fluoride values found are in the range of 1.2 – 1.4 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The samples are within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l.
- Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Nitrate, Chloride & Sulphate, Fluoride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, Total Dissolved Solids, pH and Conductivity in the Package -I are shown in the Figures -6.71, 6.72, 6.73, 6.74, 6.75, 6.76 & 6.77 respectively.




Figure 6-85 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -I




Figure 6-86 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -I




Figure 6-87 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -I




Figure 6-88 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -I




Figure 6-89 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -I




Figure 6-90 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -I




Figure 6-91 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -I

6.4.2.2 Ground water quality for Package -II

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use; hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -II (N9) of 10 Priority roads, two (02) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.30. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground

water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.31 and the locations are shown in Figure -6.78.

Table 6-46 Ground Water sampling Locations in Package -II

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWII-1	Malkapuram
GWII-2	Velagapudi



Figure 6-92 Ground Water Sample Collected at Malkapuram and Velagapudi

Table 6-47 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -II

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWII-1	GWII-2
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits		
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.08	7.41
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre
4	Odor	-	Agre	Agre	UO	UO
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	2614	1638
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	2.10	2.10
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	1620	1014
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	920	350
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	1040	490
10	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	232	68

11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	81.6	36
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	145.1	210
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	45.8	20.7
14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	0.80	1.1
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	8.8	6.3
16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	172	224.0
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	4.3	3.3
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.20	0.16
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.072	0.062
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	9.4	4.8
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	26.9	27.2
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<01	<01
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<01	<01
26	Phosphate as po ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001
32	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05

36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.042	0.034
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	ND	ND
38	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ ml	Absent	Absent	ND	ND

Note: Agre – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.08 – 7.41 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 350 to 920mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. How ever, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at Malkapuram.
 - The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1014 mg/l to 1620 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l.
 - The chlorides ranged between 145.1-210.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l.
 - The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 8.8 mg/l and 20.7 – 45.8 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the desirable limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.
 - The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.80 – 1.1 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples are not exceeding the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l.
- Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Nitrate, Chloride & Sulphate, Fluoride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, Total Dissolved Solids, pH and

Conductivity in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.79, 6.80, 6.81, 6.82, 6.83, 6.84 & 6.85 respectively.




Figure 6-93 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -II




Figure 6-94 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -II




Figure 6-95 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -II




Figure 6-96 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -II




Figure 6-97 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -II




Figure 6-98 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -II




Figure 6-99 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -II

6.4.2.3 Ground water quality for Package -III

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use, hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -III (N4 & N14) of 10 Priority roads, four (04) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.33. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.34 and the locations are shown in Figure -6.86.

Table 6-48 Ground Water sampling Locations for Package -III

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWIII-1	Venkatapalem
GWIII-2	Krishnayapalem
GWIII-3	Thulluru
GWIII-4	Abbarajupalem



Figure 6-100 Ground Water Sample Collected at Abbarajupalem and Thullur

Table 6-49 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -III

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWIII-1	GWIII-2	GWIII-3	GWIII-4
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits				
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.78	7.42	7.78	7.28
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre
4	Odor	-	Agre	Agre	UO	UO	UO	UO
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	1540	2218	2094	2688
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	2.10	2.40	2.10	2.30
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	954	1376	1348	1714
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	680	580	320	640
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	430	630	570	620
10	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	148	108	72	132
11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	88.8	74.4	33	74.4
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	170	320	230	410
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	82.1	16.4	174.7	117.4
14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	1.20	0.80	1.30	0.90
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	8.8	6.3	12.6	14.5

16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	37.0	236.9	327.5	316.9
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	3.7	4.7	5.1	5.1
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.18	0.12	0.18	0.80
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.72	0.690	0.084	0.920
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	5.2	6.8	10.8	11.6
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	26.8	26.2	26.8	26.6
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
26	Phosphate as po ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.034	0.023	0.040	0.030
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	ND	ND	ND	ND
38	Coli form	cfu/ml	Absent	Absent	ND	ND	ND	ND

	Organisms							
--	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Note: Agre – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.28 – 7.78 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.

Data on chemical characteristics:

The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 320 to 680 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. However, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at two sampling locations namely Venkatapalem and Abbarajupalem.

The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 954 mg/l to 1714 mg/l as against the desirable limits of 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits of 2000 mg/l.

The chlorides ranged between 170.0-410.1 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l.

The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 14.5 mg/l and 16.4 – 174.7 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.

The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.80 – 1.30 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples found to be within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l.

Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the Package -III is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Nitrate, Chloride & Sulphate, Fluoride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, Total Dissolved Solids, pH and

Conductivity in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.87, 6.88, 6.89, 6.90, 6.91, 6.92 & 6.93 respectively




Figure 6-101 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -III




Figure 6-102 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -III




Figure 6-103 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -III




Figure 6-104 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -III




Figure 6-105 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -III




Figure 6-106 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -III




Figure 6-107 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -III

6.4.2.4 Ground water quality for Package -IV

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use, hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -IV (E10, E14 & N16) of 10 Priority roads, six (06) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.35. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.36 and the locations are shown in Figure -6.94.

Table 6-50 Ground Water sampling Locations for Package -IV

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWIV-1	Krishnayapalem
GWIV-2	Penumaka
GWIV-3	Navuluru
GWIV-4	Bethapudi
GWIV-5	Nekkallu

GWIV-6	Dondapadu
--------	-----------



Figure 6-108 Ground Water Sample Collected at Nekkallu and Dondapadu

Table 6-51 Ground water quality analysis results for Package -IV

S.No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWIV-1	GWIV-2	GWIV-3	GWIV-4	GWIV-5	GWIV-6
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits						
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.42	7.12	7.16	7.40	7.18	7.58
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05	<05	<05	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre	Agre
4	Odor	-	Agre	Agre	UO	UO	UO	UO	UO	UO
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	2218	1870	4624	3964	2814	1452
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	2.40	2.00	3.10	2.90	2.80	1.8
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	1376	1118	2868	2458	1802	930
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	580	750	890	940	600	210
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	630	440	960	820	560	460
10	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	108	136	160	248	140	52.0
11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	74.4	98.4	119.6	77	60	19.2
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	320	300	710	740	370	140
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	16.4	54.5	296.3	100.2	291.5	52.6

14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	0.80	1.10	1.60	1.80	1.20	0.60
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	6.3	8.8	27.1	8.8	13.3	8.2
16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	236.9	78.2	647.7	472.8	363.8	231.8
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.7	5.5	4.0
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.12	0.20	0.26	0.28	0.20	0.60
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.690	0.580	0.760	0.560	0.082	0.450
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02	<02	<02	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	6.8	6.4	20.4	10.2	12.0	6.6
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.4	26.8	26.2
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<01
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01
26	Phosphate as po ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02

30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as Cr ⁶⁺	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.023	0.030	0.092	0.058	0.050	0.024
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
38	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ ml	Absent	Absent	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Note: Agree – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.12 – 7.58 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 210 to 940mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits. However, the total hardness values exceeded the permissible limits at three sampling locations namely Penumaka, Navuluru and Bethapudi.
 - The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 930 mg/l to 2868 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l except at Navuluru and Bethapudi.
 - The chlorides ranged between 140.0-740.0 mg/l and are well within the desirable and permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l.
 - The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 6.3 – 27.1 mg/l and 16.4 – 296.3 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.
 - The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.60 – 1.80 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The fluoride content in the samples collected at Navuluru and Bethapudi are found to be exceeding the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l.
- Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Nitrate, Chloride & Sulphate, Fluoride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, Total Dissolved Solids, pH and

Conductivity in the study area are shown in the Figures -6.95, 6.96, 6.97, 6.98, 6.99, 6.100 & 6.101 respectively.




Figure 6-109 Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentration in the Package -IV




Figure 6-110 Graph Showing the Chloride & Sulphate Concentrations in the Package -IV




Figure 6-111 Graph Showing the Fluorides Concentration in the Package -IV




Figure 6-112 Graph Showing the Total Hardness and Total Alkalinity Concentration in the Package -IV




Figure 6-113 Graph Showing the TDS Concentration in the Package -IV




Figure 6-114 Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -IV




Figure 6-115 Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -IV

6.4.2.5 Ground water quality for Package -V

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use, hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -V (E6) of 10 Priority roads, two (2) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.37. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.38.

Table 6-52: Ground Water Sampling Locations in Package -V

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWV-1	Nelapadu
GWV-2	Ananthavaram



Figure 6-116 : Ground Water Sample Collected at Ananthavaram and Nelapadu

Table 6-53 : Ground water quality analysis results for Package – V

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWV-1	GWV-2
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits		
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.46	7.33
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agre	Agre	Agreeable	Agreeable
4	Odor	-	Agre	Agre	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	4588	1616
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	3.2	1.8
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	2844	1024
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	1300	660
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	480	300
10	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	320	192
11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	120	43.2
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	750	160
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	621.8	255.9
14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	2.1	0.6
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	28.9	19.4
16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	450.8	61.6

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWV-1	GWV-2
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits		
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	4.7	4.6
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.26	0.16
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.078	0.06
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	18.2	7.8
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	26.2	26.5
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<01	<01
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<01	<01
26	Phosphate as PO ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02
30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001
3	Mercury as	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWV-1	GWV-2
			Desirable Limits	Permissible Limits		
2	Hg					
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05
36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.05	0.034
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	ND	ND
38	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ ml	Absent	Absent	ND	ND

Note: Agree – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for Physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.33 - 7.46 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 660 - 1300 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits and the permissible limits.
 - The Total Dissolved Solids are observed to be high and ranged between 1024 - 2488 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the desirable limits but well within the permissible limits 2000 mg/l except at Ananthavaram.
 - The chlorides ranged between 160-750 mg/l. All samples are well within permissible limits of 1000 mg/l.
 - The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 19.4-28.9 mg/l and 255.9-621.8 mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits for Sulphates.
 - The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.6 – 2.1 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. The samples are within the permissible limit of 1.5mg/l except at Nelapadu.
- Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Sulphate & Nitrate, Chloride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, pH, Conductivity Fluoride, Total Dissolved Solids in the Package -V are shown in the Figures -6.103, 6.104, 6.105, 6.106, 6.107, 6.108 & 6.109 respectively.




Figure 6-117: Graph Showing the Sulphate and Chloride Concentrations in Package -V




Figure 6-118: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -V




Figure 6-119: Graph Showing the Hardness and Alkalinity values in the Package -V




Figure 6-120 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -V




Figure 6-121 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -V




Figure 6-122: Graph Showing the Fluoride values in the Package -V




Figure 6-123 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -V

6.4.2.6 Ground water quality for Package -VI

Ground Water is one of the main sources of water in the sub-project influence area for domestic, commercial and other irrigation use, hence the rate of extraction of ground water is at a moderate scale. For assessing the ground water quality in the Package -VI (N11, E12) of 10 Priority roads, five (05) samples were collected from the identified bore wells/dug wells and given in Table -6.39. Selection of samples considered as per the utilization of the people along the proposed road development projects. Mostly ground water is used for domestic, drinking, gardening, floor washing and industrial purposes. The ground water quality analysis results are given in Table -6.40.

Table 6-54 : Ground Water Sampling Locations in Package -VI

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWVI-1	Ainavolu
GWVI-2	Lingayapalem
GWVI-3	Neerukonda
GWVI-4	Kuragullu

Location Code	Bore Well Location
GWVI-5	Yerrabalem



Figure 6-124 : Ground Water Sample Collected at Neerukonda and Kuragullu

Table 6-55 : Ground water quality analysis results for Package - VI

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWVI-1	GWVI-2	GWVI-3	GWVI-4	GWVI-5
			Desirable	Permissible					
1	pH	-	6.5 – 8.5	NR	7.2	7.33	7.15	7.2	7.82
2	Color (Hazen units)	Hazen	5	15	<05	<05	<05	<05	<05
3	Taste	-	Agre	Agre	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable	Agreeable
4	Odor	-	Agre	Agre	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable	Unobjectionable
5	Conductivity	µS/cm	--	--	17694	1616	2150	2716	3607
6	Turbidity (NTU)	NTU	1	5	4.1	1.8	2	2.2	2.4
7	Total Dissolve solids	mg/L	500	2000	10970	1024	1334	1684	2236
8	Total Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	200	600	2600	660	570	700	80
9	Total Alkalinity	mg/L	200	600	450	300	520	540	980

S. No	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWVI-1	GWVI-2	GWVI-3	GWVI-4	GWVI-5
			75	200					
	Calcium as Ca	mg/L	75	200	480	192	152	144	16
11	Magnesium as Mg	mg/L	30	100	136.8	43.2	45.6	81.6	9.6
12	Chloride as Cl	mg/L	250	1000	4570.8	160	300	435	505
13	Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/L	200	400	1743.2	255.9	112.1	178.6	94
14	Fluorides as F ⁻	mg/L	1.0	1.5	2.9	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.8
15	Nitrates as NO ₃	mg/L	45	100	84.4	19.4	19.2	11.3	8.2
16	Sodium as Na	mg/L	--	--	2865.3	61.6	226.3	296.5	787.7
17	Potassium as K	mg/L	--	--	6.2	4.6	4.3	4.7	3.5
18	Iron as Fe	mg/L	0.3	NR	0.32	0.16	0.18	0.2	0.22
19	Zinc as Zn	mg/L	5	15	0.09	0.06	0.54	0.64	0.82
20	Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<02	<02	<02	<02	<02
21	Silica as SiO ₂	mg/L	--	--	36.4	7.8	9.4	10.6	10.5

S. N	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWVI-1	GWVI-2	GWVI-3	GWVI-4	GWVI-5
22	Temperature	°C	0.003	NR	27.8	26.5	26.8	25.4	26.2
23	Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
24	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Absent	Absent	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01
25	Bio chemical oxygen Demand	mg/L	--	--	<01	<01	<01	<01	<01
26	Phosphate as po ₄	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
27	Oil & grease	mg/L	--	--	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
28	Phenolic Compounds	mg/L	0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
29	Residual sodium carbonate	mg/L	--	--	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
30	Lead as pb	mg/L	0.01	NR	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
31	Total Arsenic as As	mg/L	0.01	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
32	Mercury as Hg	mg/L	0.001	NR	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

S. N	Parameter	Units	IS:10500		GWVI-1	GWVI-2	GWVI-3	GWVI-4	GWVI-5
33	Cadmium as Cd	mg/L	0.003	NR	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
34	Hexavalent Chromium as cr-6	mg/L	--	--	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
35	Total Chromium	mg/L	0.05	NR	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
36	Copper as Cu	mg/L	0.05	1.5	0.074	0.034	0.032	0.038	0.052
37	Total Plate Count	MPN/ml	--	--	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected
38	Coli form Organisms	cfu/ ml	Absent	Absent	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected

Note: Agree – Agreeable, UO – Unobjectionable, ND – Not Detected, NR – No Relaxation, NA – Not agreeable, Obj – Objectionable

Data analysis:

The ground water collected from various sources are analyzed for physico-chemical and bacteriological parameters. The results are compared with BIS: 10500 Drinking Water Standards. The detail description of sample analyzed is given as below:

- Data on physical characteristics indicated variations in pH ranged between 7.15 – 7.82 as against IS standard of 6.5 – 8.5. The physical Taste of water is agreeable and Odour of water found to be un-objectionable at all sampling locations.
- Data on chemical characteristics:
 - The Total Hardness observed to be varying in all samples and is in the range of 80-2600 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 200mg/l. Samples are exceeding the permissible limits at Ainavolu, Lingayapalem and Kurugallu.
 - The Total Dissolved Solids observed to be high and ranged between 1024-10970 mg/l as against the desirable limits 500 mg/l. Samples are well within the permissible limits of 2000 mg/l except at Ainavolu and Yerrabalem . TDS is found to be high in all locations.
 - The chlorides ranged between mg/l and are within permissible limits of 250 mg/l – 1000 mg/l except at Mandadam
 - The Nitrates and Sulphates values observed to be ranged between 7.6-58.6 mg/l and 21.4-254.3 mg/l. Samples are not exceeding the permissible limits for both Nitrates and Sulphates.
 - The Fluoride values found are in the range of 0.8-1.80 mg/l as against the desirable limit of 1.0 mg/l. Samples are well within the permissible limit of 1.5 mg/l except at Bethapudi and yerrabalem.
- Data on trace metal concentration found to be consistent in all the analyzed samples and very well within permissible limit.

The ground water quality in the study area is satisfactory as compared with BIS: 10500 standards. The water can be used for domestic, commercial and agriculture purposes. It can also be used for drinking after treatment. The Concentrations of Sulphate & Nitrate, Chloride, Total hardness & Total Alkalinity, pH, Conductivity Fluoride, Total Dissolved Solids in the Package -VI are shown in the Figures -6.111, 6.112, 6.113, 6.114, 6.115, 6.116 & 6.117 respectively.




Figure 6-125: Graph Showing the Sulphates and Chloride Concentrations in Package -VI




Figure 6-126: Graph Showing the Nitrate Concentrations in Package -VI




Figure 6-127: Graph Showing the Hardness and Alkalinity values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-128 : Graph Showing the pH values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-129 : Graph Showing the Conductivity values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-130: Graph Showing the Fluoride values in the Package -VI




Figure 6-131 : Graph Showing the TDS values in the Package -VI

6.5 Noise Environment

6.5.1 Noise Environment for Package -I

6.5.1.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at two (02) locations in Package -I (E8) as shown in Table -6.41. The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table - 6.42 and are show in Figure -6.118.

Table 6-56 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -I

Location Code	Location Name
NI-1	Nekkallu
NI-2	Sakhamuru



Figure 6-132 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Nekkallu and Sakhamuru

Table 6-57 Noise Quality Status in the Package -I

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards & IFC Guidelines dB(A)
NI-1	Nekkallu	Residential	53.5	46.3	55 & 45
NI-2	Sakhamuru	Residential	54.1	45.5	55 & 45

Note: Day monitoring is from 6:00 am to 9:00 pm and Night monitoring is from 9:00 pm to 6:00 am

Data analysis

Residential Category:

There are two residential locations i.e., Nekkallu and Sakhamuru monitored in the Package -I. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 53.5 to 54.1 dB (A) & 45.5 to 46.3 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard/IFC Guidelines of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits in all monitored locations. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.119.

Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -I




Figure 6-133 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -I

6.5.1.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from "EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City" prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons for Nekkallu and Sakhamuru are as follows for Package -I.

The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 53.9 to 58.7 dB (A) & 40.0 to 54.6 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are exceeding the limits in Sakhamuru because of temporal local agricultural activity at Nekkallu in summer season unlike post-monsoon season of primary data.

6.5.2 Noise Environment for Package -II

6.5.2.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at two (02) locations in Package -II as shown in Table -6.43. The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table -6-44 and are show in Figure -6.120.

Table 6-58 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations for Package -II

Location Code	Location Name
NII-1	Malkapuram
NII-2	Velagapudi



Figure 6-134 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Malkapuram and Velagapudi

Table 6-59 Noise Quality Status in the Package -II

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards & IFC Guidelines dB(A)
NII-1	Malkapuram	Residential	53.0	54.3	55 & 45
NII-2	Velagapudi	Residential	43.8	45.7	55 & 45

Note: Day monitoring is from 6:00 am to 9:00 pm and Night monitoring is from 9:00 pm to 6:00 am

Data analysis

Residential Category:

There are two residential locations i.e., Malkapuram and Velagapudi monitored in the Package -II. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 43.8 to 53.0 dB (A) & 45.7 to 54.3 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standards/IFC guidelines of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day noise levels are found to be well within the limits in all monitored locations. Night time noise levels are slightly high. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.121.




Figure 6-135 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -II

6.5.3 Noise Environment for Package -III

6.5.3.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at four (04) locations in Package -III as shown in Table -6.45. The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table -6.46 and are show in Figure -6.126.

Table 6-60 Ambient Noise monitoring Locations in Package -III

Location Code	Location Name
NIII-1	Venkatapalem
NIII-2	Krishnayapalem
NIII-3	Thullur
NIII-4	Abbarajupalem



Figure 6-136 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Thullur and Abbarajupalem

Table 6-61 Noise Quality Monitoring Status in the Package -III

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards / IFC Guidelines dB(A)
NIII-1	Venkatapalem	Residential	52.8	42.7	55 & 45
NIII-2	Krishnayapalem	Residential	51.9	41.7	55 & 45
NIII-3	Thullur	Commercial	63.4	54.0	65 & 55 / 70 & 70
NIII-4	Abbarajupalem	Residential	53.3	45.1	55 & 45

Data analysis

Residential Category:

There are three residential locations i.e., Venkatapalem, Krishnayapalem and Abbarajupalem monitored in the Package -III. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 51.9 to 53.3 dB (A) & 41.7 to 45.1 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standards/IFC guidelines of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits in all monitored locations. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.123.




Figure 6-137 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -III

Commercial Category:

The location Thullur fall under commercial category. The day and night noise levels are observed to be 68.6 dB (A) & 58.1 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 65.0 & 55.0 dB(A) and the noise levels are not found to be well within the limits at Thullur. This may be due to temporal local activity/commercial activities observed during the study period. However, when compared with IFC guidelines, the noise values are well within the guidelines. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.124.




Figure 6-138 Noise Quality levels in Commercial Category of Package -III

6.5.3.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from "EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City" prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons for Venkatapalem, Thullur and Abbarajupalem are as follows for Package -III.

The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 53.2 to 62.3 dB (A) & 38.6 to 41.8 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day noise levels are exceeding the limits in Venkatapalem because of temporal local agricultural activity at Venkatapalem in summer season unlike post-monsoon season of primary data.

6.5.4 Noise Environment for Package -IV

6.5.4.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at six (06) locations in Package -IV as shown in Table -6.47. The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table -6.48 and are show in Figure -6.125.

Table 6-62 Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -IV

Location Code	Location Name
NIV-1	Krishnayapalem
NIV-2	Penumaka
NIV-3	Navuluru
NIV-4	Bethapudi
NIV-5	Nekkallu
NIV-6	Dondapadu



Figure 6-139 Ambient Noise quality sampling at Dondapadu and Nekkallu

Table 6-63 Noise Quality Status in the Package -IV

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards & IFC Guidelines dB(A)
NIV-1	Krishnayapalem	Residential	51.9	41.7	55 & 45
NIV-2	Penumaka	Residential	53.9	44.2	55 & 45
NIV-3	Navuluru	Residential	53.6	46.7	55 & 45
NIV-4	Bethapudi	Residential	52.0	43.7	55 & 45
NIV-5	Nekkallu	Residential	53.5	46.3	55 & 45
NIV-6	Dondapadu	Residential	56.5	46.7	55 & 45

Note: Day monitoring is from 6:00 am to 9:00 pm and Night monitoring is from 9:00 pm to 6:00 am

Data analysis

Residential Category:

There are six residential locations i.e., Krishnayapalem, Penumaka, Navuluru, Bethapudi, Nekkallu and Dondapadu monitored in the project study area. The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 51.9 to 56.5dB (A) & 41.7 to 46.7 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standards/IFC guidelines of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits in all monitored locations except at Dondapadu. This may be due to temporal local activity/commercial activities observed during the study period. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.126.




Figure 6-140 Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -IV

6.5.4.2 Secondary Data

Secondary data is collected from "EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City" prepared for APCRDA by Tata Consulting Engineers limited and the baseline studies for this report were carried out during Summer season (May-June) of 2015. The comparisons for Navuluru and Nekkallu are as follows for Package -IV.

- The day and night noise levels are observed to be in the range of 53.9 to 55.4 dB (A) & 38.1 to 40.0 dB(A) as against the CPCB Standard of 55 & 45 dB(A) respectively. The day noise levels are slightly higher than the limits in Navuluru because of temporal local agricultural activity at Navuluru in summer season unlike post-monsoon season of primary data.

6.5.5 Noise Environment for Package -V

6.5.5.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at two (02) locations in Package -V (E6) as shown in Table -6.49 . The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was

carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table -6.50.

Table 6-64 : Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations in Package -V

Location Code	Location Name
NV-1	Nelapadu
NV-2	Ananthavaram




Figure 6-141 : Ambient Noise quality sampling at Nelapadu and Ananthavaram

Table 6-65: Noise Quality Status in the Package -V

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards & IFC Guidelines dB(A)
NV-1	Nelapadu	Residential	50.2	43.5	55 & 45
NV-2	Ananthavaram	Residential	51.6	43.7	55 & 45

Note: Day monitoring is from 6:00 am to 9:00 pm and Night monitoring is from 9:00 pm to 6:00 am

Data analysis

In Package V, all the monitored locations fall under residential category except Thullur, which falls under commercial category. The day time noise levels are found to be in the range of 50.2 dB(A) to 51.6 dB(A) and night time noise levels found to be in the range of 43.5 dB(A) to 43.7 dB(A) for residential category. The day & night noise levels are found

to be well within the limits in all monitored locations. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure 6.128 for residential category.




Figure 6-142 :Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -V

6.5.2 Noise Environment for Package -VI

6.5.2.1 Primary Data

In view of the proposed road project, field monitoring was carried out at five (5) locations in Package -VI as shown in Table -6.51. The locations were selected based on the land use pattern, traffic intersections and diversions along the existing alignment. Precision integrated sound level meter having statistical unit with digital display was used for ambient noise level monitoring in the present study. Noise monitoring was carried out for 24 hours at each location. Noise monitoring locations and noise levels recorded i.e., Leq day, Leq night, are presented in Table -6.52.

Table 6-66 : Ambient Noise Monitoring Locations for Package -VI

Location Code	Location Name
NVI-1	Ainavolu
NVI-2	Lingayapalem
NVI-3	Neerukonda
NVI-4	Kuragallu
NVI-5	Yerrabalem



Figure 6-143: Ambient Noise quality sampling at Neerukonda and Penumaka

Table 6-67: Noise Quality Status in the Package -VI

Station No.	Location	Category	Leq day dB(A)	Leq Night dB(A)	CPCB Standards & IFC Guidlines dB(A)
NVI-1	Ainavolu	Residential	53.6	43.8	55 & 45
NVI-2	Lingayapalem	Residential	53	45.3	55 & 45
NVI-3	Neerukonda	Residential	48.4	42.5	55 & 45
NVI-4	Kuragallu	Residential	52.8	44	55 & 45
NVI-5	Industrial Estate near Yerrabalem	Industry	51.2	43.6	75 & 70 /70 & 70

Note: Day monitoring is from 6:00 am to 9:00 pm and Night monitoring is from 9:00 pm to 6:00 am

Data analysis

The day time noise levels are found to be in the range of 48.4 dB(A) to 53.6 dB(A) and night time noise levels found to be in the range of 42.5 dB(A) to 45.3 dB(A) for residential category. The day time noise level is found to be 51.2 dB(A) and night time

noise level found to 43.6 dB(A) for industrial category. The day & night noise levels are found to be well within the limits in all monitored locations, except at Ligayapalem. It is exceeding the noise limits at night time. Industrial estate near Yerrabalem falls under Industrial category. The Noise quality levels of the study are shown in the Figure -6.130, 6.131 for residential and industrial category respectively.




Figure 6-144 :Noise Quality levels in Residential Category of Package -VI




Figure 6-145 :Noise Quality levels in Industrial Category of Package -VI

National Ambient Noise Standards/IFC Guidelines

The Central Pollution Control Board has specified ambient noise levels for different land use for day and night times. Importance was given to the timing of exposure and areas designated as sensitive. The World Bank EHS guidelines follow IFC guidelines for Noise. The National ambient noise level standards are given in Table -6.53 along with IFC guidelines.

Table 6-68: National ambient noise level standards

Area Code	Category	Limits in Decibels (dB(A)) - CPCB		Limits in Decibels (dB(A)) - IFC Guidelines	
		Day Time	Night Time	Day Time	Night Time
A	Industrial	75	70	70	70
B	Commercial	65	55	70	70
C	Residential	55	45	55	45
D	Silence Zones	50	40	-	-

Note:

- Day Time is recorded in between
6 a.m. and 9 p.m (CPCB)
7 am and 10 p.m (IFC)
- Night time is recorded in between
9 p.m. to 6 a.m. (CPCB)
10 p.m. to 7 a.m. (IFC)
- Silence zone is defined as areas upto 100 meters around such premises as hospitals, educational institutions and courts. The silence zones are to be declared by the Competent Authority.
- Use of vehicular horns, loudspeakers and bursting of crackers shall be banned in these zones.
- Mixed categories of areas should be declared as one of the four above mentioned categories by the Competent Authority and the corresponding standards shall apply.

Source: EPA Notification [G.S.R. 1063 (E) dt. 26.12.1989 published in the Gazette No. 643 dt. 26.12.1989].

6.6 Land Environment

The alignment of proposed project in Capital City is passing mostly in barren and fallow lands, majority of which were already acquired for construction of the road through land pooling. The project corridor lies in mostly plain and rolling and thus, no disfiguration of

land is envisaged due to construction activities except for the opening of borrow pits. The borrow pit locations have already been identified and will be restricted to those areas only.

6.6.1 Geology

The study area is underlain by various geological formations of different age groups ranging from Archaean to Recent. The Archaean basement complex comprising the granite-gneisses, Schists, Khondalites, Charnockites and basic dykes of dolerites form the predominant rock types in the central part. The fringe of the Archaean in the central part is represented by Cuddapah basin, namely Nallamalai group of Upper Cuddapahs. In a sequential order, the younger Kurnools occurring in the Cuddapahs and those in the western parts of the district are thrust over by the Cuddapahs and these in turn by the Archaean granite-gneisses. The Upper Gondwana group of sandstones and shales out crop are seen at Guntur. The youngest rock types of the district appear to be of Mio – Pliocene age followed by the alluvial deposits of Recent to Sub-Recent age.

6.6.2 Soil

6.6.2.1 Soil for Package -I

For land environment two (02) samples were selected from different villages in the study area to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.54 & 6.55 and are shown in Figure -6.132 respectively.



Figure 6-146 Soil sampling near Sakhamuru and Nekkallu using Crowbar

Table 6-69 Soil Quality Location for Package -I

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SI-1	Nekkallu
SI-2	Sakhamuru

Table 6-70 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -I

S.No	Parameter	Units	SI-1	SI-2
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	08	28
	Silt (%)	%	50	30
	Clay (%)	%	42	42
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.02	7.99
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	166	466
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.18	1.21
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	498	536
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	62	44
7	Available K	kg/ha	234	252
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	5.68	5.54
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	0.88	0.76
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	2.72	2.32
11	Organic Carbon	%	0.96	0.94
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.20	0.12
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	5.84	8.64
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.12	0.26

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.55:

- The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.
- Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.99 – 8.02.

- If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 166 – 466 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
- The available Nitrogen in the soil is 498 – 536 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.
- The available Phosphorous in the soil is 44 – 62 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- The available Potassium in the soil is 234 – 252 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

6.6.2.2 Soil for Package -II

For land environment two (02) samples were selected from different villages in the Package -II to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.56 & 6.57 and are shown in Figure -6.133 respectively.



Figure 6-147 Soil sampling near Velagapudi and Malkapuram using Crowbar

Table 6-71 Soil Quality Location in Package -II

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SII-1	Malkapuram
SII-2	Velagapudi

Table 6-72 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -II

S. No	Parameter	Units	SII-1	SII-2
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	22	30
	Silt (%)	%	40	46
	Clay (%)	%	38	24
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.02	8.14
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	398	316
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.22	1.19
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	546	612
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	54	46
7	Available K	kg/ha	264	228
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	6.60	5.82
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	1.24	1.02
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	2.80	2.68
11	Organic Carbon	%	1.30	0.90
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.42	0.20
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	9.16	7.74
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.24	0.18

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.57:

- The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.
- Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 8.02 – 8.14.
- If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 316 – 398 µS/cm.

- The available Nitrogen in the soil is 546 – 612 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.
- The available Phosphorous in the soil is 46 – 54 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- The available Potassium in the soil is 228 – 264 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

6.6.2.3 Soil for Package -III

For land environment four (04) samples were selected from different villages in the Package -III to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.58 & 6.59 and are shown in Figure -6.134 respectively.



Figure 6-148 Soil sampling near Thullur and Abbarajupalem using Crowbar

Table 6-73 Soil Quality Location in Package -III

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SIII-1	Venkatapalem
SIII-2	Krishnayapalem
SIII-3	Thullur
SIII-4	Abbarajupalem

Table 6-74 Soil Quality Analysis for Package -III

S.No	Parameter	Units	SIII-1	SIII-2	SIII-3	SIII-4
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	20	20	16	16
	Silt (%)	%	30	42	44	36
	Clay (%)	%	50	38	40	48
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.12	8.12	7.98	8.09
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	570	866	124	220
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.16	1.22	1.14	1.06
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	456	468	596	524
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	47	56	66	53
7	Available K	kg/ha	239	244	242	264
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	5.86	5.64	5.90	5.48
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	1.36	0.72	1.02	1.02
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	2.24	4.20	2.30	2.30
11	Organic Carbon	%	1.02	1.20	0.86	0.96
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.42	0.42	0.10	0.24
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	8.84	12.4	3.86	6.02
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.34	0.38	0.08	0.12

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.59:

- The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.
- Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.98 – 8.12.
- If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 124 – 866 µS/cm.
- The available Nitrogen in the soil is 456 – 596 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.
- The available Phosphorous in the soil is 47 – 66 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- The available Potassium in the soil is 239 – 264 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

6.6.2.4 Soil for Package -IV

For land environment six (06) samples were selected from different villages in the Package -IV to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.60 & 6.61 and are shown in Figure -6.135 respectively.



Figure 6-149 Soil sampling near Dondapadu and Rayapudi using Crowbar

Table 6-75 Soil Quality Location for Package -IV

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SIV-1	Krishnayapalem
SIV-2	Penumaka
SIV-3	Navulur
SIV-4	Bethapudi
SIV-5	Nekkallu
SIV-6	Dondapadu

Table 6-76 Soil Quality Analysis in Package -IV

S.No	Parameter	Units	SIV-1	SIV-2	SIV-3	SIV-4	SIV-5	SIV-6
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	20	16	16	20	08	24

	Silt (%)	%	42	30	38	37	50	40
	Clay (%)	%	38	44	46	43	42	36
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.12	8.11	7.78	8.02	8.02	7.90
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	866	235	136	86	166	178
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.22	1.11	1.24	1.09	1.18	1.08
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	468	524	488	542	498	498
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	56	54	44	58	62	44
7	Available K	kg/ha	244	268	258	254	234	259
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	5.64	5.80	5.40	5.12	5.68	5.62
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	0.72	1.04	0.84	0.84	0.88	0.86
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	4.20	2.82	1.56	2.14	2.72	2.34
11	Organic Carbon	%	1.20	1.04	0.92	1.02	0.96	0.92
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.42	0.32	0.14	0.11	0.20	0.20
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	12.4	8.2	5.40	5.68	5.84	4.86
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.38	0.30	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.08

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.59:

- The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.
- Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.78 – 8.12.
- If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 86 – 866 µS/cm.
- The available Nitrogen in the soil is 468 – 542 mg/Kg, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.

- The available Phosphorous in the soil is 44 – 62 mg/Kg, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- The available Potassium in the soil is 234 – 268 mg/Kg, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

6.6.2.5 Soil for Package -V

For land environment two (02) samples were selected from different villages in the study area to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any, and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.62 & 6.63 respectively.



Figure 6-150: Soil sampling near Abbarajupalem and Velagapudi using Crowbar

Table 6-77: Soil Quality Location for Package -V

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SV-1	Nelapadu
SV-2	Ananthavaram

Table 6-78 : Soil Quality Analysis for Package -V

SL.No	Parameters	Unit	SV-1	SV-2
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	24	12
	Silt (%)	%	36	34
	Clay (%)	%	40	54
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.09	8.14
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	105	248
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.16	1.13
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	528	544
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	68	54
7	Available K	kg/ha	244	268
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	6.44	6.10
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	0.46	1.24
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	1.60	3.02
11	Organic Carbon	%	0.84	1.14
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.12	0.24
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	5.28	7.32
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.06	0.26

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.61:

- The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.
- Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 8.09-8.14.

- If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 105 - 248 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
- The available Nitrogen in the soil is 528 - 544 Kg/ha, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.
- The available Phosphorous in the soil is 54-68 Kg/ha, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- The available Potassium in the soil is 244-268 Kg/ha, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.
- Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

6.6.2.6 Soil for Package -VI

For land environment five (05) samples were selected from different villages in the Package - VI to understand the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. The activities around the sampling sites were also taken into consideration to understand the sources of pollution if any, and all other factors governing the Physico-chemical properties of the soil. Meticulous attention was paid to collect adequate amount of composite soil samples at three depths for analysis. The samples were collected in dependable, waterproof containers marking the samples accurately, distinctly and brought to the laboratory for analysis. The soil sampling locations and results of the analysis are presented in Table -6.64 & 6.65 and are shown in Figure -6.137 respectively.



Figure 6-151: Soil sampling near Nidamarru and Penumaka using Crowbar

Table 6-79: Soil Quality Location in Package -VI

Location Code	Soil Sampling Location
SVI-1	Ainavolu
SVI-2	Linayapalem
SVI-3	Neerukonda
SVI-4	Kurugallu
SVI-5	Yerrabalem

Table 6-80 : Soil Quality Analysis for Package -VI

SL.No	Parameters	Unit	SVI-1	SVI-2	SVI-3	SVI-4	SVI-5
1	Texture		Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay	Silty Clay
	Sand (%)	%	24	14	22	26	20
	Silt (%)	%	32	46	36	30	32
	Clay (%)	%	44	40	42	44	48
2	pH at 25 °C	-	8.13	8.04	7.96	8.14	8.06
3	Conductivity at 25 °C	µs/cm	96	136	124	158	90
4	Bulk Density	g/cc	1.02	1.10	1.13	1.20	1.04
5	Available Nitrogen	kg/ha	542	548	544	528	484
6	Available P as PO ₄	kg/ha	44	58	48	56	53
7	Available K	kg/ha	266	228	242	266	264
8	Exchangeable Ca	meq/100gr	6.2	5.22	5.40	5.66	5.88

SL.No	Parameters	Unit	SVI-1	SVI-2	SVI-3	SVI-4	SVI-5
9	Exchangeable Mg	meq/100gr	0.62	0.64	1.44	1.16	0.48
10	Exchangeable Na	meq/100gr	2.60	1.72	1.96	2.24	1.28
11	Organic Carbon	%	1.14	0.82	1.02	1.14	0.86
12	Manganese	meq/100gr	0.26	0.14	0.18	0.26	0.24
13	Zinc	meq/100gr	7.60	5.26	5.24	7.48	6.42
14	Boron	meq/100gr	0.30	0.06	0.10	0.18	0.18

Data analysis

As it can be seen from the Table -6.65:

The soil along the study area is classified as silty- clay in nature.

Characterized by pH, the values are in the range of 7.96-8.14

If the soil's Electrical Conductivity (EC) falls below 1000 micro Siemens, the soil can be classified as normal. In analyzed samples EC is found to be in the range between 90-158 μ S/cm.

The available Nitrogen in the soil is 528 - 548 Kg/ha, found to be average/sufficient requirement for the plantation.

The available Phosphorous in the soil is 44-58 Kg/ha, found to be average requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.

The available Potassium in the soil is 228-266 Kg/ha, found to be sufficient requirement for the plantation and agricultural purposes.

Trace metal concentrations found to be low.

Environmental Baseline Map for all the monitoring locations in 6 Packages of 10 Priority Roads of Capital City (Air, Noise, Surface water, Ground water and Soil) is attached separately in Annexure -III

6.7 Biological Environment

6.7.1 Land use

The proposed project of construction of roads in Capital City is passing through Plain terrain and Rolling terrain. The land utilization pattern of the Guntur district is given in Table – 6-66.

Table 6-81: Land Utilization Pattern of the Study District (Hectare)

S. No.	Land Utilization Particulars	Guntur
1	Forest Area	161.9
2	Land under non-agricultural use	156.8
3	Permanent Pastures	18.9
4	Cultivable Waste Land	31.0
5	Land under miscellaneous crops and groves	32.3
6	Barren and unclutivable land	34.4
7	Current Fallows	41.5
8	Other Fallows	38.4
9	Total Geographical Area	1139.1

Source: Agriculture contingency plans of Guntur, Andhra Pradesh State (2008-09)

6.7.1.1 Existing Land-use

Project area general terrain condition is plain, hilly near The Rock cut Cave Temple, Undavalli area and near Ananthavram village area and gently sloping towards of Krishna river basin area. The project area covered by Amaravati capital city is 217.23 sq. km. Existing land use distribution for sub-project influence area is given in Table 6-67.

Table 6-82: Existing Land-use distribution for Sub-Project Influence Area

S. No	Land Use	Capital City		10 Roads	
		Area (Ha)	%	Area (Ha)	%
1	Developable Land	16302.2	75	419.4	97.6
2	Hills	426.5	2	0.0	0.0
3	Islands	1750.9	8	0.0	0.0
4	Village Settlements	1449.4	7	5.1	1.2
5	Water Bodies	497.5	6	3.1	0.7

S. No	Land Use	Capital City		10 Roads	
		Area (Ha)	%	Area (Ha)	%
6	River	1277.7	2	1.9	0.4
Total		21722.2	100	429.6	100

6.7.2 Proposed Land-use

The Capital city Detailed Master plan integrates the various city layers as one comprehensive landuse plan that will guide future developments within the city The Zoning Map is an implementable plan which identifies specific zoning districts within the Capital city based on their predominant land use, and the desired intensity and building height for that area. As illustrated in the Capital city zoning map, the following zoning districts are proposed for the Capital city:

- Residential (R1, R1A, R2 and R3)
- Commercial (C1, C2, C2a, C3, C4 and C5)
- Industrial (i1, L1)
- Parks and Open Spaces (P1, P2, P3)
- Special Areas (SP1 , SP2)
- Reserved Sites (RS1, RS2)

To enable successful implementation of the Capital City Master Plan, a development phasing has been proposed for guiding the implementation and government budget requirements for the immediate and future projects. With this intention, the Capital City Detailed Master Plan proposes 3 development phases catering to the city's short, medium and long-term requirements.

- **Phase 1** will span for the first 10 years for catalyzing urban developments within the Capital City. This phase will include a large number of infrastructure projects to create the critical base for development.
- **Phase 2** will focus on the medium-term development (2025-2035) to momentize urban development within the Capital City.
- **Phase 3** will focus on the long-term development (2035-2050) to complete the vision and goals for the Capital city.

The phase wise prime categorization of the land use is given in Table –6.68.

Table 6-68: Proposed Phase Wise Prime Categorization of the Land Use

S.No	Landuse	Phase -1:2015-2025 (Catalyze)		Phase -2: 2025-2035 (Momentize)		Phase -3: 2035-2050 (Sustain)	
		Area (Sq.Km)	%	Area (Sq.Km)	%	Area (Sq.Km)	%
1	Primary Green	39.2	25.68%	7.9	10.96%	25.74	7.00%
2	River	31.6	20.68%	0.0	0.0%	0.01	0.01%
3	Roads	13.4	8.79%	7.7	10.74	17.77	10.65%
4	Industries	10.6	6.94%	3.9	5.39%	23.06	13.83%
5	Village Settlements	13.7	8.97%	3.5	4.86%	3.93	2.36%
6	Medium Density Residential	12.92	8.0%	15.05	21.0%	16.67	10.00%
7	Secondary Green	5.1	3.34%	5.5	7.61%	8.57	6.00%
8	High Density Residential	3.9	2.53%	15.05	21.0%	16.90	10.14%
9	Seed	4.7	3.07%	3.0	4.23%	2.93	1.76%
10	Commercial	6.50	4.0%	5.62	8.0%	5.92	4.00%
11	Others	11.18	8.0%	4.78	6.21%	45.29	34.25%
	Total	152.8	100.00%	72	100%	166.79	100.00%

Source: APCRDA Master Plan

Passive, Active and Protected Zones:

Passive, Active and Protected Zones are demarcated as P1, P2 and P3 in the CRDA Masterplan. The definition of the areas are given below:

Passive Recreational Zone (P1): P1 zoning are established to provide recreational and leisure facilities and activities in selected areas that have unique features (including

visual corridors, environmentally sensitive areas, buffer areas, or along significant routes).

Active Recreational Zone (P2): P2 zoning is established to provide parks that offer active recreational and sporting activities. While structures within the parks are allowed, the general character of the Active Recreational Zone should remain as green and recreational.

Protected Area (P3): P3 zoning districts have been established to conserve and protect the environmentally sensitive areas such as rivers which are rich in nature and biodiversity. These areas are non-developable for other strategic purposes. In the case of highly sensitive areas like forests and rivers the zoning for the protected areas shall supersede.

As of now, the key features of these zones are not finalized and the permitted zone wise features as mentioned in Zoning Regulations of Amaravati City, 2016 are given below:

Passive zone features: Botanical gardens, arboretums, conservatories, Outdoor recreational facilities, such as hiking and bicycle trails, greens and commons, sitting areas and picnic areas.

Active Zone features: Sports Complexes, Theme Parks, Resort Hotels, Golf Courses, Recreational Clubs, Zoo and Stadiums.

Protected Zone features: River Krishna, Kondaveeti Vagu, Pala Vagu, Lakes etc.

Proposed land use map of Amaravati city is given in Figure -6.138.




Figure 6-152: Proposed Land-use Map

6.7.3 Forests, Biosphere and Sanctuary

According to Champion and Seth classification of forests 1968, the forest type in study area falls in dry deciduous forests and the area falls in hot arid climatic zone. The study area mainly falls under Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh State. The forests, flora and fauna data was collected from the Forest Department and given below.

Forests in the Study Area:

There is no forest area falling under the Corridor of Impact(CoI) area and there are no rare and endangered species present in the study area.

6.7.4 Flora and Fauna in the Study Area

The structure and type of vegetation depends on climatic conditions and physiography of an area. Climate of the study area is much suited for the variety of vegetation because of 921mm cumulative annual rainfall. Because of humus and fertile nature of soil, the big trees are supported. The silt percentage of soil is less which is another reason for more vegetation. A floral enlistment of trees, shrub & herbs with their scientific names, common names and the family to which they belong are presented in a tabular format. The floral species and their status with reference to IUCN Red data book has been placed in the Table -6.69. The contents of this subsection are based primarily on reconnaissance survey carried out by the team of AARVEE associates during the month of November 2016 & available information collected from secondary data.

Table 6-69 : List of Floral Species Along with Family Names

S.No	Name of the plant	Local name	Family	Classification as per IUCN Redbook
1	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	Nalla tumma	Mimosaceae	Not assessed
2	<i>Embllica officinalis</i>	Usiri	Euphorbiaceae	Not assessed
3	<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	Maredu	Rutaceae	Not assessed
4	<i>Butea monosperma</i>	Modugu	Fabaceae	Not assessed
5	<i>Acacia catechu</i>	Nalla sundra	Mimosaceae	Not assessed
6	<i>Annogeissus latifolia</i>	Chiru manu	Combretaceae	Not assessed

S.No	Name of the plant	Local name	Family	Classification as per IUCN Redbook
7	<i>Prosopis cineraria</i>	Jammi	Fabaceae	Not assessed
8	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Teku	Verbenaceae	Not assessed
9	<i>Calotropis</i>	Jilledu	Apocyanaceae	Not assessed
10	<i>Ficus religiosa</i>	Ravi	Moraceae	Not assessed
11	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Neem	Meliaceae	Not assessed
12	<i>Bougainvillea sp.</i>	Paper flower	Nyctaginacear	Not assessed
13	<i>Bilanites aegyptica</i>	Gara	Balanitaceae	Not assessed
14	<i>Thevitea peruviana</i>	Paccha ganneru	Apocyanaceae	Not assessed
15	<i>Nerium indicum</i>	Ganneru	Apocyanaceae	Least concern
16	<i>Zizyphus jujube</i>	Regu	Rhamnaceae	Not assessed
17	<i>Boswellia serrata</i>	Guggilam	Burseraceae	Not assessed
18	<i>Aristida setacea</i>	Paraka gaddi	Poaceae	Not assessed
19	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i>	Garika gaddi	Poaceae	Not assessed
20	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	Mango	Anacardiaceae	Data deficient
21	<i>Diospyrous sp.</i>	Ullinda	Ebenaceae	Not assessed
22	<i>Bombax ceiba</i>	Buruga	Malvaceae	Not assessed
23	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Papaya	Caricaceae	Not assessed
24	<i>Terminalia alata</i>	Nalla Maddi	Combretacear	Not assessed
25	<i>Madhuca indica</i>	Ippa	Sapotaceae	Not assessed
26	<i>Zizyphus nimmularia</i>	Jittaregi	Rhamnaceae	Not assessed

S.No	Name of the plant	Local name	Family	Classification as per IUCN Redbook
27	<i>Strychnous nuxvomica</i>	Musti	Loganiaceae	Not assessed
28	<i>Polyalthia longifolia</i>	Naramamidi	Annonaceae	Not assessed
29	<i>Ficus bengalensis</i>	Banyan	Moraceae	Not assessed
30	<i>Achyranthus aspera</i>	Uttareni	Amaranthaceae	Not assessed
31	<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>	Tulasi	Lamiaceae	Not assessed
32	<i>Cassia auriculata</i>	Tangedu	Fabaceae	Not assessed
33	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	Sankupushpam	Fabaceae	Not assessed
34	<i>Rhizophora mucronata</i>	Uppuponna	Rhizophoraceae	Least concerned
35	<i>Opuntia vulgaris</i>	Nagajemudu	Cactaceae	Data deficient
36	<i>Buchanania axillaris</i>	Sarapapu	Anacardiaceae	Not assessed
37	<i>Bambusa arundanacea</i>	Veduru	Cyperaceae	Not assessed

Measures to compensate removed plants during construction

There are 1388 nos. of plants needs to be removed from roadsides in the alignment of the proposed 10 priority roads because of the Construction. The types of plants to be removed are *neem, mango, tamarrind, pipal, karanj* etc. The short-term impact due to felling of plants will be compensated in long term through the proposed plantation programme. The local plant varieties will be identified and the same will be planted along the aqueduct and surrounding areas.

Mitigation Measures:

- Plantation programme shall be promptly adopted to restore and further enrich the loss of vegetation.

- Plantation with an appropriate mix of indigenous and specially suited species shall be carried out along the corridor. The number of plants proposed to be planted is 1,44,891 with avenue and median plantation in the proposed 10 priority roads.
- Local plant varieties especially, soil bounding species will be planted near to the constructed aqueduct and also in the surroundings in order to hold the soil tightly.

C. Field study on Fauna in sub-project influence area

Since the animals except for a few sedentary species and a few residents move from place to place either for feeding or breeding or for shelter etc., it may not be possible to prepare separate lists of fauna for core and buffer zones unless the core area is very large as in case of a reservoir. The mere absence of a species at the time of sampling does not rule out its presence. One may not have seen a cobra in a particular place for years but it could still visit that place. To overcome such problems, a list based on both primary survey and secondary data is prepared. The primary survey takes in to account both direct evidence and indirect evidence including the circumstantial evidence.

All relevant scientific documents such as the scientific publications, documents and reports are a good source of information provided they are site, area and location specific. Further, they have to be recent but not decades old. In the absence of such data and information, reports of eye witness accounts and information from local non-Governmental organizations shall also be considered. Due attention should be paid to rare or endangered or endemic or threatened (REET) species. In order to find out whether a species comes under any of the REET categories, references are made to IUCN Red Data, Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972 and its amendments thereof, Botanical survey of India (BSI) and Zoological Survey of India (ZSI). The details of the fauna present in the study area are given in Table -6.70.

Table 6-70: List of Fauna Species along with Family Names and Status as Per IUCN And Wildlife Act Schedules

S. No	Name of the animal	Local name	Family	Status in Schedule of Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	IUCN classification
1	<i>Vulpes benghalensis</i>	Fox	Canidae	II	NA
2	<i>Felis chaus</i>	Jungle cat	Felidae	II	Least concerned

S. No	Name of the animal	Local name	Family	Status in Schedule of Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	IUCN classification
3	<i>Herpestes auropunctatus</i>	Mongoose	Herpestidae	IV	NA
4	<i>Lepus nigricollis</i>	Indian Hare	Leporidae	-	Least concerned
5	<i>Canis lupus pallipes</i>	Wolf	Canidae	I	Not assessed
6	<i>Viverricula indica</i>	Civet	Viverridae	II	Least concerned
7	<i>Varanus griseus</i>	Indian monitor	Varanidae	I	NA
8	<i>Calotes versicolor</i>	Garden lizard	Agamidae	-	NA
9	<i>Pitas mucosus</i>	Rat snake	Colubridae	II	NA
10	<i>Vipera russelli</i>	Viper	Viperidae	II	NA
11	<i>Terpsiphone paradisi</i>	Fly catcher	Monarchidae	-	Least concerned
12	<i>Prinia buchanani</i>	Warbler	Muscicapidae	-	Least concerned
13	<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	Pea Fowl	Phasianidae	I	NA
14	<i>Ardeola grayii</i>	pond heron	Ardeidae		Least concerned
15	<i>Lanius cristatus</i>	Shrieks	Laniidae	-	Least concerned
16	<i>Streptopelia orientalis</i>	Doves	Columbidae	-	Least concerned
17	<i>Patronia xanthocollis</i>	Yellow throatedsparrow	Plocidae	-	NA
18	<i>Astrilda sp.</i>	Munia	Plocidae	-	NA
19	<i>Pycnonotus sp.</i>	Bulbul	Pycnonotidae	-	Least concerned
20	<i>Drycopus javensis</i>	Woodpecker	Picidae	-	NA
21	<i>Tephrodornis pondicerianus</i>	Wood shrike	Tephrodornithidae	-	Least concerned
22	<i>Dendrocygna autumnalis</i>	Duck	Anatidae		Least concerned
23	<i>Psittacula krameri</i>	Parrot	Psittaculidae		Least concerned
24	<i>Mycteria leucocephala</i>	Painted stork	Ciconiidae	IV	Near threatened

D. Study of Aquatic flora and Fauna:

The major aquatic species identified in the study area are i.e., Carps, cat fish, murrel, prawns, barbus etc. It is very much important to take care that developments as per master plan and zonal developmental plan activities show least impact on the aquatic life otherwise, increasing sediment load due to the recipient water bodies i.e. ponds and Krishna River will restrict the penetration of solar energy in the water body. This will affect photosynthesis of the aquatic flora, which in turn will have adverse impacts on the aquatic fauna. Since most of the water bodies remain dry during the non-monsoon months, this impact will be negligible. But the implementation of the following measures will help to avoid soil erosion and further minimize the impacts of the aquatic fauna.

6.8 Cultural and Sensitive Receptors:

As per the World Bank norms schools/hospitals/worship places, which fall within the the Corridor of Impact (CoI), ie. 20 m either side of the construction area are treated as sensitive receptors. Special care has to be taken during construction phase of the project in case of these receptors. As a matter of abundant precaution, receptors falling within the 100m either side of the roads are identified and given in Table 6-71.

Table 6-831 Summary of identified Cultural & Sensitive Receptors within 100m of Proposed Roads

S.No	Name of the Road	Chainage	Name of the Cultural & Sensitive Receptors	RHS/ LHS
1	E8	--	--	--
2	E6	5000	Temple near Thulluru village	RHS
		9300.00 to 9400.00	School near Ananthavaram village	LHS
3	N11	--	--	--
4	E10	--	--	--
5	E12	--	--	--
6	E14	700	School near Navalluru village	RHS
7	N16	--	--	--
8	N14	3400.00 to 3500.00	School near Thulluru village	LHS
		700 to 800	Boddurayi near	LHS

			Abbarajupalem village	
		700 to 800	School near Abbarajupalem village	LHS
9	N9	--	--	--
10	N4	300.00 to 400.00	Hospital near Venkatapalem village	LHS

6.9 Socio-economic Environment

Socio-economic characteristics of the sub-project influence area would normally have a bearing on the present traffic and would further influence the traffic levels in the future. Given this, the socio-economic profile of the sub-project influence area has been studied and presented in brief in the ensuing sections. The Project stretch passes through Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh, located in Southern India. Guntur lies between 15° 18' and 16° 50' North latitude and 70° 10' and 80° 55' East longitude. The district has a coastline of 42 kms. The total geographical area of the district is 11328 sq kms. Guntur district lies at an elevation of 33m from sea level. The district is mainly plain, with a few hill ranges. The average rainfall in the district is 830mm, through South-West monsoon. Major crops grown are paddy, chilli, cotton, red gram, black gram, among pulses, cereals like jowar and maize, sorghum, jute, fodder grass, subabul and commercial crops like turmeric, guar gum. It is bounded by Krishna & Nalgonda districts on the North, by Prakasam and Mahabubnagar districts on the West, by Prakasam district on the South and by Krishna district, and the Bay of Bengal on the East. Krishna is the main river, which traverses 250 kms in the district, irrigating an area of nearly 5 lakh Ha. Chandravanka, Naguleru and Gundlakamma are the 3 major rivulets.

6.9.1 Socio-economic Status of the Study area

A. Study District Profile:

Guntur district is an administrative district in the Coastal Andhra region of the Indian state of Andhra Pradesh. The administrative seat of the district is located at Guntur, which is also the largest city of the district in terms of area and population. It has a coastline of approximately 100km and is situated on the right bank of Krishna River, that separates it from Krishna district and extends till it empties into the Bay of Bengal. It is bounded on the south by Prakasam district and on the west by the state of Telangana. It has an area of 11,391 km² (4,398 sq mi) and is the 2nd most populous district in the state a population of 4,889,230 as per 2011 census of India.

Population: In 2011, Guntur had population of 4,887,813 of which male and female were 2,440,521 and 2,447,292 respectively. In 2001 census, Guntur had a population of 4,465,144 of which males were 2,250,279 and remaining 2,214,865 were females. Guntur District population constituted 5.78 percent of total Maharashtra population. In 2001 census, this figure for Guntur District was at 5.86 percent of Maharashtra population.

Population Growth Rate: There was change of 9.47 percent in the population compared to population as per 2001. In the previous census of India 2001, Guntur District recorded increase of 8.72 percent to its population compared to 1991.

Density of Population: The initial provisional data released by census India 2011, shows that density of Guntur district for 2011 is 429 people per sq. km. In 2001, Guntur district density was at 392 people per sq. km. Guntur district administers 11,391 square kilometers of areas.

Literacy Rate: Average literacy rate of Guntur in 2011 were 67.40 compared to 62.54 of 2001. If things are looked out at gender wise, male and female literacy were 74.79 and 60.09 respectively. For 2001 census, same figures stood at 71.24 and 53.74 in Guntur District. Total literate in Guntur District were 2,960,441 of which male and female were 1,634,726 and 1,325,715 respectively. In 2001, Guntur District had 2,455,965 in its district.

Sex Ratio: With regards to Sex Ratio in Guntur, it stood at 1003 per 1000 male compared to 2001 census figure of 984. The average national sex ratio in India is 940 as per latest reports of Census 2011 Directorate. In 2011 census, child sex ratio is 945 girls per 1000 boys compared to figure of 959 girls per 1000 boys of 2001 census data.

Urban Population: Out of the total Guntur population for 2011 census, 33.81 percent lives in urban regions of district. In total 1,652,738 people lives in urban areas of which males are 819,030 and females are 833,708. Sex Ratio in urban region of Guntur district is 1018 as per 2011 census data. Similarly, child sex ratio in Guntur district was 947 in 2011 census. Child population (0-6) in urban region was 162,968 of which males and females were 83,713 and 79,255. This child population figure of Guntur district is 10.22 % of total urban population. Average literacy rate in Guntur district as per census 2011 is 78.03 % of which males and females are 83.97 % and 72.25 % literates respectively. In actual number 1,162,507 people are literate in urban region of which males and females are 617,432 and 545,075 respectively.

Rural Population: As per 2011 census, 66.19 % population of Guntur districts lives in rural areas of villages. The total Guntur district population living in rural areas is

3,235,075 of which males and females are 1,621,491 and 1,613,584 respectively. In rural areas of Guntur district, sex ratio is 995 females per 1000 males. If child sex ratio data of Guntur district is considered, figure is 945 girls per 1000 boys. Child population in the age 0-6 is 332,761 in rural areas of which males were 171,120 and females were 161,641. The child population comprises 10.55 % of total rural population of Guntur district. Literacy rate in rural areas of Guntur district is 61.95 % as per census data 2011. Gender wise, male and female literacy stood at 70.14 and 53.77 percent respectively. In total, 1,797,934 people were literate of which males and females were 1,017,294 and 780,640 respectively.

B. Study Area Profile

Demography: As per the 2011 census the total population of the project area is 97906. Out of the total population of the project area male population is 48677 and female population is 49229. Sex ratio 1011 is higher than the state level which is 940 females per 1000 male. The mandal wise demographic details are given in Table -6.72 and the details of land holders in the capital city area.

Table 6-72: Demographic details of the project area

S. No	Demographic details	Project Area
1	Number of Houses	27271
2	Total Population	97906
3	Total Male population	48677
4	Total Female population	49229
5	Sex ratio (Per 1000 Male)	1011
6	Total Population (0-6yrs)	9786
7	SC population	29051(29.67%)
8	ST Population	4275(4.36%)

Source: Census , 2011 of Andhra Pradesh

Literacy: As per the table the literacy rate for the project area is 62% which is lower than the state literacy level which is 67%. However, there is a significant gap between

male (33%) and female (28%) literacy rate. The details of the literacy rate given in the Table -6.73 below.

Table 6-73 : Literacy rate in the project area

Sl.No	Literacy details	Project Area
1	Total No. of Literates	60706
2	No. of Male Literates	33075
3	No. of Female Literates	27631
4	Total Literacy Rate	62 %
5	Male Literacy Rate	33.78%
6	Female Literacy Rate	28.22 %

Source: Census, 2011 of Andhra Pradesh

Economic Activity: The major economic source of the area is agriculture and allied activities, and the major crops grown are paddy, cotton, chilly, corn, maize, vegetables, etc. Jasmine flower is the major source of income in the area, the income from the jasmine flower will be mainly impacted from the proposed project activity (as per discussion with locals).

Work participation: Work participation in the project area is only 50.47%. From that main workers are 46.45%, from the main worker's cultivators are (5.96%) & other allied activity workers are 12.86% and rest is marginal workers which are 4.01%, the non-worker population covered the major portion of the population which is 49.52%. In the study area, total main worker population is 45%, from that cultivators are 7%, main allied activity workers are 26%, and household and OT workers are 0.63% & 11%, the marginal population of the area is 5% and the major portion covers the non-worker population which is 49%.the details of the work participation given in the Table -6.74.

Table 6-74 : Work force details in the project area.

Sl.No	Work participation	Project Area
1	Total Worker	49415 (50.47%)
2	Main Workers	45483 (46.45%)
3	Cultivators	5840 (5.96%)

Sl.No	Work participation	Project Area
4	Main AL	26276 (26.83%)
5	HH Industry	772 (0.78%)
6	OT Workers	12595 (12.86%)
7	Marginal Workers	3932 (4.01%)
8	Non-workers	48491 (49.52%)
9	Male non-worker	18785 (19.18%)
10	Female non-worker	29706 (30.34%)

Source: Census. 2011 of Andhra Pradesh

6.9.2 Public Consultations

The APCRDA has notified the Draft Master Plan for Capital City on 26th December 2015 and sought objections /suggestions from the public for a period of 30 days from the date of Notification. All the objections/ suggestions received from the public from various sources were compiled in Minutes of the Technical Committee Meeting held on 7th February, 2016 at APCRDA on scrutiny of objections /suggestions received from public on the draft Master Plan of Capital City – Amaravati. The members in the Technical Committee are Directors of Planning, Development Control, Chief Planning Officer, Principal Planner, Planning Officer of APCRDA and Director - Town & Country planning, AP. Village wise objections and suggestions received on draft Master Plan related to road sub-project are given in Table -6.75. About 4000 objections/suggestions have been received, which were considered and about 3600 structures were avoided from getting displaced by construction of roads.

**Table 6-75 : Village wise objections / suggestions on Draft Mater Plan
(Related to Road Sub-Project)**

Village	Objections/Suggestions	Technical Recommendation	Committee's
Abbarajupalem	Existing burial ground (Survey no 9 & 10) is marked in Commercial Zone (C4)	Burial ground may be retained.	
	Major arterial road is cutting	The Shivalayam temple is excluded from	

	across Survey no 96 (Shivalayam temple).	from the right of way of N14 road.
Velagapudi	Survey no 163 contains 1 acre of graveyard/cemetery	May be considered. R3 to be rezoned.
Venkatapalem	Road is passing on the bund, on Manthena Sathyanarayana Raju Ashramam.	May be considered. Road is marked on the bund and no proposal to shift the bund exists in the stretch.
	Proposed road alignment falls on Survey no 180/2 (Global school).	May not be considered. School falls under expressway alignment.
Krishnayapalem	Request to realign the major arterial road and proposed vaagu that is passing through village grama kantam.	May be agreed for road and vaagu realignment, in view of the proposed reservoir which is suggested as part of the flood management (500m length / 6.2 acres area / 103 no. of structures are being affected (under road)
Penumaka	Don't disturb natural heritage for construction of roads (tunnel construction).	May not be considered. Heritage will not be disturbed as it is beyond 300 m from caves. Tunnel will act as a feature for the city.
	Major arterial is passing through the Survey no's 257,254,256 (Rama mandir).	May not be considered as it is a minor arterial road.
Thullur	Request for changing the alignment of road that is passing through Survey no 172 which is a petrol bunk.	May not be considered
Sakhamuru	Aterial Road is hitting village to be exempted	Major Arterial Road and hence cannot be diverted
Anantavaram	Request to realign the major arterial road that is passing through village grama kantam.	May agree for realignment, as it is on the edge of the village. Realignment will connect the TTD Temple development.

6.9.2.1 Stakeholder's Consultation Meeting

Proceedings & Minutes of Meetings for the Public Consultation Meetings Conducted from 19th to 22nd July 2017 at Navalluru, Abbarajupalem, Thulluru, Ananthavarm, Rayapudi, Penumaka and Yerrabalem Villages of Guntur District in Amaravati Capital City Area.

1. Participants

1. At each village between 15 to 25 members Consisting of:
 - a. farmers who have - participated in LPS, Focused Group i.e., affected by land acquisition, affected by roads passing through their sites in village sites
 - b. Main representatives from associations / NGOs
 - c. Public Representatives
 - d. Aarvee Associates
 - e. Representatives from Print and Electronic Media.
2. Line department officials: 6
Competent Authorities from revenue units, Officials of - CRDA, ADC, Urban Development, etc.

3. Observers:

Sr. Social Expert from the World Bank

The participants included women representatives as well. The list of Public Consultation participants consisting of name, mobile number and signature are filed in the Project dossiers and can be accessed by interested stakeholders.

2. Introduction

Draft Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan (EA-EMP) reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads were prepared and disclosed at various villages and on the website of CRDA under the World Bank supported "Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP)" section. Comments and suggestions on the draft documents were invited.

The public consultations on Draft EA-EMP reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads are conducted for accomplishing wider awareness, share contents of the documents and seek feedback from the participants leading to finalization of the documents and adopting for the Bank supported project - ASCCDP. The details of the Public Consultations carried out are given in Table 6-76.

Table 6-76 Details of the Public Consultations carried out

S.No.	Date	Name of the Village	Duration (hrs.)
1	19.07.2017 (Hrs. 10.00 to 12.30)	Navalluru Village	2.30
2	19.07.2017	Abbarajupalem	2.00

	(Hrs. 14.00 to 16.00)		
3	19.07.2017 (Hrs. 16.30 to 18.30)	Thulluru	2.00
4	20.07.2017 (Hrs. 11.00 to 13.30)	Ananthavaram	2.30
5	20.07.2017 (Hrs. 14.30 to 16.30)	Rayapudi	2.00
6	21.07.2017 (Hrs. 17.00 to 19.00)	Penumaka	2.00
7	22.07.2017 (Hrs. 11.00 to 13.00)	Yerrabalem	2.00

CRDA official chaired the Public Consultations and briefed the agenda of Consultations at each village and encouraged participants to voice their feedback after a brief presentation on Draft EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads for addressing them and incorporating the same into EA-EMP reports duly adopting the World Bank norms.

3. Public Suggestions and Feedback

Public consultations were held with large number of people in villages of Amaravati i.e., Navalluru, Abbarajupalem, Thulluru, Ananthavaram, Rayapudi, Penumaka and Yerrabalem affected villages, the suggestions and comments of public related to the Environment have been incorporated in the EA & EMP Report. Village wise objections and suggestions received during Public Consultations are given in Table 6-77.

Table 6-77 Village wise objections and suggestions received during Public Consultations Vs Technical Committee Recommendations

Name of the Village(s)	Comments	Responses to the Comments given by APCRDA/Consultant
	Extension of the E14 road till NH-5 Highway (Vijayawada - Guntur) for the better connectivity.	The roads are proposed duly adopting the Master Plan and E14 is ending near Railway line and not feasible to extend under this project.

Name of the Village(s)	Comments	Responses to the Comments given by APCRDA/Consultant
Navalluru	The locations such as Nagamayyaputta temple, Government Elementary school and Masjid are near to E14 Road.	These locations are already noted and adequate mitigation measures will be suggested in the EA &EMP Report.
	Along the E14 Road, there are few houses are partially falling and how the compensation will be made to them.	Full acquisition will be done and the compensation will be made accordingly.
	How the compensation will be made for some of the houses falling under non patta lands of E14 Road.	Compensation will be made to them as per the Government norms.
Abbarajupalem	How the compensation will be made for Isolated house is present outside the village	Compensation will be made to them as per the Government norms.
	Traffic Congestion will raise during construction phase of the project will affect the movement of the villagers	Adequate provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the contractor and the same is also put under the contractor conditions.
	Are there any provisions from the Government for assistance in development of the domestic dairy farms?	In the capital city region, Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
	There are some trees such as vepa, thumma, chinta are cutting in the proposed projects. How will you compensate the same?	Avenue & median plantation is proposed duly adopting IRC SP 21-2009: Guidelines on Landscaping and Tree Plantation in the proposed project.
	Plots allocated to us are having Thulluru Lift Irrigation pipeline underneath. When will you remove the same?.	Action will be taken by the AP CRDA after having consultation with Minor Irrigation Department.
	Construction jobs shall be allocated to the locals or Prioritisation for petty labour contracts in the construction of the road shall be given to the locals.	ADC/ AP CRDA is going for National Competitive Bidding process. The successful bidders will be mandated to employ locals as per contract.
	Site clearance for the proposed road	Adequate mitigation measures already

Name of the Village(s)	Comments	Responses to the Comments given by APCRDA/Consultant
Thulluru	activities is obstructing the existing peramvagu and other streams around the Thulluru.	suggested in EMP and the same will be asked to strictly implement by the Contractors.
	Are there any provisions from the Government for assistance in development of livelihood of women and household petty works?	In the capital city region, Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
	Heavy vehicle movement is damaging the roads around the Thulluru and traffic congestion may be more during construction phase of the roads.	Adequate maintenance of the existing road will be taken care by AP CRDA /ADC. Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions.
	Houses falling under Project will be vacated after construction of the new house only.	As per the guidelines of the AP CRDA, the time duration of 6 months will be given to the owners for the construction of the new houses or equivalent amount of rent will be paid to them. In case project affected persons want more time they can request the AP CRDA during negotiation process.
Ananthava ram	Considerably traffic around the village is increased and damaging the roads and obstructing the movement of vehicles temporarily.	Adequate maintenance of the existing road will be taken care by AP CRDA /ADC. Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions.
	Dust pollution issue is raising day by day in all the roads leading to Village.	Adequate environmental mitigation measures are already suggested in EMP with budgetary provisions to take care of the construction phase of Roads project.
	For project Affected Persons (House losers) Rental Allowance for Rs. 5000/- shall be given for eight months instead of six months.	As per the guidelines of the AP CRDA, the time duration for 6 months will be given to the owners for the construction of the new houses or equivalent amount of rent will be paid to them. In case project affected persons want more time and compensation, they can request the AP CRDA during negotiation process.

Name of the Village(s)	Comments	Responses to the Comments given by APCRDA/Consultant
	Government is organising Skill Development activities for the people of Capital City and after the completion of the same will they provide any financial assistance.	Government is organising the Skill Developmental activities i.e., sewing, jute bag manufacturing, car driving, mobile repairs, computer courses horticulture, poultry forms development etc. In the capital city Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
Rayapudi	Kalpana fabrics representation to the AP CRDA is discussed	The point was noted and the same will be brought to the notice of higher officials of AP CRDA/ADC.
	Health schemes offered by the Government are not covered for all the diseases. It would be better that a corporate level Multi-specialty hospital to be established within the Capital City Region.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Plantation shall be removed wherever the developmental activity is proposed instead of clearing all.	The plantation is being removed on the proposed developmental activities i.e., Roads, Govt. Buildings, institutions etc. There is no plantation removed other than developmental area.
	Sand tippers/ heavy vehicles are disturbing the vehicle movement of the villagers.	Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions of 7 & 11 Roads projects.
Penumaka	As of now dust pollution is very less. During construction of the roads adequate measures to be taken.	The provision for the water sprinkling in construction of Roads is already made in the EA & EMP Report with budgetary provision as well as enforcing the same in Contractor conditions. Hence, the issue will be taken care.
	Majority of the group members raised the issue that the education fees reimbursement is not yet started. They are expecting it to be started so that they will be	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.

Name of the Village(s)	Comments	Responses to the Comments given by APCRDA/Consultant
	benefited.	
	Majority expressed that some the people participated in the Skill development programme but the opportunities they got are not as per the training received.	At Skill Development Centre training will be given on i.e., sewing, jute bag manufacturing, car driving, mobile repairs, computer courses horticulture, poultry forms development etc. However, the trained applicants have to be worked as per the requirements of the Employer.
	Villagers are Demanding for a corporate hospital in their area and the provision to accept this health card will be of great help.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
Yerrabalem	Majority said that the existing fees reimbursement can be extended to KL University Regarding skill development center, some people already undergone training in Nowluru-1 training center. About 20 to 30 women participated in Job mela and 3 got employment.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Some of the farmers constructed their houses with building permission/approvals. Now they realized that they need to get permission and requested AP CRDA for the exemption.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Mr. R Sambasiva Rao said that no water bodies are affected because of the roads project and the present pollution levels are too low. However, During the construction phase of the road project dust pollution may arise.	The provision for the water sprinkling in construction of 7 & 11 Roads is already made in the EA & EMP Report with budgetary provision as well as enforcing the same in Contractor conditions. Hence, the issue will be taken care.
	Traffic Management to be taken care during the construction of the roads project.	Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions of 7 & 11 Roads projects.

4. Closing Remarks

The Public Consultations concluded with the receipt and acknowledgement of the comments and views expressed by the participants for which suitable measures will be taken to resolve in the context of the EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads documents.

Proceedings and Minutes of meeting for Stakeholder's Consultation is given in **Annexure -IV.**

6.9.2.2 Stakeholder's Consultation Workshop

Draft Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan (EA-EMP) reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads were prepared and disclosed on 04-03-2017 on the website of CRDA under the World Bank supported "Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP)" section. The public is notified through public notice on news. Comments and suggestions on the draft documents were invited. Proceedings and Minutes of meeting for Stakeholder's Consultation Workshop is given in **Annexure -IV.**

The public consultation Workshop on Draft EA-EMP reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads is conducted on 04-04-2017 for accomplishing wider awareness, share contents of the documents and seek feedback from the participants leading to finalization of the documents and adopting for the Bank supported project - ASCCDP.

A Public Notice in both Telugu and English was published well before the workshop date and wide publicity was undertaken within the capital city about the workshop by CRDA.

B.L. Chennakesava Rao, Director-Lands of APCRDA chaired the workshop and welcomed all the participants. J.S.R.K. Sastry, Director-Strategy of APCRDA briefed the day's agenda of workshop and encouraged participants to voice their feedback after a brief presentation on Draft EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads for addressing them and incorporating the same into EA-EMP reports duly adopting the World Bank norms.

Members Present:

The following participants voiced their suggestions during the consultation workshop which are summarized below.

1. 32 members consisting of

a. farmers who have – participated in LPS, affected by land acquisition, affected by roads passing through their sites in village sites

b. main representatives from associations / NGOs –

i. Rajadhani Rytu Parirakshana Samiti

ii.Thullur Educational Society

c. Public Representatives

d. Aarvee Associates

e. Representatives from Print and Electronic Media

2. Line department officials: 16

Competent Authorities from revenue units, Officials of – CRDA, ADC, PHED, Irrigation, Urban Development, Forests, etc.

3. Observers:

Sr. Environmental Expert from The World Bank

The participants included women representatives as well. The list of Public Consultation participants consisting of name, mobile number and signature are filed in the Project dossiers and can be accessed by interested stakeholders.

Issue - wise suggestions /feedback is precisely minuted below:

A. Environmental

1. Due to road construction water flow to the existing farm ponds will be stopped and tanks/farm ponds will not be filled. Due to this ground water level will be reduced after certain period and necessary mitigation measures to be adopted during the design stage and ensure better water storage/supply.
2. Requested to check the idea of planting the saplings before commencement of construction activity. Requested for affected trees transplantation. Suggested to encourage citizen participation in every village in maintenance of avenue plantation.
3. Existing Land use pattern- Showed that agricultural area as %. Shall be reworked. CRDA suggested considering the project area as vacant land as the land was already acquired by CRDA under Land Pooling scheme two years before the study period.
4. Monitoring of Air pollution. Mr J.S.R.K. Sastry suggested incorporating the method followed, sampling protocol, transportation method, accreditation lab details etc. Limitations and assumptions to be discussed. Suggested to consider other than the vehicular pollution in the capital city area.

5. Impact on water spread area. Suggested to categorize the water spread area as natural and manmade ponds separately with usage and depth.
6. Avenue plantation - Suggested providing more no of plants inconsideration with Forest Department Guidelines.
7. Monitoring of Air, Water Noise quality, baseline data. Suggested to monitor the pre-monsoon at sample locations (approx. 10 locations) and analyse in capital city area.
8. Water spread area for 11 roads need to be corrected. Suggested to categorize natural, manmade ponds area and need to discuss road wise farm ponds, tanks etc.
9. Need to show the water flow details to existing ponds and restoration plan of ground water levels in the ponds. Suggested to show the water flow details to existing ponds in maps and discuss the restoration mechanism of ground water levels in pond areas especially Thulluru tank and Chakalicheruvu tank areas.
10. Need to discuss major challenges faced during preparation of EIA

B. Engineering

1. Requested for extension of E-6 road from Thulluru to Nelapadu for a length of 2.10 km.
2. Impact on farm ponds should be minimal and suggested to conduct the consultations during design preparation to avoid farm ponds, provision of under passes and service road
3. Need to provide underpasses whenever crossing is required. To continue the access to the existing road network to the villages, they are requested to construct the underpasses as priority.

C. Social

1. Thullur education society school will be affected due to proposed N-14 road. Requested to avoid the education centre.
2. Replacement cost for the affected structures. Requested for more compensation.

3. Need to discuss the project benefits and suggested to discuss the project benefits such as social, economic growth and population will be benefited etc., by each road for every 10 years.

Closing Remarks

The consultation workshop concluded with the receipt and acknowledgement of the comments and views expressed by the participants for which suitable measures will be taken to resolve in the context of the EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads documents.

Those issues which are outside the scope of the EA-EMP documents have been compiled and circulated to the respective authorities for taking necessary further steps.

Further it is intimated that feedback by email or telephone to APCRDA or Aarvee is also accepted till 15th April 2017. Respective contacts were shared during the end of presentation. (none received)

The issues raised during the Public Consultation are addressed in EA & EMP report and Photographs showing Stakeholder's Consultation Workshop are given in Figure -6.139 below.

Disclosure of EA & EMP Report



Figure 6-139: Photographs showing stakeholder's consultation workshop

6.9.2.2 Disclosure of EA & EMP Report

Draft EA & EMP report was prepared and disclosed on APCRDA website on 4th March 2017, subsequently advertised both in leading Telugu and English daily News papers inviting public, NGO's and other interested groups for public consultation workshop, and the same was held on 4th April 2017. Additional time was announced for submission of suggestions on the EA & EMP report by Email, post or by hand (none received). Additional public consultations were held at seven distributed villages from 19th to 22nd July 2017. The latest EA and EMP report was prepared duly incorporating all the minutes of meeting of public consultations/workshop and The World Bank comments issued in the month of June 2017. This report will be disclosed and once again presented at the proposed public consultation meeting to be held in the last week of August 2017. Upon receiving the comments if any, the final EA & EMP report will be delivered.

Chapter 8

ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

7.0 Anticipated Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The Urban Road development projects exhibit a symbiotic relationship between the environment and development with both positive and negative and reversible and irreversible impacts. The present chapter gives the analysis of the environmental impacts in the proposed project corridor and suggested suitable mitigative measures.

Matrix method will be adopted as methodology for assessment of cumulative impacts on the project. Based on the scoping of the areas and the work being proposed the following key issues were assessed for this project.

- Preservation of aesthetic and landscape of the area to the possible extent
- Effective restoration of borrow area and quarries
- Noise and air quality
- Tree removal and tree plantation
- Sanitation and waste disposal
- Road safety
- Protection of flora and fauna

Road development projects can have impacts or cause impacts in four specific situations as follows:

- Impacts of Location
- Impacts of Project Design
- Impacts during Construction, and
- Impacts when the Road become Operational.

7.1 Impacts of Location

The road stretch has no specific impacts from the location. During this phase, those impacts, which are likely to take place due to the layout of the project, have been assessed. These impacts are:

1. Project Affected People (PAPs)
2. Change of Land use
3. Loss of trees/forest
4. Utility/Drainage Problems
5. Impact on Historical and Cultural Monuments
6. Impact on Local Transport Facilities

7.2 Impacts Due to Project Design

The engineering design of the road is being prepared incorporating all environmental safeguards. The basic design criteria have been covered in Project Description. The side drains, stone pitching near high embankments, up gradation of structures and protection with the crash barriers near high embankments are being taken care in the design of the project to ensure the construction of 4 lane/ 4 lane + 2 lane BRT in Capital City of Andhra Pradesh in Guntur district.

7.2.1 Avoidance of adverse impacts during Design Stage:

The environmental resources which get covered as sensitive receptors as per Bank guidelines that have been conserved in Corridor of Impact (CoI) through continued interaction between the design and environmental teams, package wise in 10 Priority roads are given below in Table -7.1 using the road wise maps generated for Corridor of Impact (CoI) area which are attached separately as **Annexure -V**.

Table 7-84 : Avoidance of adverse impacts on environmental resources in 10 Priority Roads roads

Package	Trees avoided in Corridor	in of	Farm ponds avoided in	Ground water sources in	Cultural properties in Corridor
---------	---------------------------	-------	-----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------

	Impact (CoI)	Corridor of Impact (CoI)	Corridor of Impact (CoI)	of Impact (CoI)
I	275	20	Nil	Nil
II	289	4	Nil	Nil
III	365	18	Nil	Nil
IV	621	16	Nil	Nil
V	67	2	Nil	Nil
VI	126	18	Nil	Nil

7.3 Impacts During Construction

Since the construction is dependent on the quality and properties of the available material, the choice of material, particularly the management of borrow pits, assumes importance during construction.

7.3.1 Borrow Areas / Stone Quarries / Sand Quarries

The proposed project corridor requires raw materials from borrow areas, metal quarries and sand quarries. Borrow Areas with large quantity of earth/ gravel material is required for widening of formation and embankment, necessitating earth / gravel from borrow pits.

- **Borrow Areas:** Large quantity of earth / gravel material is required for widening of formation and embankment, necessitating earth / gravel from borrow pits. Borrow earth shall be taken from in & around the study area as per the requirement of sub-project (road) component. 10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas are allotted for APCRDA for the proposed 10 Priority roads by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP.
- **Metal Quarries:** 4 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for the proposed roads for APCRDA by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP.
- **Sand Quarries:** Assuming 8 nos. of sand quarries will be used for the proposed roads, one each for each package of 10 Priority roads with concerned approval from Directorate of Mines & Geology, AP.

Proposed Mitigation Measures:

- To avoid any embankment slippage, the borrow areas will not be dug continuously, and the size and shape of borrow pits will be decided by the Site Engineer. Redevelopment of the borrow areas to mitigate the impacts will be the responsibility of the Contractor. The Contractor shall evolve site-specific

redevelopment plans for each borrow area location, which shall be implemented after the approval of the Supervision Consultant.

- Precautionary measures as the covering of vehicles will be taken to avoid spillage during transport of borrow materials. To ensure that the spills, which might result from the transport of borrow and quarry materials do not impact the settlements, it will be ensured that the excavation and carrying of earth will be done during day-time only. The unpaved surfaces used for the haulage of borrow materials will be maintained properly. Borrowing of earth shall be carried out at locations recommended as follows:
 - Non-Cultivable Lands: Borrowing of earth will be carried out up to a depth of 2.0m from the existing ground level. Borrowing of earth shall not be done continuously. Ridges of not less than 8m width shall be left at intervals not exceeding 300m. Small drains shall be cut through the ridges, if necessary, to facilitate drainage. Borrow pits shall have slopes not steeper than "1 vertical" in "4 horizontal".
 - Productive Lands: Borrowing of earth shall be avoided on productive lands. However, in the event of borrowing from productive lands, under circumstances as described above, topsoil shall be preserved in stockpiles. The conservation of topsoil shall be carried out as per the standard procedures. At productive land locations, the depth of borrow pits shall not exceed 45cm and it may be dug out to a depth of not more than 30cm after stripping the 15cm topsoil aside.
 - Elevated Lands: At locations where private owners desire their fields to be leveled, the borrowing shall be done to a depth of not more than 2m or up to the level of surrounding fields. Borrow pits along Roadside: Borrow pits shall be located 5m away from the toe of the embankment. Depth of the pit should be such that the bottom of the pit shall not fall within an imaginary line of slope 1 vertical to 4 horizontal projected from the edge of the final section of the bank. Borrow pits should not be dug continuously. Ridges of not less than 8m width should be left at intervals not exceeding 300m. Small drains should be cut through the ridges to facilitate drainage.
 - Borrow pits on the riverside: The borrow pit should be located not less than 15m from the toe of the bank, distance depending on the magnitude and duration of flood to be withstood.

- Community / Private Ponds: Borrowing can be carried out at locations, where the private owners (or in some cases, the community) desire to develop lands (mostly low-lying areas) for pisciculture purposes and for use as fish ponds.
- Borrow Areas near Settlements: Borrow pit location shall be located at least 0.8 km from villages and settlements. If unavoidable, they should not be dug for more than 30cm and should be drained.
- Quarries and borrow pits will be back filled with rejected construction wastes and will be given a vegetative cover. If this is not possible, then slopes will be smoothed and depression will be filled in such a way that it looks more or less like the original ground surface.
- During works execution, the Contractor shall ensure preservation of trees during piling of materials; spreading of stripping material to facilitate water percolation and allow natural vegetation growth; re-establishment of previous natural drainage flows; improvement of site appearance; digging of ditches to collect runoff; and maintenance of roadways where a pit or quarry is declared useable water source for livestock or people nearby. Once the works are completed, and at own expense of the Contractor, he shall restore the environment around the work site to its original splits.
- To create a safe environment under the terms of The Mines and Quarries Act the faces should be reduced to a naturally stable slope or be adequately fenced to prevent access to the top and bottom of the faces. Such a fence must be of a height as prescribed under The Mines Act with a barbed wire top strand designed to exclude the public from the quarry area. Depending on the location of the site presence of a permanent lake is considered to be as at is factory alternative to a fence.
- As per the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc., attract the following guidelines.
 1. The activity associated with borrowing for construction of roads, embankments etc. shall not involve blasting.
 2. The borrowing/ excavation activity shall be restricted to a maximum depth of 2m below general ground level at the site.

3. The borrowing/ excavation activity shall not alter the natural drainage pattern of the area.
 4. The borrowed/ excavated pit shall be restored by the project proponent for useful purpose (s).
 5. Appropriate fencing all around the borrowed/ excavated pit shall be made to prevent any mishap.
 6. Measures shall be taken to prevent dust emission by covering of borrowed/ excavated earth during transportation.
 7. Safeguards shall be adopted against health risks on account of breeding of vectors in the water bodies created due to the borrowing/ excavation of earth.
- Appropriate plant species for the planting programme should be selected in consultation with ecological consultant and local forest department. Depending on the limitations on the availability of appropriate plant material, harsh growing conditions (lack of irrigation and hot summer) and ongoing quarry rehabilitation operations there may be substantial loss of plantation and the planting programme may have to be continued for over 3–5 years. As plantings are progressively established they should be monitored before undertaking the next stage to ensure maximum plant survival rates.

7.3.2 Utilization of Fly Ash

Appreciating the overall concern for environmental and management issues pertaining to fly ash, which otherwise is a very useful by-product of thermal power plants, the Technology Information, Forecasting & Assessment Council (TIFAC), Department of Science & Technology (DST), and Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF), Government of India identified "Safe Disposal and Gainful Utilization of Fly Ash" identified the Highways as one of the thrust area.

There are two Thermal Power Stations identified which falls within 300 Km from the project corridor. The lists of thermal power stations identified are mentioned in table -7.2.

Table 7-85: List of thermal power stations which fall within 300 Km radius

S.No	Name of the power plant	Capacity (MW)	Location	District	State	Distance from Rayapudi (Km)
1	Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station	1760	Ibrahimpattanam	Krishna	Andhra Pradesh	37

2	Kothagudem Thermal Power Station	1720	Paloncha	Khammam	Telangana	169
---	----------------------------------	------	----------	---------	-----------	-----

Hence, Provision for Utilization of Fly Ash is to be made as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly Ash Notification 2007 and the subsequent amendments in 25th January, 2016. The same shall also be put under the BoQs. Utilization of Fly ash in the highways shows the positive impact on the environment. Utilization of fly ash will not only minimize the disposal problem but will also help in utilizing precious land in a better way. Construction of road embankments using fly ash, involves encapsulation of fly ash in earthen core or with RCC facing panels. Since there is no seepage of rain water into the fly ash core, leaching of heavy metals is also prevented. When fly ash is used in concrete, it chemically reacts with cement and reduces any leaching effect. Even when it is used in stabilization work, a similar chemical reaction takes place which binds fly ash particles. Hence, chances of pollution due to use of fly ash in road works are negligible. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the priority roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the priority roads. Hence, It is not feasible to use fly ash in the proposed roads which has no embankments.

7.3.3 Borrow Pit Restoration

Arrangements for opening and using material from borrow pits shall contain enforceable provisions for the extraction and restoration of the borrow area, and their surroundings, in an environmentally sound manner to the satisfaction of the Site Engineer. Areas shall be graded to ensure drainage and visual uniformity, and arrangements shall be made to collect and preserve top soil for use in the excavated borrow pit sides and bottom to make it green area alternatively, these borrow areas would be sited as far as possible on high grounds or hillocks. Top soil shall be replaced and the area will be re-vegetated to the satisfaction of the Engineer. The top soil can also be used for side slope, median covering for the growth of shrubs and grass. Additional borrow pits will not be opened without restoration of those areas no longer in use.

7.3.4 Surplus Earth/ Muck/ Construction & Demolition Waste

The total quantity of surplus earth/ muck generated from the 10 Roads during the construction phase is about 4832192m³. This includes the muck generated from various utilities passing beneath the road ROW. The muck produced from vaious utilities other than roads are

stormwater, Water Supply, Power, Gas, Waste Water, ICT. The amount of Construction and demolition waste generated due to the construction of the road is about 2686.84 m³. An amount of Rs 4063.33 Lakhs is provided in the EMP for the disposal of the same. Details of surplus earth/muck generated and C&D waste for various packages is given in Table -7.3.

Table 7-86 : Details of Surplus Earth/ Muck and C&D waste

S. No	Type of road	Surplus Earth/ Muck (in Cum)		C&D waste (in Cum)	
		Quantities	EMP Cost (Rs in Lakhs)	Quantities	Remarks
1	Package -I (E8)	655470.54	552.19	58.80m ³	Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli. This is designated dump site as identified in master plan.
2	Package -II (N9)	511576.30	429.83	121.29m ³	Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli. This is designated dump site as identified in master plan.
3	Package -III (N4 & N14)	629898.73	530.65	1241.42m ³	Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli. This is designated dump site as identified in master plan.
4	Package -IV (E10, E14 & N16)	1195908.97	1004.96	474.15m ³	Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli. This is designated dump site as identified in master plan.
5	Package -V	1093818.23	919.38	686.28 m ³	Construction & Demolition dump site is

S. No	Type of road	Surplus Earth/ Muck (in Cum)		C&D waste (in Cum)	
	(E6)				identified near Tadepalli. This is the designated dumpsite as identified in masterplan
6	Package - VI (N11, E12)	745518.75	626.32	104.90 m3	Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli. This is the designated dumpsite as identified in masterplan

Source: Assessed by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad

To dispose the C&D waste generated from Package -I a low-lying land (3m deep) of 19.60 m² is required. Similarly, for Package -II, Package -III, Package -IV, Package -V and Package VI of the proposed roads 40.43 m², 413.80 m², 157.05 m², 228.76 m² and 34.96 m² of land is required respectively. As per the Solid Waste Draft Master Plan, Construction & Demolition dump site is identified near Tadepalli duly adopting Construction & Demolition (Management & Handling) Rules, 2016 and Solid waste landfill site is identified in the outskirts of Mangalagiri duly adopting Solid Waste (Management & Handling) Rules, 2016. These are the designated dumpsites as identified in master plan. Details of areas identified for proposed landfill and tentative Construction & Demolition dump site are given in Figure -7.1 below.

Figure 7-153 : Areas identified for proposed landfill and tentative Construction & Demolition dump site

7.3.5 Soil Quality

The problems of soil erosion exist in the stretch due to varying topography, erratic rainfall and swift velocities of water in the drains during monsoon.

Top Soil Loss: The loss of fertile topsoil / humus may occur because of the extension of carriageway along the proposed corridor, hence care and precaution need to be taken to preserve the layer and reuse the soil as it contains the humus.

Soil Erosion: It may occur due to the uneven topography and intensity of rainfall. A major problem of erosion may occur due to the removal of age-old trees along the roadside within ROW. The removal will result in the instability of soil binding and soil structure, as uprooting will make the soil loose. Erosion may occur due to the swift velocities of drains in monsoon. Since the terrain is mostly plain there may not be an issue of erosion and sediment in sub-project influence area. However, there are 17 nos. of major and minor bridge crossings and 162 nos. of culverts proposed at vagus/streams that are prone for soil erosion and sedimentation. An adequate provision of stone pitching on embankments is already made in civil contract near high embankments close to water bodies and grass turfing provision is made for the remaining stretches. During construction activity there may be some sort of sediment issue might arise, already mitigation measure that muck should not be disposed in 500m close proximity to water bodies/ drainage system is suggested.

Mitigation measures include periodic maintenance of drains to check scouring of soil and limiting construction activities of culverts and bridges to dry seasons. Measures should be taken to prevent contamination of soil by bituminous material and other chemicals utilized during construction. No agricultural land would be used for borrow areas. The excavated top soil will be properly stored for reuse. In addition to the engineering design the corridor will be planted with trees to support and strengthen the soil binding capacity.

7.3.6 Impact on water resources and water quality

To prevent accumulation of water by the road side, adequate cross drainage (CD) structures in the form of culverts with adequate discharge and dream along the road capacity will be constructed. During reconstruction of old cross drainage structures and construction of new ones, diversion is required to aid uninterrupted movement of traffic.

Material will not be put in the drainage to avoid blockage and prevent the erosion. This will help in protecting the aquatic ecology.

17 bridges (proposed) and 162 culverts (proposed) are operated for adequate discharge of cross drainage in the project and the details of culverts for the proposed roads are mentioned in Table -7.4 and Table - 7.5 for Package I-IV and Package V-VI roads respectively. For the said cross drainage structures, every care has been taken to the safe flow of water towards the downstream.

No permanent impact is anticipated on water quality due to the project. The construction of the project needs partial filling of the farm ponds roadside which remain dry during non-monsoon. The details of water bodies/ lakes abutting within 500m radius from the project road are given in Table -7.6.

Construction activities may temporarily deteriorate surface water quality in terms of increased turbidity as well as oil and grease. The mitigation measures to protect the water quality include proper disposal of water and other liquid wastes arising from construction. Also, stream courses and drains will be kept free from dumping of solid wastes and earth material.

Table 7-87: Details of Cross Drainage Structures for the proposed roads – Package I-IV

S.No.	Chainage (km.)	Span/ Dia Arrangement (proposed)	Proposal
Package I			
E08			
1	0+440	3 x 2	New
2	0+920	3 x 1.5	New
3	1+120	3 x 1.5	New
4	1+640	3 x 1.5	New
5	2+360	3 x 1.5	New
6	3+220	3 x 1.5	New
7	4+320	3 x 1.5	New
8	4+820	3 x 1.5	New
9	5+440	3 x 1.5	New
10	6+160	3 x 1.5	New
11	6+640	3 x 1.5	New
12	8+300	3 x 1.5	New
13	8+800	3 x 1.5	New
14	9+380	3 x 1.5	New
15	10+500	3 x 2	New
16	12+980	3 x 1.5	New
17	14+860	3 x 1.5	New
E10			

S.No.	Chainage (km.)	Span/ Dia Arrangement (proposed)	Proposal
1	0+320	3 x 2	New
2	0+920	3 x 1.5	New
3	1+420	3 x 1.5	New
4	1+860	3 x 2	New
5	2+360	3 x 1.5	New
6	3+180	3 x 2	New
7	3+740	3 x 1.5	New
8	4+580	3 x 1.5	New
9	5+040	3 x 1.5	New
10	5+600	3 x 1.5	New
11	6+100	3 x 1.5	New
12	6+620	3 x 1.5	New
13	7+120	3 x 1.5	New
14	7+620	3 x 1.5	New
E14			
1	0+860	3 x 1.5	New
2	1+030	1.20	New
3	1+045	1.20	New
4	1+700	1.20	New
5	2+560	3 x 2	New
6	3+050	1.20	New
7	3+065	1.20	New
8	3+350	3 x 1.5	New
9	3+515	2 x 1.5	New
10	3+645	1.20	New
11	3+730	1.20	New
12	4+120	1.20	New
13	4+135	1.20	New
14	4+420	3 x 1.5	New
15	5+490	3 x 1.5	New
16	5+600	3 x 1.5	New
17	6+905	1.20	New
N4			
1	0+100	3 x 2	New
2	0+700	3 x 1.5	New
3	1+280	3 x 1.5	New
4	1+700	3 x 1.5	New
5	2+120	3 x 2.5	New
6	3+080	3 x 1.5	New
7	3+600	3 x 2	New
8	4+880	3 x 1.5	New
9	5+380	3 x 1.5	New
10	5+900	3 x 2	New
11	6+400	3 x 1.5	New
12	7+060	3 x 1.5	New

S.No.	Chainage (km.)	Span/ Dia Arrangement (proposed)	Proposal
N09			
1	0+820	3 x 2.5	New
2	1+690	3 x 1.5	New
3	2+570	3 x 4	New
4	3+360	3 x 1.5	New
5	3+840	3 x 1.5	New
6	4+400	3 x 1.5	New
7	4+900	3 x 1.5	New
8	5+220	3 x 1.5	New
9	5+740	3 x 1.5	New
10	6+240	3 x 1.5	New
11	6+700	3 x 1.5	New
12	7+220	3 x 1.5	New
13	7+800	3 x 1.5	New
14	8+360	3 x 1.5	New
15	9+640	3 x 1.5	New
N14			
1	0+260	3 x 1.5	New
2	1+460	3 x 1.5	New
3	2+000	3 x 1.5	New
4	2+590	3 x 1.5	New
5	3+220	3 x 1.5	New
6	3+830	3 x 1.5	New
7	4+240	3 x 1.5	New
N16			
1	0+300	3 x 1.5	New
2	0+890	3 x 1.5	New
3	1+240	3 x 1.5	New
4	1+780	3 x 1.5	New
5	2+600	3 x 1.5	New
6	3+160	3 x 4	New
7	3+580	3 x 1.5	New
8	5+560	3 x 2.5	New
9	6+820	3 x 2.5	New
10	7+960	3 x 1.5	New
11	8+460	3 x 1.5	New
12	8+740	3 x 1.5	New

Table 7-88 : Details of Cross Drainage Structures for Package V-VI

Sl.No	Chainage	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement (No.*L*H/Dia.)
Package V			

Sl.No	Chainage	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement (No.*L*H/Dia.)
E06			
1	76	Box Culvert	1 x 6.0 x 1.5
2	1169	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
3	1236	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
4	1247	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
5	1558	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
6	1648	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
7	1682	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
8	2349	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
9	2565	Major Bridge	2x25+3x37+2x25
10	3233	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
11	3329	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
12	3340	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
13	3765	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
14	3775	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
15	4025	Minor Bridge	1 x 10.0 x 1.5
16	4064	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
17	4200	Minor Bridge	1 x 30.0 x 1.5
18	4370	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
19	4823	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
20	5923	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
21	5939	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
22	6931	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
23	7440	Major Bridge	30+37+30
Package VI			
E12			
1	77	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 2.0
2	375	Box Culvert	1 x 2.0 x 1.5
3	973	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
4	1135	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
5	1158	Box Culvert	1 x 3.0 x 1.5
6	1348	Box Culvert	1 x 2.0 x 1.5
7	1789	Box Culvert	1 x 3.0 x 1.5
8	2194	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
9	2382	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
10	3085	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
11	3230	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 3.0
12	3337	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
13	3495	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
14	3550	Box Culvert	1 x 2.0 x 1.5
15	4140	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
16	4290	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
17	4700	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 2.0
18	4776	Box Culvert	1 x 2.0 x 1.5
19	4967	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
20	4974	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
21	5193	Box Culvert	1 x 5.0 x 1.5
22	5337	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5

Sl.No	Chainage	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement (No.*L*H/Dia.)
23	5740	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
24	6600	Major Bridge	12X37
N11			
1	491	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
2	1088	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
3	1575	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
4	1741	Box Culvert	1 x 6.0 x 1.5
5	2148	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
6	2228	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
7	2555	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
8	2636	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
9	3027	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
10	3230	Major Bridge	3x37
11	3336	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
12	4338	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
13	4570	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
14	5052	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
15	5308	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
16	5390	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
17	5796	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
18	5957	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
19	6530	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
20	6846	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5
21	7000	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 2.0
22	7340	Pipe Culvert	1 x 1.20
23	8096	Box Culvert	1 x 2.0 x 1.5
24	8250	Box Culvert	1 x 1.5 x 1.5
25	8635	Box Culvert	1 x 2.5 x 1.5

Table 7-89 : Details of the Major Water Bodies Abutting the Project Roads

Name of the Water Body/Package abutting 10 Priority roads	Chainage	Side	Remarks
E-10			
Stream	4750+00 to 5000+00	NE-South	RHS
Stream	5100+00 to 5200+00	NE-South	Crossing
Stream	5200+00 to 5500+00	NE-South	LHS
Farm Pond	6700+00 to 7500+00	-	RHS
Stream	8800+00	NE-South	Crossing
Stream	8800+00 9050+00	NE-South	LHS

Name of the Water Body/Package abutting 10 Priority roads	Chainage	Side	Remarks
Stream	8900+00 to 9600+00	NE-South	RHS
River Krishna	9800+00 to 9900+00	West to South	North
E-8			
Farm Pond	12050+00 to 12550+00	-	LHS
Farm Pond	5750+00 to 6250+00	-	RHS
Farm Pond	4400+00 to 4700+00	-	Crossing
Farm Pond	4150+00 to 4950+00	-	Both sides
Farm Pond	2300+00 to 2550+00	-	RHS
Stream	1300+00 to 1400+00	North-South	Crossing
Stream	800+00 to 900+00	North-South	Crossing
E14			
Farm Pond	Near to Buthapudi	-	RHS
Farm Pond	Near to Navuluru	-	Crossing &LHS
N16			
Farm Pond	1000+00 to 1600+00	-	LHS near
N14			
Farm Pond	1000+00 to 1600+00	-	LHS-
Farm Pond	3400+00 to 4000+00	-	RHS
River Krishna	8000+00 to 8200+00	West-South	North
N9			
Stream	4100+00	North-South	Crossing
Lake	3800+00 to 4300+00	North-South	Both sides
Farm Pond	9800+00 to 10300+00	-	RHS
Farm Pond	10400+00 to 10900+00	-	RHS
Stream	12800+00 to 12900+00	East-West	Both
Farm Pond	0.000+00	-	South
Stream	3000+00 to 3100+00	East-West	Crossing
Farm Pond	2800+00 to 3300+00	-	Both
N4			
Stream	2200+00	West-South	Crossing
Canal	1900+00 to 4000+00	West-South	RHS
Stream	4600+00	NW-South	Crossing
River Krishna	7000+00 to 7200+00	West-South	RHS
E6			
Farm pond	900	Center	Within
Farm pond	900	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	500-700	RHS	200 buffer

Name of the Water Body/Package abutting 10 Priority roads	Chainage	Side	Remarks
Farm pond	1800	RHS	Within
Farm pond	1900-200	Crossing	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	2500-2600	RHS	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	3300-3400	Both	Within+20+200 buffer
Farm pond	4100-4200	LHS	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	4300	Crossing	Within
Farm pond	5000	LHS	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	6100-6200	RHS	Within boarder+20 buffer
N11			
Farm pond	2600	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	2900-300	RHS	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	3100-3200	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	3100-3200	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	3200-3300	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	3700-3800	RHS	Within
Farm pond	3800-3900	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4000-4100	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4100-4200	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4200-4300	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4300-4400	RHS	20+200 buffer
Farm pond /Stream	4500-4600	LHS	20+200 buffer
Farm pond	4700-4800	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4700-4900	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4900-5000	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4900-5000	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	4900-5000	LHS	20+200 buffer
Farm pond	5000-5100	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	5300-5400	RHS	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	5600-5700	LHS	Within
Farm pond	5400-5500	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	5400-5500	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	5700-5800	RHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	5700-5800	Middle	Within
Stream	6200-6400	LHS	200 buffer
Farm pond	6600-6700	RHS	20 buffer
Farm pond	2600	RHS	200 buffer
E12			

Name of the Water Body/Package abutting 10 Priority roads	Chainage	Side	Remarks
Stream	1100-1200	Crossing	Within+20 buffer
Stream	2400	Crossing	Within+20 buffer
Farm pond	5700	LHS	Within+20 buffer
Stream	6700	Crossing	Within+20+200 buffer

Water bodies (River/ Stream/ Canal/ Lake/ Farm pond) mentioned in the above table exist within 500m either side of Right of Way (RoW). River Krishna is a perennial river, seasonal streams such as Kondaveeti vagu & Palu vagu are having low-flow regime during March – July whereas farm ponds and lakes are dry between March – July.

The farm ponds/ lakes falling in the corridor of impact and sub-project influence area were mostly developed for meeting the agricultural needs of the villagers. These farm ponds/ lakes, canals & streams and River Krishna are having very common aquatic flora & fauna. Avia fauna are also found to be common species as identified in the Chapter -6. There are no migratory, rare, endangered and threatened species identified in the the farm ponds falling under the proposed alignments of the project. However, due importance will be given to the ecological habitat during improvement or rehabilitation of Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu and other streams. Population of local fauna is very minimal & trans-location is not feasible.

7.3.6.1 Green Initiatives/ Environment Enhancement Measures for water bodies

There is a loss of about 15 acres of water spread area due to the proposed alignment of 10 roads. This area corresponds to about 0.1% of the water spread area of the capital city. Enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc. As such the water spread area in the capital city is proposed to be increased by 197%. The cost of the same will be included under ADC/ APCRDA and does not fall under the BoQs of the 10 Priority Roads. Package wise details of farm ponds within the alignment, volumes of water spread area lost and budget provision made in EMP is given in Table -7.7 below.

Table 7-90 : Details of Environment Enhancement Measures for water bodies in the proposed roads

Packages	No. of farm ponds within Alignment	Volume of water spread area lost (in m³)	Budget for enhancement of equivalent water spread area (in Rs. Lakh)
Package 1 (E8)	13	7511.71	13.18
Package 2 (N9)	4	6412.06	11.25
Package 3 (N4 & N14)	1+14	1040+109165.75	193.41
Package 4 (E10, E14 & N16)	4+1+2	483.04+113.35+1394.08	3.49
Package V (E6)	8	11703.74 m ³	-
Package VI (N11,E12)	23	5065.55 m ³	-
Total	39	126119.99	221.33

Source: Assessed by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad, assumed depth of 2m

7.3.7 Impact on Air Quality

Road construction will involve earth excavation, back filling and concreting. Impacts on air during the construction phase could be due to earth excavation, back filling and transportation of construction materials, D.G sets which may lead to rise in air pollution. However, the impact on ambient air quality will not be significant, since the dust and gases generated is confined to the proposed area and as it will be taken care of by adopting suitable control measures as described in EMP. However, this impact is localized.

Impacts on air during the construction phase could be due to transportation of construction equipment, construction material and road construction activities. Operation of hot mix plants and Asphalt Plants will result in the emission of fumes and obnoxious gases to the environment. Loading/ unloading of construction materials and their transportation, particularly through the unpaved or un-metalled sections of the road may lead to a rise in the ambient SPM and RPM levels. Material handling, spillage may occur during the transportation of construction materials.

Fugitive dust emission during construction phase due to movement of trucks on haulage roads, excavation activities and loading & unloading activities is estimated based on US EPA reference documents. Notable inputs for the model include 54 precipitation days for

Amaravati Capital City area (Gannavaram IMD station) – with precipitation > 2.54 mm, 12% silt and 2% moisture content. 95% EMP efficiency is assumed. It is estimated that a total reduction of 18731078.52 Tonnes/year of PM₁₀ and 41624618.93 Tonnes/year of PM₁₀₀ in fugitive dust emissions from haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities during construction phase of 10 Priority Roads with an effective implementation of EMP throughout the construction phase.

The details of Total Fugitive Dust Emissions due to haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities along with the cumulative reduction in tonnes per year when EMP is implemented with 95% efficiency are given in Table -7.8.

The details of respective Fugitive Dust Emissions due to haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities along with the reduction in tonnes per year when EMP is implemented with 95% efficiency are given in Table -7.9

Table 7-91: Total FDE from Haulage Roads, Excavation and Loading & Unloading activities

Total FDE from Haulage Roads, Excavation and Loading & Unloading activities of 10 Priority Roads in Amaravati Capital City area (Construction Phase)						
PM Category	FDE without EMP		FDE with EMP (95% efficiency)		FDE reduction due to EMP	
	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day
PM ₁₀	19716924.76	54018.97	985846.24	2700.95	18731078.52	51318.02
PM ₁₀₀	43815388.34	120042.16	2190769.42	6002.11	41624618.93	114040.05

Source: Assessed by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad

Table 7-92: Respective Fugitive Dust Emissions due to haulage roads, excavation and loading & unloading activities

PM Category	FDE without EMP		FDE with EMP (95% efficiency)		FDE reduction due to EMP	
	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day	Tonnes per year	Tonnes per day
FDE from Haulage Roads of 10 Priority Roads in Amaravati Capital City Area (Construction Phase)						
PM ₁₀	19713879.29	54010.63	985693.96	2700.53	18728185.33	51310.1
PM ₁₀₀	43808620.66	120023.62	2190431.03	6001.18	41618189.62	114022.44
FDE from Excavation of 10 Priority Roads in Amaravati Capital City Area (Construction Phase)						
PM ₁₀	407.62	1.12	20.38	0.056	387.24	1.06
PM ₁₀₀	905.81	2.48	45.29	0.124	860.52	2.36
FDE from loading and unloading activities of 10 Priority Roads in Amaravati Capital City Area (Construction Phase)						
PM ₁₀	2637.84	7.23	131.89	0.361	2505.95	6.87
PM ₁₀₀	5861.88	16.06	293.09	0.803	5568.78	15.26

Source: Assessed by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad

Mitigation Measures:

- Hot mix plants shall be located away from the inhabited areas, residential settlements and water bodies.
- Trucks and tippers carrying earth for filling, sand, gravel or stone will be duly covered with Tarpaulin sheets to avoid spilling.
- Dust level at the construction sites shall be controlled by sprinkling water on haulage roads thrice in a day.
- Construction material, machinery and equipment will be maintained in a good working condition and shall be handled with due precaution and only by trained professionals.

7.3.8 Impact on Noise

The impact of noise levels from the project on the neighboring communities would be anticipated with the increase in vehicles and vary with vehicles speed. The increased noise level is attenuated by vegetative noise barriers (rows of trees planted) in all the sensitive receptor areas as identified in Table 6.72. The major sources for noise pollution during construction will be movement of vehicles transporting the construction material to the construction yard and the noise generating activities at the yard itself. The construction equipment with high noise levels, loading and transportation of material near the borrow areas will affect the construction personnel and other humans in the area.

Mitigation Measures:

- The required mitigation measures include location of construction equipment at least 250m away from inhabited areas. In addition, construction workers should be provided with protection devices like earplugs.
- Other ancillary measures include maintenance of equipment in good condition, proper design of engine enclosures. Project activities shall be coinciding with periods when people would be least affected. Construction activities shall be strictly prohibited between 10 pm and 6 am in residential areas.

7.3.9 Impact on Biological Environment

There is no forest area in the project impact area. Some plants/ saplings needs to be cut due to the proposed project.

i) Flora

A detail of the plants to be cut for various roads is given in Table -7.10 below. The predominant species going to be felled include Ravi, Nalla tumma, Neem, Veduru, Nagajemudu, Mango, Teku, Maredu etc. These are all ubiquitous species. The cost of felling and transportation of felled trees which are 2-7 years old with a girth size varying from 15-35 cm is Rs. 4000/- per tree in Amaravati Capital City. The cost of felling of trees is incorporated in EMP and BoQs. The short-term impact due to felling of trees will be compensated in long term through the proposed avenue plantation programme. There are 13,228 nos. of trees proposed to be replanted in the Package -I by avenue plantation for a total length of 13.25 Kms, excluding the Major bridges; 12,834 nos. of proposed to be replanted in the Package -II by avenue plantation for a total length of 12.86 Kms, excluding the major bridges; 14,960 nos. of trees proposed for a length of 14.99 Kms in Package -III, excluding the major bridges and 23,583 nos. of trees proposed for a length of 23.63 Kms in Package -IV, excluding the major bridges. Guidelines on Landscape and Tree Plantation. There are 9513 nos. of trees proposed to be replanted in the Package -V by avenue plantation for a total length of 9.84Km, including the Major bridges; 14855 nos. of trees proposed to be replanted in the Package -VI by avenue plantation for a total length of 15.44 km, including the major bridges; as per the IRC: SP:21-2009: Guidelines on Landscape and Tree Plantation.

It is estimated that the length of the project stretch for Package -I is about 13.65 Kms, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads. Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -I is around 9,091 nos. The length of the project stretch for Package -II is about 13.16 Kms, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads. Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -II is around 8,765 nos. The length of the project stretch for Package -III is about 15.50 Kms, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads (in future). Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -III is around 10,323 nos. The length of the project stretch for Package -IV is about 23.91 Kms, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads (in future). Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -IV is around 15,924 nos. It is estimated that the length of the project stretch for Package -V is about 9.84Km, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads. Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -V is around 6553 nos. The length of the project stretch for Package -VI is about 15.44 Km, median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333

nos. of plants per kilometer for 2 medians in 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads. Hence, the estimated median plantation for Package -VI is 10283 nos. Details of avenue plantation and median plantation for various packages of Phsae II roads is given in Table -7.11.

Table 7-93 : Details of trees to be cut in Proposed 10 Roads

Package	Road ID	Affected Trees	Trees to be planted as per Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof
I	E8	266	798
II	N9	96	288
III	N4	195	981
	N14	132	
IV	E10	62	1467
	E14	322	
	N16	105	
V	E6	85	255
	N11	94	282
VI	E12	31	93
Total		849	2547

Table 7-94 : Details of proposed avenue plantation and median plantation in Proposed 10 priority roads.

Package I	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
E8	13,228	9,091
Package II	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
N9	12,834	8,765
Package III	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
N4	6,916	4815
N14	8,044	5508
Package IV	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
E10	7,610	5201
E14	7,315	4882
N16	8,658	5841
Package V	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
E6	9,513	6554
Package VI	Avenue Plantation	Median Plantation
N11	8,522	5761
E12	6,333	4522
Total	88,973	43,084

Mitigation Measures:

- An avenue plantation programme shall be promptly adopted to restore and further enrich the loss of vegetation. Plantation with an appropriate mix of indigenous and especially suited species shall be carried out along the corridor. The number of avenue plants proposed to be planted is nos. 88,973 throughout the proposed 10 roads.
- Along the extreme edge of road boundary, fall growing and desert trees such as *Ravi, Nalla tumma, Neem, Veduru, Nagajemudu, Ganneru, Mango, Teku, Maredu etc* shall be planted at 3 m from the outer ROW of the project stretch.
- In the median, the Flowering plants & shrubs with a height of about 2m shall be proposed to be planted. The plantation in the median will serve, as a barrier against glaring headlights of the vehicular traffic plying in the opposite direction. Further, this will improve the aesthetics of the road. The suggested plantation in the median is *Bougainvillea, Thevita peruviana (Be still tree), Nerium Oleander (Ganneru dwarf) etc.*

ii) Fauna

Terrestrial Fauna:

During the construction, no impact on the wildlife is anticipated.

Aquatic Fauna:

There are 75 nos. farm ponds identified in the project stretch of 200m radius, most of them remain dry during non-monsoon months.

Increasing sediment load to the recipient water bodies i.e. farm ponds and rivers will restrict the penetration of solar energy in the water body and increase the turbidity in the water bodies. This will affect photosynthesis of the aquatic flora, which in turn will have adverse impacts on the aquatic fauna. Since most of the water bodies remain dry during the non-monsoon months, this impact will be negligible. But, the implementation of the following measures will help to avoid soil erosion and further minimize the impacts of the aquatic fauna.

Mitigation Measures:

- Works shall be commenced at least 500m away from water bodies,

- Construction of bridges and culverts shall be accomplished during the non-monsoon periods.
- Filling up of farm ponds will be resorted only after exhausting all other options.
- The direct discharge of oil and chemical spills to the water bodies shall be prohibited.

7.3.10 Impacts on Human Use Values

i) Land Use

Construction of 4 lane/ 4 lane + 2 lane BRT road with Urban Roads norms may lead to a change in the land use pattern of areas adjacent to the road. The existing land adjacent to the road at present is mostly of barren and agricultural use.

The project corridor lies mostly in plain and thus, no disfiguration of land is envisaged due to construction activities except for the opening of borrow pits. The borrow pit locations have already been identified and will be restricted to those areas only.

Mitigation Measures:

1. Construction activities shall be limited to the corridor only.
2. Care shall be taken to ensure that the construction workers camp does not disturb the surrounding land use.
3. Existing access/ entrances to the urban roads shall be duly maintained.
4. The bypass/ flyovers alternatives that are best from Engineering, Environmental and Social aspects are selected for this project.

ii) Flood Characteristics

The proposed roads (10 roads) project proposes one hundred and sixty two (162) cross drainage structures proposed in the form of Box/ Pipe culverts. There are seventeen (17) bridges proposed in 10 roads. The project stretches are designed for above HFL hence, the flooding in the area can be avoided.

Mitigation Measures:

All the structures shall have adequate waterway. The design discharge shall be evaluated for flood of 100 years in case 4 laning is considered in the design of the project.

- Raising of the embankment height above the submergence depth, with provision of balancing culverts.
- Providing drain on both sides of the widened road and extending the drains to a proper outfall.
- Proper supervision during construction to avoid blocking of micro-drainage across the road.
- Maintaining existing drainage outlets.
- Replace inadequate or distressed drainage structures.
- Adequate number of cross drainage structures will be provided along the bypasses.

7.3.11 Impacts on Quality of Life

i. Socio-economics, Land Acquisitions and Re-settlements

Impacts and mitigation measures associated with social environment in the sub-project influence area (SPIA), land acquisition and resettlement of project-affected persons (PAP) have been dealt in report.

ii. Traffic Management Plan

The proposed 10 Roads project is completely passing through greenfield area and there are no major state highways or national highways within core area of 500m. However, there are panchayat, village and major district roads are existing and crossing the proposed roads. Site-specific traffic management plan shall be done by the contractor during construction phase of the sub-project as stated in General Conditions of Contract – GCC 62 (c), duly adopting the following key components of traffic management plan.

Purpose of Overall Traffic Management Plan

The overall traffic management plan is designed and intended to specify adequate safety measures in advance against identified hazards and stipulated implementation of the said safety measures to ensure safe movement of traffic during the construction operations of the proposed 10 priority Roads. The objective of safety standards is to provide safe travel to the drivers of vehicles plying along the Urban Roads always in the day, throughout the year and provide protection to the project workers when they are on

the work. This overall traffic management plan delineates the safety standards in terms of Construction zones, Signs and Safety measures in work zones and during normal operations.


Construction Zone:

Construction Zones are an integral part of any road construction system. The safety practices in construction will, therefore, be oriented towards reducing conditions, which lead to such hazards and consequent stress whereby risk of accident increases. Safety measures will be aimed at avoiding hazardous conditions especially in work sub zones where major construction activities are going on. For all purposes, the entire stretch will be treated as work sub zone.

Signs:

The construction and maintenance of signs fall into the three major categories viz. regulatory signs, warning signs and guide signs as other traffic signs do. Ref: IRC: 67-2001 (Code of Practice for Road Signs). These signs will be placed on the left-hand side of the road. Some other signboards will also be used to regulate the Traffic, which have not been standardized. **Ref: - IRC:SP:55-2014 (Guidelines on Traffic Management in Work Zones)** However they confirm with the general requirement of shape and color, and their message is brief, legible and clearly understandable, i.e., CAUTION- Men and Machinery at work Go Slow, CAUTION- Work in Progress Go Slow etc.





The location, frequency and type of signboards will be governed by the kind of traffic situations arising during the construction. Signboards of the type 'men at work' and 'speed limit' will be provided at locations wherever required on a case-to-case basis.

Traffic Management Practices:

The traffic management strategies include the following fundamental principles:

1. Making the traffic safety an integral and high priority element of the project.
2. Avoid inhibiting traffic as much as possible.
3. Guide Drivers in a clear and positive way.
4. Routine inspection of traffic control element and traffic operations
5. Protection to Project workers on work site

Traffic Control Devices:

i. Warning Signs:

- The advance warning for the construction operation ahead will be provided by the warning sign 'Men at Work' about 100m earlier to the work zone.
- It will be followed by 'Over Taking Prohibited' signboard. These signs will be placed on the left hand side of the road.

'Men at Work' and 'Overtaking Prohibited' signboards will be as per IRC67: 2001.

ii. Barricading:

Barricade using the CGI sheets would be on vertical steel post. The horizontal members of barricades would be of metal. These should be 200 mm-300 mm wide and should be

painted in alternate yellow and black stripes of 150 mm width. The stripes should slope away at an angle of 45° in the direction traffic is to pass. Where the barricades extend entirely across the carriageway, the stripes should slope downward towards the direction the traffic must turn in detour.

The main intention of the barricading is to restrict the entering of the traffic in work area and protecting main carriageway traffic from falling in excavated or lower level area. These barricading will be provided at regular interval of 03 m. Height of Barricade would be 1.5 m.

iii. Flagman:

To avoid collision between construction vehicle and traffic; Flagmen with flags will be effectively used at the exit/entry points of working stretch. The flags for signaling will be 0.60 m x 0.60 m size, made of a good red cloth and securely fastened to a staff of approximately 1m in length.

Safety of project workmen at site:

- Safety of the Project Workers at site during duty hours will be ensured. Safety measures appropriate (as per Project Safety Plan) for the job will be adopted.
- The job specific PPEs i.e. Helmets and Safety jackets will be provided to workmen at site and it will be compulsory for them to wear the same.
- Site engineers will ensure the use of Personal Protection Equipments (PPEs) by workmen.
 - Use of Safety Jacket will be compulsory for the workmen engaged for roadwork.
 - Use of Safety helmet will be compulsory for all workmen including the staff.
- Labour Laws in force will be followed.

Maintenance of traffic control devices:

For maintenance of installed traffic control devices, a separate team shall be engaged. They will be provided with two vehicles for transportation of materials and labor. This team will periodically check for disturbed devices and maintain them accordingly.

Permanent Barricade:

Permanent barricades provided along the construction work of road will channelize the vehicles along the existing road. Main intention of this barricade is to make traffic

aware about the construction work in progress. This is a psychological barrier preventing vehicles from going astray in to construction area.

Permanent barricade will be made of GI sheets fixed with properly anchored with steel. GI wire will be used to fasten the sheets for better stability. These sheets will be painted with alternate yellow and black inclined strips or red and white vertical strips. For night visibility, red reflective sheets will be fixed on barricades

Pavement barricade:

The finished kerb on the median side of carriageway will be pavement barricade preventing the vehicles from entering into the area under construction. The portion of kerb above the finished asphalt top will prevent vehicles from crossing the median under construction, and its further entry into construction area. In eccentric and concentric widening situations kerb will be the pavement barricade wherever its casting has been completed.

Delineators:

Delineators will be of cylindrical shape and will be made of concrete. They will be painted with black and white circumferential strips. Red colored reflectors or retro reflective sheet will be fixed to the delineator so as to make it visible to the traffic from either direction during night. These delineators will be placed at a suitable spacing to guide the drivers along a safe path and control the flow of traffic.

Construction Entry/ Exit points:

Construction entry/ exit points will be clearly identified and marked. Construction traffic will be allowed to enter/ exit the construction area through these openings only. These will be marked with signboards or red colored flag.

7.3.11.1 Traffic Management - Potential Risks, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The potential risk, impacts and mitigation measures associated during construction and operation phase of the 10 priority roads are given in Table 7.12.

Table 7-95 Traffic Management-Potential Risks, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Potential Risks	Potential Impacts	Proposed Mitigation Measure
Construction methods may cause disruption to traffic.	Traffic delays causing frustration to drivers.	Consider methods of construction at an early stage during the design to reflect community needs and reduce delay times therefore minimising the impact on traffic.
Traffic management - inadequate anticipation and communication of issues.	Potential community issues causing dissatisfaction and frustration.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Determine traffic routes leading to LPS schemes that are getting connected are: Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Ainavolu, Penumaka, Navalluru, Buthalpudi, Krishnaiahpalem, Venkataiahpalem, Neerukonda, Velagapudi, Mandadam, Thulluru, Abbarajupalem, Anantavaram, Dondapadu etc., and engage with community to refine details. ▪ Confirm pre-existing conditions. ▪ Consider the need for night assessment. ▪ Identify any short-term corrective
Severe delays to traffic perceived by the community as a direct result of the construction activities.	Community dissatisfaction, claims for loss of trade, time delays.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Establish good public relations from the outset. ▪ Erect Early Warning information signs through Variable Message Signs (VMS). ▪ Early engagement of affected property/business owners to explain process and ascertain needs and potential effects of
Access for emergency services restricted.	Emergency vehicles and personnel unable to attend to an emergency situation.	Liaise with Emergency Services at an early stage to establish requirements and measures to be adopted in the Vehicle Movement Plan (VMP)
Major Traffic Incident.	Local traffic disrupted upsetting locals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular checking of Implementation of Traffic Management Plan. ▪ Have procedures in place for rapid recovery, Keep locals informed.

Potential Risks	Potential Impacts	Proposed Mitigation Measure
Access to site for deliveries.	Traffic disruption or interference.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Development of this Plan in conjunction with Community Communication Strategy - access points will be high risk locations and will need detailed consideration. ▪ Simplification of traffic staging will simplify access arrangements or minimise impacts. ▪ Signage and pre-delivery notifications for delivery routes to be
Traffic Speed.	Works in multiple areas across the Project may result in intermittent speed changes that may frustrate road users.	<p>Traffic to generally be reduced to 30kmph speeds within construction zone.</p> <p>Construction zone to be full length of the Project. CTMP to consider simplifying traffic staging to avoid construction zone impacting on traffic</p>
Pedestrian access.	Potential disruption to progress causing pedestrians to not comply with pedestrian provisions.	Liaise closely with the 29 villages of Capital city area relevant bodies i.e., village panchayat, R&B, minor/major irrigation, forests etc., from an early stage to ensure pedestrian access provisions are adequately addressed, well established and maintained.
Inadequate provisions for break-downs during	Traffic delay.	Design temporary break down bays. Consider temporary verges where possible during design of traffic
Public or livestock entering on Arterial / Sub-arterial roads Unauthorised access to site	Traffic Incident.	Provide clear delineation and fencing. Educate community (neighbours of WorkCover requirements etc.). "Neighbours induction". Channel interests through formally organised tours.
Dangerous entry and exits to sites and properties.	Traffic Incident.	Ensure that entries and exits are designed to cater for expected traffic volumes and with respect to sight distances, acceleration and deceleration provision and clear advanced warning signage.
Reduced allowable movements	Traffic delay, confusion to commuters that	Implement effective community engagement strategies that will consider businesses, property owner's

Potential Risks	Potential Impacts	Proposed Mitigation Measure
Damage to local roads due to heavy vehicle movements.	Road damage and potential incidents of accidents. Poor community and Panchayat relationship.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Allow for heavy vehicle movements in traffic staging and planning to ensure existing, temporary alignment and pavements are suitable during the construction period. ▪ Carry out Road Dilapidation Surveys and immediate repairs to the roads to be carried out by the same through R&B Department
Impact of construction traffic on haulage roads	Increased traffic on the haulage roads and excessive damages to the local roads.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monitor the road safety on haulage roads during construction. ▪ Implement measures where reasonable and feasible, in consultation with 29 Panchayats of the Capital City Area, should additional road safety issues to be identified and appropriate road safety measures to be adopted.

iii. Accident, Health and Safety

Construction activities will cause hindrance to the existing traffic flow. Thus, short-term impact in terms of accident is anticipated during construction phase of the project. Adequate safety measures to be considered during construction phase of the project.

Mitigation Measures:

- Reduce speed through construction zones.
- Construction of bridges/ culverts shall be carried out prior to construction of new corridor at the first stage.
- Proper cautionary signs shall be displayed at construction sites.
- Diversion roads will be provided wherever needed.

iv. Aesthetics and Landscape

The proposed construction of 4 lane/ 4 lane + 2 lane BRT road will require very partial removal of roadside plantation, which will deteriorate the corridors aesthetic values temporarily. But the proposed plantation all along in long run will improve the aesthetics and landscaping of the corridor. Dis-figuration of the landscape is also anticipated due to opening of borrow pits.

Mitigation Measures

- Mixed plantation shall be carried out at roadside and flowering shrubs shall be planted in the median
- Borrow pits shall be resurfaced with conserved topsoil (borrow pit opening shall be as per IRC 10-1961).
- Plan for controlled development shall be evolved by land planning agencies.
- There shall be proper vigilance to avoid squatter development all along the corridor.

7.3.12 Impacts from Construction Workers Camp

Local labourers shall be employed for the construction activities to the maximum possible number to minimize the production of domestic waste generation. As for this project is concerned, the number of persons to be employed during the peak and average construction period would be around 1400 nos. and 700 nos. respectively. The period of construction is tentatively worked out for 12 months for roads in the project.

Sanitation and Waste Disposal:

Sewage and domestic solid waste generated at the construction workers camp will be properly disposed of to prevent health and hygiene related problems. Adequate sanitary facilities such as construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members will be established based on the soil conditions in construction camp. Periodical health checkup of construction workers will be undertaken. Sanitation waste from workers camp will not be diverted to water bodies.

Contractor to prepare, CRDA/ADC's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the workers camp and other working sites, which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc. Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected.

Mitigation Measures:

Labourers residing in the camps shall be provided with safe drinking water, adequate sanitation facilities, kerosene/gas and all other amenities as per the prevailing Labour laws. Domestic waste generated will be treated as per norms. An amount of Rs. 1 Lakh

per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well.

7.3.13 Rain Water Harvesting (RWH) Pits

There are 53, 51, 60, 95, 38 & 59 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m for Package -I, Package -II, Package -III , Package -IV, Package V and Package VI of the proposed roads respectively. All put together, 356 nos. RWH Pits are proposed for the project. The Rain water harvesting pits are having diameter of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m are proposed in the project. The project stretches were considered about 91.5 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc in the proposed roads (10 roads). The budget provision is made in the EMP for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 38.85 Lakhs out of which Rs. 7.95 Lakh, Rs. 7.65 Lakh, Rs. 9.00 Lakh, Rs. 14.25 Lakh, Rs 5.7 Lakhs and 8.85 Lakhs for Package -I, Package -II, Package -III, Package -IV, Package V and Package VI of the proposed roads respectively.

7.4 Impacts During Operation Phase

7.4.1 Impact on Land use

Road development may lead to establishment of petty shops and other commercial pursuits by the local people. Local statutory bodies will strictly enforce land use. In addition, the project proponent should prevent development of squatter settlements and encroachments on the vacant portions of the RoW of the road.

7.4.2 Impact on Air Quality

The prediction of air quality model under diverse traffic conditions in newly formed Andhra Pradesh State capital of Amaravati is really a critical task as there is no traffic exists on the road. Air quality analysis across 10 priority roads were assessed using CALRoads (US EPA Preferred / recommended model). CALRoads is an air quality model used to predict pollutant concentration near roads. Model requires source strength, meteorology and site geometry, the model can predict pollutant concentrations for receptors located within 500m of the roadway. The model runs based on crosswind Finite Line Source Gaussian formulation and the thermal turbulence created by hot vehicle exhaust & mechanical turbulence created by moving vehicles.

CALINE4 is a line source air quality model developed to assess air quality impacts of Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂), and suspended particles near roadways. The CALINE4 model was developed by the California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS). The CALINE4 model can:

- Predict pollutant concentrations for receptors located within 500 meters of the roadway
- Be used to model multiple sources and receptors, curved alignments, or roadway segments with varying emission factors
- Model air quality near intersections, street canyons, and parking facilities
- Summarize the contributions from each link to each receptor

Inputs for the model include Meteorology Data (Amaravati), Roughness coefficient, Ambient concentration, Mixing zone width, Emission coefficient, Traffic volumes, Emission factors etc. The assessment of air quality was performed for all the 10 priority roads considering worst case scenario for number of vehicles expected on 2050. CO, NO₂ and particulate matter were considered to find the air quality of the project area using the model. Weighted average of emission factor was taken by considering the percentage of types of vehicles on the total traffic. Emission factors were calculated for different pollutants as, 2.77, 2.71 and 0.15 g/miles for CO, NO₂ and particulate matter respectively. The predicted number of vehicles along the priority roads considered are varying from 2039 to 43081 nos. for the year 2050. The Iso-pleths obtained for CO, NO₂ and Particulate Matter is given in Figures -7.2, 7.3 & 7.4 respectively.

Carbon Monoxide (CO):

As can be seen from the Figure – 7.2, the predicted values of CO varied from place to place. The maximum concentrations of CO predicted is 3.75 ppm (4.3 mg/m³) near Navalluru, Bethapudi & Nidamarru on the junctions of E4-N9 & N9 and E6 - N11 & N9. The moderate value predicted is 2.0 ppm (2.3 mg/m³) near Malkapuram, Velagapudi, Mandadam and Yerrabalem on the junctions of E6-N11 & N9. Minimal value observed are less than 1.25ppm (1.43 mg/m³) in the remaining areas of the Amaravati Capital city area. When compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 4mg/m³, the predicted values for the year 2050 is slightly higher side near Navalluru, Bethapudi & Nidamarru villages and well within the prescribed standards for the rest of the areas.

Nitrogen Di-oxide (NO₂):

As can be seen from the Figure – 7.3, the predicted maximum concentrations of NO₂ ranges from 0.035-0.045 ppm (65.9 - 84.7 micro gram/m³) near Yerrabalem, Ambatinagar & Nulakpeta on E12-N4 junction. The moderate value predicted is 0.035ppm (65.9 micro-gram/m³) near Krishnaiahpalem, Penumaka, Ainavolu,

Sakhamuru and Venkataiahpalem villages on E10-N4 & N9 junctions. Minimal values observed are less than 0.02 ppm (37.6 mg/m³) in the remaining areas of the Amaravati Capital city area. When compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 80mg/m³, the predicted values for the year 2050 is slightly higher side near Yerrabalem, Ambatinagar, Nulakpeta villages and well within the prescribed standards for the rest of the areas.

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀):

As can be seen from the Figure -7.4, the predicted maximum concentrations of PM₁₀ ranges from 80-105 micro gram/m³ near Krishnayapalem, Penumaka, Velagapudi, Malakapuram, Nelapadu & Thulluru villages on E10-N4 & E6 -N11 & N9 junctions. The moderate values predicted are 75-80 micro-gram/m³ near Tallayapalem, Mandadam & Ainavolu villages on E6-N9 junction. Minimal values observed are less than 75 mg/m³ in the remaining areas of the Amaravati Capital city area. When compared with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 100micro gram/m³, the predicted values for the year 2050 is slightly higher side near rishnayapalem, Penumaka, Velagapudi, Malakapuram, Nelapadu & Thulluru villages and well within the prescribed standards for the rest of the areas.

Overall the predicted concentrations of PM10, CO and NO2 found to be slightly exceeding the stipulated CPCB Standards for the year 2050. It is already suggested to go for 2 rows of Avenue plantation either side of the proposed 10 priority roads will reduce the air pollution in the Amaravati Capital city area.

However, it is expected that the pollution load from the vehicles in the coming years will be substantially reduced and majority of the vehicles will be running on electric power. The projected concentrations may be well within the limits on these counts.




Figure 7-154 Predicted Carbon monoxide Concentration along 10 priority roads for the Year 2050




Figure 7-155 Predicted NO2 along 10 priority roads For the year 2050




Figure 7-156 Predicted Concentration of particulate matter along 10 priority roads

7.4.3 Impact on Noise Quality

Traffic noise has become an urban problem and it is a common reality in major cities throughout India. It significantly affects human health, especially for people living in the vicinity to major roads/ highways. The prediction of noise levels under diverse traffic conditions at different junctions of newly formed capital city Amaravati of Andhra Pradesh state is a challenging task as there is very less traffic exists presently on the road. The proposed 10 priority roads namely E8, N9, N4, N14, E10, E14, N16, E6, E12 & N11 are getting connected the majority of Land Pooling Schemes in the Capital City area are: Nekkallu, Sakhamuru, Ainavolu, Penumaka, Navalluru, Buthalpudi, Krishnaiahpalem, Venkataiahpalem, Neerukonda, Velagapudi, Mandadam, Thulluru, Abbarajupalem, Anantavaram, Dondapadu etc. In addition to that these roads are also connecting the proposed major residential, commercial complexes, statup areas, industrial areas in the Capital City area.

The model used in the present study was developed by 'Environmental Systems Design Modeling Division, CSIR -National Environmental Engineering Research Institute', Nagpur, Maharashtra, India. The noise model performs reasonably well under different traffic conditions and could be implemented for traffic noise prediction at other regions of India as well. As it is one of the few noise prediction methods that consider honking along with other parameters, predicted values are more reliable than other models. The model was developed to calculate equivalent traffic noise based on four input variables i.e. equivalent traffic flow (Q_e), equivalent vehicle speed (S_e), distance from the source to the receiver (d) and honking per minute (h). The traffic data is predicted for the year 2050 and is used for the prediction of noise levels at that time in dB(A). According to the model following cases were considered for noise analysis:

- **Case -I** represents congested traffic where equivalent vehicle speed (S_e) is less than 30 km/h duly considering honking. The formula used is:

$$Leq = 2.772 \times \log Q_e - 0.2205 \times S_e - 0.2045 \times d + 0.335 \times h + 76.74$$

Where, d : distance from the centre line of the RoW to habitation, h : honking count / min

- **Case -II** represents free-flowing traffic where equivalent vehicle speed (S_e) is greater than 30 km/h duly considering honking.

$$Leq = 4.461 \times \log Q_e + 0.1983 \times S_e - 0.3771 \times d + 0.582 \times h + 59.44$$

- **Case -III** represents calm traffic where no honking is recorded.

$$Leq = 5.116 \times \text{Log} Q_e - 0.7554 \times S_e - 0.5435 \times d + 33.39$$

Considering all the major Junctions in the 10 Priority Roads are selected and traffic volume is taken for the worst-case scenario duly taken into account of higher traffic volume predicted at a junction. Apart from the above, the user is also considered the following assumptions on speed of the vehicle, distance & honking keeping in view of the project site and duly studying the similar cities in India are:

Assumptions:

1. Noise levels for highest traffic volume expected on each junction was calculated keeping worst case scenario in concern.
2. Vehicles were classified in 4 different classes:
 - i. Heavy vehicles (HV): Heavy goods vehicles + buses
 - ii. Autos: Light goods vehicles/pickups + mini/midi buses
 - iii. Medium vehicles: Car/Taxi/Van
 - iv. Light vehicles: 2-wheeler motor vehicles
3. Key Assumption are made for three different possible cases

Table 7-96 Key Assumptions Made for Different Possible Cases

S.No.	Model Input Parameter (s)	Unit	Case -I: $S_e < 30$ & $h > 0$	Case -II: $S_e > 30$ & $h > 0$	Case -III: $S_e > 0$ & $h = 0$
1	Heavy Vehicle (S_{HV}) Speed	Kmph	15	30	30
2	Autos (S_{AUTO}) Speed	Kmph	20	40	40
3	Medium Vehicles (S_{MV}) Speed	Kmph	25	45	45
4	Light Vehicles (S_{LV}) Speed	Kmph	35	45	45
5	Distance (d) from the centreline of the Road	m	40	40	40

6	honking (h) Counts	Counts/mi n	20	5	0
---	--------------------	----------------	----	---	---

Note: Se: Equivalent Vehicle Speed, h: honking

3. Research papers states that introduction of electric & hybrid motor vehicles may reduces the traffic noise of 5 dB(A) and and each row of plantation either side of RoW will reduce 3 dB(A) of noise. As per the discussions had with the APCRDA/ADC, the Amaravati city is promoting the use of Electric & hybrid cars as the city progress towards development. Overall, it is assumed that electric & hybrid motor vehicles and with two rows of plantation can reduce noise levels of about 11 dB(A) and bring the values well within limits.

Prediction of Noise Level:

In all the 10 priority roads, the predicted equivalent traffic flows are assessed and varying from 13672 – 112109 nos. for the year 2050. The model is predicted for all the intersections of the major junctions and considering the worst case traffic scenarios. The predicted noise levels for the three scenaios of traffic such as Congested flow, Free flow and Calm flow traffic in the project are assessed and given in the Table – 7-14.

Table 7-97 Junction wise predicted equivalent Noise levels for year 2050

Road Intersection	Noise Levels as per the original model dB(A)			Noise Levels (dB(A)) considering Electric and hybrid vehicles with two rows of plantation		
	Case -I: Se<30 & h>0	Case -II: Se>30 & h>0	Case -III: Se>0 & h=0	Case -I: Se<30 & h>0	Case -II: Se>30 & h>0	Case -III: Se>0 & h=0
E6- N9	82.2	74.5	63.9	71.2	63.5	52.9
E6-N11	83.3	76.2	65.8	72.3	65.2	54.8
E6-N14	82.7	75.3	64.7	71.7	64.3	53.7
E6- N16	83.3	76.3	65.9	72.3	65.3	54.9
E8-N11	84.6	77.5	66.4	73.6	66.5	55.4

Road Intersection	Noise Levels as per the original model dB(A)			Noise Levels (dB(A)) considering Electric and hybrid vehicles with two rows of plantation		
	Case -I: Se<30 & h>0	Case -II: Se>30 & h>0	Case -III: Se>0 & h=0	Case -I: Se<30 & h>0	Case -II: Se>30 & h>0	Case -III: Se>0 & h=0
E8-N14	83.8	76.2	65.0	72.8	65.2	54
E8-N16	83.5	75.7	64.4	72.5	64.7	53.4
E8-N9	84.9	77.5	65.7	73.9	66.5	54.7
E10-N4	84.3	77.0	65.9	73.3	66	54.9
E10-N9	84.1	75.7	63.3	73.1	64.7	52.3
E12-N4	85.2	78.5	67.6	74.2	67.5	56.6
E12-N9	84.7	76.9	64.8	73.7	65.9	53.8
E14-N9	85.3	77.3	64.7	74.3	66.3	53.7

Source: Assessed by M/s. Aarvee Associates, Hyderabad

As can be seen from above Table:

- The predicted noise levels for the Case I scenario is ranging from 82.2 dB(A) to 85.3 dB(A). The values seem to be moderate to high and the similar scenario is anticipated in the peak hour traffic conditions between 08.00 to 10.00 am in the morning and 06.00 to 09.00 pm in the evening. Considering electric and hybrid vehicles with two rows of plantation shows the reduction of noise levels from 71.2 to 74.3dB(A.)
- The predicted noise levels for the Case II scenario is ranging from 74.5 dB(A) to 78.5 dB(A). The values seem to be moderate and the similar scenario is anticipated during day time excluding non-peak hours. Considering electric and hybrid vehicles with two rows of plantation shows the reduction of noise levels from 63.5 to 67.5dB(A.)

- The predicted noise levels for the Case III scenario is ranging from 63.9 dB(A) to 67.6 dB(A). The values seem to be moderate and the similar scenario is anticipated during night time excluding non-peak hours. Considering electric and hybrid vehicles with two rows of plantation shows the reduction of noise levels from 52.9 to 56.6dB(A.)

Case -II & III Scenarios are more likely reliable prediction for the newly formed Capital City of AP. And Case I is mostly not envisaged in the project. However, case II and III are mostly meeting the CPCB commercial standards of 65&55 decibels for day and night respectively.

7.5 Accident Hazards and Safety

There is a possibility of accidents in the project stretch as more number of vehicles are expected in this road. In the event of spillage of hazardous chemicals, a spillage containment mechanism will be developed along with the participation of police and the fire department. In addition to this an emergency response mechanism should be evolved to tackle accidents and spillage of substances.

7.6 Aesthetics

There are various measures taken in the proposed project stretch to improve the traffic movement and public convenience along the project corridor. Junctions development with landscape, median plantation in 4 lane road and avenue plantation along the project will improve the aesthetics of the project. The public amenities, Energy efficient LED lights, Cycle tracks, Side Walks, BRT & BRT Shelters and parking place for long distance travelers have been provided for in the project design.

7.7 Evaluation of Impacts

The environmental impact of a project depends both on the project activities and on the background environmental setting. The environmental impact assessment process involves four basic steps:

- Identification
- Evaluation
- Interpretation
- Communication

For the present project, the matrix method is used for assessment of impacts. In the present case the impact, a scale of -4 to +4 is taken. This method is selected because it identifies the impact of each project activity on each of the environmental attributes. Evaluation and interpretation of impacts is mostly subjective and convey a holistic view of the environmental impact of the project.

Matrix Method

The matrix used for EIA consists of project activities on the x-axis and the environmental attributes likely to be affected by these activities on the y-axis. Each cell of the matrix represents a subjective evaluation of the impacts of a activity on a particular attribute in terms of magnitude and importance. A blank cell indicates no impact of the activity on the component. The magnitude (M) is represented by a number from 1 to 4,

Where,

1 = minimal , 2 = appreciable, 3 = significant & 4 = severe

Positive sign (or no sign) indicates beneficial impact and negative sign indicates adverse impact. The importance (I) of the impact is given on a scale of 1 to 4 in each cell. This number indicates relative importance of the impact of the activity on the concerned attribute for this project. The magnitude and importance are multiplied to get a score of each cell. The score of individual cells in each row are added to determine the total impact of the project activities on each attribute. Similarly, the score in individual cells in each column are added to determine the total impact of each activity on all the environmental attributes likely to be affected. The grand total of all cells indicates the total project impact.

Since both 'M' and 'I' vary from 1 to 4, the total score in each cell can theoretically vary between -16 to +16. Therefore the total project impact can vary between (-16 x total number of cells in the matrix) and (16 x total number of cells in the matrix). To compare score from matrices containing different number of cells, the total project scores can be normalized to a scale of 100 as follows

Total project impact scale of 100 = ((Total project impact computed by matrix)/ (16 x total number of cells in the matrix)) X100

On this scale, the overall impact can be classified as follows:

Total project impact	Magnitude of impact
-----------------------------	----------------------------

(Scale of 100)	
-100 to -75	Severely adverse
-75 to -50	Significantly adverse
-50 to -25	Appreciably adverse
-25 to 0	Minimally adverse
0 to 25	Minimally beneficial
25 to 50	Appreciably beneficial
50 to 75	Significantly beneficial
75 to 100	Extremely beneficial

7.7.1 Evaluation for Alternative Scenarios

EIA without EMP

This scenario was based upon the assumption that the proposed development would go ahead without any environmental management options being implemented. The total project impact for the scenario, as can be seen in Table -7.15, was found to be -74 on a scale of (+/-) 1344. The score on a scale of (+/-) 100 for this scenario was found to be -5.5, which is on the **minimally adverse side**. This shows that if the project goes ahead without an EMP, the adverse impact on the existing environment would be several times that of the impact without the project. Thus, the EMP described in Chapter -8 will have to be implemented to minimize the potential negative impact due to the proposed activity.

EIA with EMP

If the environmental management strategies discussed in Chapter -8 is fully implemented, the adverse impact of the project would be reduced, and there will be an overall improvement in physical, chemical, biological and socio-economic environment of the capital city area. This is reflected in the total project impact score of +107 on scale of (+/-) 1536, as shown in the Table -7.16 below, for this scenario. The score on a scale of (+/-) 100 for this scenario was found to be +7.0, which is on the beneficial side. Therefore, the proposed activity will be beneficial for the environment of the area, provided the EMP is in place.

It is clear from the above, that the proposed road development project would have negative effect without implementing certain environmental management strategies. If EMP, as discussed in the Chapter -8, is strictly adopted and implemented, the adverse impacts will be reduced and the overall environmental quality of the area would improve.

Table 7-98 : Impact Assessment for the Proposed Project (EIA WITHOUT EMP)

Environmental components likely to be affected	Project activities likely to affect environmental components								
		Site preparation / Resettlement and Rehabilitation	Construction / other activities	Transportation construction materials	Solid waste generation and its handling.	Traffic escalation	Waste water generation	Post construction and operational phase	Total impact component
Air quality	M	-1	-2	-2	-1	-2		-2	-19
	I	1	2	2	2	2		2	
Noise	M	-2	-3	-1	-2	-2		-3	-22
	I	1	2	2	1	2		2	
Surface water quality	M	-2	-2	-1	-2		-1	-2	-15
	I	1	2	2	1		1	2	
Ground water quality	M		-1		-1		-1	-1	-5
	I		2		1		1	1	
Soil quality / erosion	M	-1	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-10
	I	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	
Land use pattern	M	-2	-2	-1	-1			-2	-14
	I	2	2	1	1			2	
Flora and Fauna	M	-3	-3	-2	-2			-1	-18
	I	2	2	1	1			2	
Aesthetics	M	-1	-2	-1	-1			-1	-11
	I	1	2	2	2			2	
Safety	M		-2	-2	-1	-2		-2	-17
	I		2	2	1	2		2	

Human health	M	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-14
	I	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	
Socio-economic status	M	4	3	1	1	1		3	35
	I	3	3	2	1	2		3	
Economy, trade and commerce	M	2	2	2	1	2		4	36
	I	2	3	2	2	2		4	
Total Action Impact		-3	-27	-16	-12	-8	-4	-4	-74

Table 7-99 : Impact Assessment for the Proposed Project (EIA WITH EMP)

Environmental components likely to be affected	Project activities likely to affect environmental components									
	Site preparation/Resettlement and Rehabilitation	Construction/other activities	Transportation construction materials	Solid waste generation and its handling	Traffic escalation	Waste water generation	Greenbelt development	Post construction/Operational phase	Total impact component	
Air quality	M	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		2	-1	-2
	I	1	1	1	1	1		2	1	
Noise	M	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		2	-1	-2
	I	1	1	1	1	1		2	1	
Surface water quality	M	-1	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1		-1	-8
	I	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	
Ground water quality	M		-1		-1		-1		-1	-4
	I		1		1		1		1	
Soil quality / erosion	M	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	2		-2
	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	2		
Land use pattern	M	-2	-1	-1	-1			2	1	-4
	I	2	2	1	2			2	1	
Flora and Fauna	M	-2		-1	-1	-1		2	1	-2
	I	2		1	1	1		2	1	

Aesthetics	M	-1	-1	-1	-1			2	1	-1
	I	2	2	2	1			2	2	
Safety	M		-1	-1		-1			-1	-7
	I		2	2		2			1	
Human health	M	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	3		3
	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	3		
Socio-economic status	M	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	66
	I	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	
Economy, trade and commerce	M	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	70
	I	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	
Total Action Impact		3	5	7	8	10	-4	51	27	107

I = Importance, M = Magnitude; Impact scale: 1 = Minimal, 2 = Appreciable, 3 = Significant, 4 = Severe. Positive sign (or no sign) indicates beneficial impact, Negative sign indicates adverse impact, Blank indicates no impact

Chapter 9

ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

8.0 ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) state the procedure in which the project proponent would carry out the implementation of the mitigation measures and ensure compliance with environmental regulations that are binding on the project. This plan also specifies the organizational requirements and institutional strengthening necessary for sound environmental management of the project. The major components of the EMP are:

1. EMP Implementing Agency
2. Monitoring of the EMP implementation

3. Training on Environmental management

4. Budget for EMP implementation.

8.1 EMP Implementing Agency

ADC/APCRDA will establish an Environmental Management Cell (EMC) to supervise and implement the mitigation measures as documented in the EMP. This EMC must also be adequately empowered to discharge the responsibilities as outlined in the EMP. To ensure smooth implementation of EMP the project proponent must collaborate with various government agencies like Public Works Department, Revenue Department, State Pollution Control Board, State Forest Department, Police Department and other allied departments.

The EMC constituted by the APCRDA/ADC shall be the prime agency for monitoring all the activities during both the phases. Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC shall supervise all activities and accordingly advise the Contracting Company to improve on areas where any short comings are observed. In addition to implementing and monitoring different environmental attributes, APCRDA/ADC shall also be actively involved in imparting training and raising environmental awareness level of Contractors and the construction staff to enable them to take the environmental aspects into consideration as and when required.

The details of Packages are given below:

Package	Road	Description
Package-I	E8	Construction of E8 Road near Krishnayapalem to Nekkellu (Western Boundary) in Amaravati Capital City, Guntur.
Package-II	N9	Construction of N9 Road near Uddandarayunipalem to Nidamaru (Southern Boundary) in Amaravati Capital City, Guntur.
Package-III	N4	Construction of N4 Road near Venkatapalem to Navuluru (Southern Boundary) and
	N14	N14 Road near Borupalem to Sakhamuru (Southern Boundary) in Amaravati Capital City, Guntur.
Package-IV	E10	Construction of E10 Road near Penumaka to Inavolu (Western Boundary),
	E14	E14 Road near Mangalagiri to Neerukonda (Western Boundary) and

	N16	N16 Road Abbarajupalem to Nekkellu (Southern Boundary) in Amaravati Capital City, Guntur.
Package-V	E6	Passing in the NW side of Capital City area near to Ananthavaram Village
Package-VI	E12	Passing through the South side of capital city area boundary. Nearby village is Kuragallu.
	N11	Passing through middle (NS direction) of Capital City. Nearby villages are Ainavolu, Nelapadu

The details of EMP implementation and implementation responsibilities for 6 Packages of the proposed roads (10 Roads) are given in Tables -8.1 & 8.2. These tables comprehensively list out the tasks to be performed and completed by the Contracting Company, costs to perform these tasks and lists out the agencies that are involved and responsible for ensuring the timely completion of the tasks outlined under EMP. The contractor's responsibilities in matters related to protection of environment shall be the part of the tender document. The contractor shall ensure daily, weekly and monthly environmental monitoring, mitigation & management measures for preparing monthly report, the PMC personnel would consist of a dedicated Environment Specialist to monitor the compliance throughout the construction phase of the project and report the same to the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC. The Project specific environmental formats are given in **Annexure -VI**. Tables -8.1 & 8.2 gives the provisions that are built in the bid document to protect and safeguard the environment by the Contractor/ Concessionaire or Amaravati Development Corporation (ADC).

Table 8-100 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -I

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
Design Phase							
1.	Alignment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for the Package 1 of Priority roads (7 roads) in the project is 13.65 Kms with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA. 	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Passageways are designed /widened for road development work to meet the needs of the local residents and vehicles. 	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
3.	Soil Erosion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals. 	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No cultural relics present in the corridor of Impact. 	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-
5.	Flood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 3 major bridge and 17 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed for Package 1 of Priority roads (7 roads) in the project to allow the free flow of the natural flood water in the area. 	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-
6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme the majority of the land was already acquired and no major issue of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures. 	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-

Construction Phase

1	Tree plantation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare an action plan for about 266 nos. of trees to be felled along the Package 1 of Priority roads (7 roads) in the project. 	Monthly		Director, Landscape & Environment of	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &
---	-----------------	---	---------	--	--------------------------------------	------------	-------------

Table 8-101 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 2

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
DESIGN PHASE							
1.	Alignment	The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for the Package 2 of the Priority roads (7 roads) in the project is 13.16 Kms with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	Passageways are designed/widened for road development work to meet the needs of the local residents and vehicles.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
3.	Soil Erosion	In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	No cultural relics present in the corridor of impact.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
5.	Flood	Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 2 major bridge and 17 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed for Package 2 of Priority roads (7 roads) in the project to allow the free flow of the natural flood water in the area.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-
6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme the majority of the land was already acquired and no major issues of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures. 	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-
CONSTRUCTION PHASE							
1	Tree plantation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare an action plan for about 96 nos. of trees to be felled for Package 2 of the Priority roads (7 roads). An amount of Rs. 3.84 Lakhs provision is made in EMP for felling of trees in Package 2. There are 288 nos. of trees need to be planted as per the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof. Intimate forest department before cutting trees. Prepare action plan for avenue and median plantation. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along Package 2 of the Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 96 nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package 2 of Priority roads (7 roads) of the project. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with the Forest dept.	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 3.84 (Felling of trees) 159.01 (Avenue and Median Plantation)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Hence, 12,834 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. • Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 12.86 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 333 nos. of plants in two medians per kilometer for 12.86 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 8,765 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc. • Budget allocation for avenue and median plantation is Rs. 1.59 Crores for Implementation. 					
2	Borrow pits and Quarry sites (stone / sand).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas and 2 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for APCRDA for the project by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP 2. Assuming sand quarries of 4 nos. one for each package in the project. 3. Borrow areas shall be carried out upto depth of 2.0m in non-cultivable & elevated lands, 0.45 m in productive lands etc. with a slope of not steeper than 1 vertical to 4 horizontal. 4. Borrow areas shall be away from the 15m of the 2 nos. of water bodies identified in the package. 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with Mining & Geology Department, GoAP/ CE, APCRDA/ CE, ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		5. Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits utilized in the project shall be done duly adopting Borrow areas shall be rehabilitated/ mitigation measures to be taken duly adopting the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc.,. An amount of Rs. 1.00 Lakh provision is made in the EMP for each borrow area.					
3	Site for storage and construction camp.	The location of the Campsite shall be selected by the Contractor duly confirming the labour laws.	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
4	Sewerage and solid waste disposal.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proper sanitation facilities (bio-toilets) at the construction workers camp shall be provided 2. Domestic refuse shall be collected separately for bio-degradable waste as well as the inert waste and the same shall be sent for the disposal as per the MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000. 3. It is envisaged that approximate 300 – 500 Kg of domestic solid waste (300 – 500 gm/person) per day will be generated from the workers camps which will be disposed of to the nearest solid waste disposal sites as discussed above. 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Labour department / Health department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 10.00
5	Traffic management	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Secure assistance from local police for traffic control during the construction. 2. Safety measures shall also be undertaken by installing road signs and markings for safe and smooth movement of traffic. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC GCC 62 (c)	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
6	Air Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are five residential categories locations monitored in the study area and the air quality concentrations are found to be well within the CPCP Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6. 2. During construction, a good number of trucks will carry the construction material for which emission of air pollutants will increase. We should see that all the vehicles deployed for construction of the project will have to keep "Pollution Under Control" certificates. DG sets will also emit air pollutants in the area during construction period. The emission generated during construction will be temporary and localized in nature. 3. Vehicles carrying construction material shall be covered to avoid spilling. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.30 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season (other than monsoon season). All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. Hot mixing plant shall be over 500m away from residential neighborhood and 300m away from the road. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.30 (for Air quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Mixing equipment shall be seated and equipped with dust removal device. 7. Water will be sprinkled in morning and evening hours at the construction yards and the unpaved sections of the road. 					
7	Noise level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are three commercial and five residential categories monitored in the study area and the monitored levels found to be slightly higher side for only three stations when compared with the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6. 2. Stationary equipment shall be placed as far as possible from residential areas to minimise noise impacts on the near inhabitants. 3. Noise quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.09 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 4. Construction activities will be strictly prohibited between 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. near habitation 5. Provision of ear plugs to workers exposed 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.09 (for noise quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		to high noise levels in the project who work in batch mix plants, hot mix plants, quarries etc.					
8	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are three nos. of surface water quality samples and two nos. of ground water quality samples monitored in the package. The surface water quality is found to be satisfactory and matching with the IS:2296 Class C Standards. 2. The ground water quality is found to be satisfactory. During construction the water quality shall be monitored and take appropriate mitigation measures as given in Chapter-6. 3. Slightly high BOD is reported at Stream near Velagapudi (30) in Package -II and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources 4. It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is workers camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further deterioration of BOD 5. Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure (sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) with in villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.48 (for water quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		6. Prior permission of the concerned engineer and regulatory authorities shall be taken regarding the discharge or disposal					
8	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Water quality monitoring shall be carried out at 4 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of Rs. 0.48 Lakhs shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 2. Soil laden run off will not be diverted to water bodies. Provision of waste disposal site for waste from construction and storage yards shall be made. 3. Vehicle maintenance and refueling will be confined to areas under construction yard to trap discarded lubricant and fuel spills. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.48 (for water quality monitoring)
9	Soil quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at suggested sensitive locations (2 locations) of the package 2. An amount of 0.24 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring during construction phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.24

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
10	Water logging and stagnation of water in the Borrow pits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The majority of the project stretch is plain & horizontal land which will be act as water logging areas during the rainy seasons and may cause the breeding of the vectors in the area. 2. Uncontrolled digging of approved Burrow pits in the areas will be avoided to prevent water accumulation which results in breeding of disease causing vectors in the area. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
11	Occupation Health and Safety	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Labourers shall be equipped with proper safety gears like helmets, gloves and gum boots. 2. Periodic health checkup of construction workers. 		Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3 (i)	-
12	Basic amenities and sanitation facilities for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate sanitary facilities shall be provided to the workers to avoid health related problems. Sanitation waste from workers camp will not be diverted to water bodies. • Periodic health checkup of labourers shall be done. • Contractor to prepare, CRDA's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the workers camp and other working sites, which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc. • Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected. • Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members. An amount 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		of Rs. 1 Lakh per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well.					
13	Fuel for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate supply of fuel (LPG/Kerosene) shall be provided to the labourers to avoid felling of trees for cooking and other domestic chores. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
14	Prevention of erosion and scouring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stabilizing the embankment with appropriate technique immediately after placing. The high embankment slopes near to the major bridges are washed out or weakened and the same shall be strengthened. Treating high embankment slopes with rip rap, stone pitching or other technologies to prevent erosion. Construction of toe drain all along the road on both sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Concerned local department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
15	Drainage system	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 2 major bridge and 17 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed in the project to allow the free flow of the natural drainage water in the area. Construction of toe drain along the road on both the sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
16	Conservation of Eco-resources	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To preserve the forests, earth borrowing, piling, and building temporary camps are prohibited in forests lands. Arable lands should not be used as earth borrowing whenever possible. If needed, the topsoil (30cm) should be kept and refilled after construction is over to minimize the impact on ecosystem and agriculture. Construction vehicles should run at temporary accesses to avoid damaging arable lands and cattle-raising lands. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
17	Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of water spread area due to the project 6412.06 Cum from 4 farm ponds within alignment and enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc. Beautification of lakes/ farm ponds of 25 nos. present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW). 	Monthly	ADC	Minor Irrigation/ Archaeological/ Endowments/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 & 11.25 (for enhancement of water spread area)</p> <p>125 (for beautification of lakes/ farm ponds)</p>
18	Communications and Transportation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Local materials should be used as much as possible so as to avoid long distance transportation that of earth and stone. If there are traffic jammed during construction, measures should be taken to move the jam with the coordination of 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Transport Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>transportation and public security department.</p> <p>3. Temporary access should be built at the interchange of the urban roads and other roads.</p> <p>4. Passing time on Urban Roads will be limited, similar measures will also be applied to roads with traffic jams.</p> <p>5. Materials may be delivery in advance in relatively leisurely season of traffic.</p> <p>6. A transportation plan of materials will be formulated to avoid delivered of them at peak hours on existing roads.</p>					
19	Rain Water Harvesting	<p>1. The project stretch was considered about 12.86 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc.</p> <p>2. There are 51 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m.</p> <p>3. The budget provision for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 7.71 Lakhs.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	GW Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 7.71
20	Utilization of Fly Ash	<p>1. In the proposed project, There are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /APPCB	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Ash in the project has to be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly ash Notification 2007 and the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of earth required for filling has to be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges.</p> <p>2. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the seven roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the seven roads. It is not feasible to use fly ash in the 10 Priority roads with out embankments</p>					
21	Surplus earth/ Muck disposal and C&D waste	<p>1. The quantity of muck /surplus earth generated in the project is 511576.3 Cum. The muck generated mostly consists of expansive black cotton soil. Hence its reuse for project is not recommended. The excess muck will be disposed off in identified locations.</p> <p>2. The C& waste generated in this package is 121.29 Cum. It will be disposed in the dump site identified for C&D waste disposal near Tadepalli and this is designated dump site as identified in master plan as discussed in Section 7.3.4</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 429.83</p> <p>0.10 (for C&D waste disposal)</p>
22	Energy efficient initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrating solar energy generation right from the project planning and design stage for the project to be sustainable is essential. Solar LED lights to be proposed wherever its possible. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1522.50

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Measures to conserve energy include but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of energy efficient motors and pumps, • Use of energy efficient lighting, • Adequate and uniform illumination level at construction sites suitable for the task, • Proper size and length of cables/ wires to match the rating of equipment, • Use of energy efficient air conditioner. <p>The contractor shall design site offices for maximum daylight and minimum heat gain. The rooms shall be well insulated to enhance the efficiency of air conditioners and the use of solar films on windows may be used where feasible.</p> <p>1,054 Double arm Solar/ Energy efficient LED streetlights are proposed for Package 2.</p>					
23	Oil & Grease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • oils and greases and waste are likely to be generated from cleaning of vehicles near workers camp, oil & grease removal tank having size of 1.5×1.5×1.2 m shall be installed at initial stage of effluent treatments and it is suggested to use Green-seal certified bio-degradable cleaning solvents for cleaning of vehicles 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		at camp sites					
OPERATION PHASE							
1	Air Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Monitor periodically the AAQ at suggested locations. 2. Developing road side vegetation for pollutant sinking. 3. Enforcing different control measures to minimise pollution. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.30 Lakhs shall be allotted for monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/Engineer In-charge. 5. Public will be educated about the regulations on air pollution and noise of vehicles. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.30 (for air quality monitoring)
2	Road safety and traffic management	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prepare and administer a monitoring system on road accidents. 2. Adequate number of road signs with clear visibility shall be installed. 3. In case of spill of hazardous materials, report to the relevant departments at once and deal with it in accordance with the emergency plan. 4. Drivers and Public will be educated about the Safety regulations. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC/Traffic Police dept.	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
3	Noise level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provision of noise barriers (earthen berms/thick green belt development) has been proposed at sensitive areas and other noise prone areas. 2. Periodic monitoring of ambient noise levels at suggested locations 3. Erecting sign boards at sensitive and residential locations, prohibiting the use of air horns. Particularly near schools, temples and hospitals. 4. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the project in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.09 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2011. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 12,834 nos. either side of the road to control noise levels. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.09 (for noise monitoring)
4	Soil characteristics	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at specified distance from the corridor for assessing soil contamination by vehicular emissions. The analyzed samples shall be compared with the baseline values monitored at 4 locations along the Project corridor. 2. An amount of 0.24 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring (2 stations) during operational phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.24

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.					
5	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As part of sewerage master plan, 13 STPs are proposed covering 29 villages of Amaravati Capital City area. So, the high BOD levels are not envisaged once the STPs are functional. Periodic monitoring of water quality at suggested sensitive locations (4 locations) in the package 2 An amount of 0.48 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during operation phase (of 1 year), once in 3 months other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.48
6	Maintenance of road side plantations	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along Package 2 of the Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 96 nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package 2 of Priority roads (7 roads) of the project. Hence, 12,834 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc. <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 12.86 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests Department/Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 159.01

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 333 nos. of plants in two medians per kilometer for 12.86 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 8,765 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc.</p> <p>4. Employment of local people for the maintenance of plantation along the corridor</p>					
7	Maintenance of Drainage System	<p>1. The drainage system will be periodically cleared so as to ensure water flow.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

Table 8-102 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 3

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
DESIGN PHASE							
1.	Alignment	The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for Package 3 of the Priority roads (7 roads) in the project is 15.50 Kms (N4-7.23 Kms & N14-8.27 Kms) with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	Passageways are designed/widened for road development work to meet the needs of the local residents and vehicles.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
3.	Soil Erosion	In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	No cultural relics present in the corridor of impact.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-
5.	Flood	Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. 2 major bridge and 12 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in N4 and 2 major bridge and 7	Quarterly		AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in N14 are proposed for Package 3 to allow the free flow of the natural flood water in the area.		DPR Consultant			
6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme the majority of the land was already acquired and no major issues of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures. 	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-
CONSTRUCTION PHASE							
1	Tree plantation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare an action plan for about 195 (N4) and 132 (N14) nos. of trees to be felled along the Package 3 of Priority roads (7 roads). An amount of Rs. 13.08 Lakhs provision is made in EMP for felling of trees in Package 3. There are 981 nos. of trees need to be planted as per the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof. Intimate forest department before cutting trees. Prepare action plan for avenue and median plantation. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package 3 of Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 195 (N4) and 132 (N14) nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package 3 of Priority roads (7 roads). Hence, 14,960 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with the Forest dept.	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 & 13.08 (Felling of trees)</p> <p>185.73 (Avenue and Median plantation)</p>

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. • Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 14.99 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 666 nos. of plants (only two rows are proposed since BRT is planned in the remaining space in future) per kilometer for 14.99 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 10,323 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc. • Budget allocation for avenue and median plantation is Rs. 1.85 Crores for Implementation. 					
2	Borrow pits and Quarry sites (stone / sand).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas and 2 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for APCRDA for the project by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP 2. Assuming sand quarries of nos. one for each package in the project. 3. Borrow areas shall be carried out upto depth of 2.0m in non-cultivable & elevated lands, 0.45 m in productive lands etc. with a slope of not steeper than 1 vertical to 4 horizontal. 4. Borrow areas shall be away from the 15m of the 2 nos. of water bodies identified in the project. 5. Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits utilized in 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC/ Mining & Geology Department, GoAP/ CE, APCRDA/ CE, ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		the project shall be done duly adopting Borrow areas shall be rehabilitated/ mitigation measures to be taken duly adopting the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc.,.An amount of Rs. 4.00 Lakh provision is made in the EMP for each borrow area					
3	Site for storage and construction camp.	The location of the Campsite shall be selected by the Contractor duly confirming the labour laws.	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
4	Sewerage and solid waste disposal.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proper sanitation facilities (bio-toilets) at the construction workers camp shall be provided 2. Domestic refuse shall be collected separately for bio-degradable waste as well as the inert waste and the same shall be sent for the disposal as per the MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000. 3. It is envisaged that approximate 300 – 500 Kg of domestic solid waste (300 – 500 gm/person) per day will be generated from the workers camps which will be disposed of to the nearest solid waste disposal sites as discussed above. 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Labour department / Health department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 10.00
5	Traffic management	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Secure assistance from local police for traffic control during the construction. 2. Safety measures shall also be undertaken by installing road signs and markings for safe and smooth movement of traffic. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3 (i)	-
6	Air Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are five residential categories locations monitored in the study area and 	Quarterly	Contractor or	Andhra Pradesh	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>the air quality concentrations are found to be well within the CPCP Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. During construction, a good number of trucks will carry the construction material for which emission of air pollutants will increase. We should see that all the vehicles deployed for construction of the project will have to keep "Pollution Under Control" certificates. DG sets will also emit air pollutants in the area during construction period. The emission generated during construction will be temporary and localized in nature. 3. Vehicles carrying construction material shall be covered to avoid spilling. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 4 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.60 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during construction period of 1 years, once in a season (other than monsoon season). All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. Hot mixing plant shall be over 500m away from residential neighborhood and 300m away from the road. 6. Mixing equipment shall be seated and equipped with dust removal device. 7. Water will be sprinkled in morning and evening hours at the construction yards 		Concessionaire	State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC		0.60 (for Air quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		and the unpaved sections of the road.					
7	Noise level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are three commercial and five residential categories monitored in the study area and the monitored levels found to be slightly higher side for only three stations when compared with the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the package. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6. 2. Stationary equipment shall be placed as far as possible from residential areas to minimise noise impacts on the near inhabitants. 3. Noise quality monitoring shall be carried out at 4 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.18 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 4. Construction activities will be strictly prohibited between 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. near habitation 5. Provision of ear plugs to workers exposed to high noise levels in the project who work in batch mix plants, hot mix plants, quarries etc. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.18 (for noise quality monitoring)
8	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are seven nos. of surface water quality samples and four nos. of ground water quality samples monitored in the package. The surface water quality is found to be satisfactory and matching with 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68 (for water quality)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>the IS:2296 Class C Standards.</p> <p>2. The ground water quality is found to be satisfactory. During construction the water quality shall be monitored and take appropriate mitigation measures as given in Chapter-6.</p> <p>3. Slightly high BOD is reported at Chakali cheruvu near Thullur (24) in Package -III and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources</p> <p>4. It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is workers camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further deterioration of BOD</p> <p>5. Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure (sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) with in villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged</p> <p>6. Prior permission of the concerned engineer and regulatory authorities shall be taken regarding the discharge or disposal.</p>			& Environment of APCRDA/ADC		monitoring)
8	Water Quality	7. Water quality monitoring shall be carried out at 7 locations+ 7 WQM locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 1.68	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board /	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68 (for

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Lakhs shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction period of 1 years, once in a season other than monsoon. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.</p> <p>8. Soil laden run off will not be diverted to water bodies. Provision of waste disposal site for waste from construction and storage yards shall be made.</p> <p>9. Vehicle maintenance and refueling will be confined to areas under construction yard to trap discarded lubricant and fuel spills.</p>			Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC		water quality monitoring)
9	Soil quality	<p>1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at suggested sensitive locations (4 locations) in the package 3</p> <p>2. An amount of 0.48 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring during construction phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.48
10	Water logging and stagnation of water in the Borrow pits	<p>1. The majority of the project stretch is plain & horizontal land which will be act as water logging areas during the rainy seasons and may cause the breeding of the vectors in the area.</p> <p>2. Uncontrolled digging of approved Burrow pits in the areas will be avoided to prevent water accumulation which results in breeding of disease causing vectors in the area.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
11	Occupation Health and Safety	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Labourers shall be equipped with proper safety gears like helmets, gloves and gum boots. 2. Periodic health checkup of construction workers. 		Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3(i)	-
12	Basic amenities and sanitation facilities for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate sanitary facilities shall be provided to the workers to avoid health related problems. Sanitation waste from workers camp will not be diverted to water bodies. • Periodic health checkup of labourers shall be done. • Contractor to prepare, CRDA's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the workers camp and other working sites, which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc. • Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected. • Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members. An amount of Rs. 1 Lakh per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00
13	Fuel for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate supply of fuel (LPG/Kerosene) shall be provided to the labourers to avoid felling of trees for cooking and other domestic chores. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
14	Prevention of erosion and scouring	<p>Stabilizing the embankment with appropriate technique immediately after placing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The high embankment slopes near to the major bridges are washed out or weakened and the same shall be strengthened. Treating high embankment slopes with rip rap, stone pitching or other technologies to prevent erosion. Construction of toe drain all along the road on both sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Concerned local department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
15	Drainage system	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 2 major bridge and 12 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in N4 and 2 major bridge and 7 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in N14 are proposed for Package 3 to allow the free flow of the natural drainage water in the area. Construction of toe drain along the road on both the sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
16	Conservation of Eco-resources	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To preserve the forests, earth borrowing, piling, and building temporary camps are prohibited in forests lands. Arable lands should not be used as earth 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>borrowing whenever possible. If needed, the topsoil (30cm) should be kept and refilled after construction is over to minimize the impact on ecosystem and agriculture.</p> <p>3. Construction vehicles should run at temporary accesses to avoid damaging arable lands and cattle-raising lands.</p>					
17	Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures	<p>1. Loss of water spread area due to the project 110205.73 Cum from 15 small & medium farm ponds within alignment and enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc.</p> <p>2. Beautification of lakes/ farm ponds of 22 nos. present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW).</p>	Monthly	ADC	Minor Irrigation/ Archaeological/ Endowments/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 & 193.41 (for enhancement of water spread area)</p> <p>110 (for beautification of lakes/ farm ponds)</p>
18	Communications and Transportation	<p>1. Local materials should be used as much as possible so as to avoid long distance transportation that of earth and stone.</p> <p>2. If there are traffic jammed during construction, measures should be taken to move the jam with the coordination of transportation and public security department.</p> <p>3. Temporary access should be built at the interchange of the urban roads and other</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Transport Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>roads.</p> <p>4. Passing time on Urban Roads will be limited, similar measures will also be applied to roads with traffic jams.</p> <p>5. Materials may be delivery in advance in relatively leisurely season of traffic.</p> <p>6. A transportation plan of materials will be formulated to avoid delivered of them at peak hours on existing roads.</p>					
19	Rain Water Harvesting	<p>1. The package stretch was considered about 14.99 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc.</p> <p>2. There are 60 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m.</p> <p>3. The budget provision for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 8.99 Lakhs.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	GW Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 8.99
20	Utilization of Fly Ash	<p>1. In the proposed project, There are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly Ash in the project has to be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly ash Notification 2007 and the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /APPCB	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>earth required for filling has to be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges.</p> <p>2. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the seven roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the seven roads. It is not feasible to use fly ash in the 10 Priority roads with out embankments</p>					
21	Surplus earth/ Muck disposal and C&D waste	<p>1. The quantity of muck /surplus earth generated in the project is 264308.03 Cum in N4 and 365590.71 cum in N14. The muck generated mostly consists of expansive black cotton soil. Hence its reuse for project is not recommended. The excess muck will be disposed off in identified locations.</p> <p>2. The C&D waste generated in this package is 1831 Cum. It will be disposed in the dump site identified for C&D waste disposal near Tadepalli and this is designated dump site as identified in master plan as discussed in Section 7.3.4</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 550.86
22	Energy efficient initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrating solar energy generation right from the project planning and design stage for the project to be sustainable is essential. Solar LED lights to be proposed wherever its possible. Measures to conserve energy include but not limited to the following: 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1079.19

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of energy efficient motors and pumps, • Use of energy efficient lighting, • Adequate and uniform illumination level at construction sites suitable for the task, • Proper size and length of cables/ wires to match the rating of equipment, • Use of energy efficient air conditioner. <p>The contractor shall design site offices for maximum daylight and minimum heat gain. The rooms shall be well insulated to enhance the efficiency of air conditioners and the use of solar films on windows may be used where feasible.</p> <p>574 (N4) & 662 (N14) single arm Solar/ Energy efficient LED streetlights are proposed</p>					
23	Oil & Grease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • oils and greases and waste are likely to be generated from cleaning of vehicles near workers camp, oil & grease removal tank having size of 1.5x1.5x1.2 m shall be installed at initial stage of effluent treatments and it is suggested to use Green-seal certified bio-degradable cleaning solvents for cleaning of vehicles at camp sites 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
OPERATION PHASE							
1	Air Quality	1. Monitor periodically the AAQ at suggested locations.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Developing road side vegetation for pollutant sinking. 3. Enforcing different control measures to minimise pollution. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 4 locations of the project in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.60 Lakhs shall be allotted for monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/Engineer In-charge. 5. Public will be educated about the regulations on air pollution and noise of vehicles. 			Control Board /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC		0.60 (for air quality monitoring)
2	Road safety and traffic management	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prepare and administer a monitoring system on road accidents. 2. Adequate number of road signs with clear visibility shall be installed. 3. In case of spill of hazardous materials, report to the relevant departments at once and deal with it in accordance with the emergency plan. 4. Drivers and Public will be educated about the Safety regulations. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC/Traffic Police dept.	GCC 15.2.2	-
3	Noise level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provision of noise barriers (earthen berms/thick green belt development) has been proposed at sensitive areas and other noise prone areas. 2. Periodic monitoring of ambient noise levels at suggested locations 3. Erecting sign boards at sensitive and residential locations, prohibiting the use of 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.18 (for noise monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>air horns. Particularly near schools, temples and hospitals.</p> <p>4. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at 4 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.18 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.</p> <p>5. There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the package as per IRC-SP-21: 2011. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 14,960 nos. either side of the road to control noise levels.</p>					
4	Soil characteristics	<p>1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at specified distance from the corridor for assessing soil contamination by vehicular emissions. The analyzed samples shall be compared with the baseline values monitored at 4 locations along the Project corridor.</p> <p>2. An amount of 0.48 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring (4 stations) during operational phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.48
5	Water Quality	<p>1. As part of sewerage master plan, 13 STPs are proposed covering 29 villages of Amaravati Capital City area. So, the high BOD levels are not envisaged once the STPs are functional.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Periodic monitoring of water quality at suggested sensitive locations (7 locations + 7 WQM locations) of the package. 3. An amount of 1.68 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during operation phase (of 1 year), once in 3 months other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 			& Environment of APCRDA/ADC		& 1.68
6	Maintenance of road side plantations	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package 3 of Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 195 (N4) and 132 (N14) nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package 3 of Priority roads (7 roads). Hence, 14,960 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc. 2. <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. 3. Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 14.99 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 666 nos. of plants (only two rows are proposed since BRT is planned in the remaining space in future) per kilometer for 14.99 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 10,323 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests Department/Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 185.73

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		Coronaria etc. 4. Employment of local people for the maintenance of plantation along the corridor					
7	Maintenance of Drainage System	1. The drainage system will be periodically cleared so as to ensure water flow.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

Table 8-103 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package 4 (E10+E14+N16)

S.	Project	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation	Supervision	Relevant	Relevant
----	---------	--------------------	-----------	----------------	-------------	----------	----------

No	related Issues			n Agency	Agency	Contract Conditions	BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
DESIGN PHASE							
1.	Alignment	The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for the Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads) is 7.81 Kms (E10), 7.33 Kms (E14) and 8.77 Kms (N16) with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	Passageways are designed/widened for road development work to meet the needs of the local residents and vehicles.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
3.	Soil Erosion	In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	No cultural relics present in the corridor of impact.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-
5.	Flood	Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. 1 major bridge and 14 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E10, 0 major bridge and 17 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E14 and 1 major bridge and 12 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E10 are proposed for Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads) to allow the free flow of the natural flood water in the area.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-

6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme the majority of the land was already acquired and no major issues of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures. 	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-
CONSTRUCTION PHASE							
1	Tree plantation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare an action plan for about 62 (E10), 322 (E14) and 105 (N16) nos. of trees to be felled along the Package 4 of the Priority roads (7 roads) in the project stretch. An amount of Rs. 19.56 Lakhs provision is made in EMP for felling of trees in Package 4. There are 1467 nos. of trees need to be planted as per the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof. Intimate forest department before cutting trees. Prepare action plan for avenue and median plantation. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 62 (E10), 322 (E14) and 105 (N16) nos. of trees that are affected due to the proposed Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads). Hence, 23,583 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc. <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 23.63 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 666 nos. of plants (two rows are proposed since BRT is planned in the remaining space in future) per kilometer 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with the Forest dept.	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 & 19.56 (Felling of trees)</p> <p>291.56 (Avenue and Median plantation)</p>

		<p>for 23.63 Kms. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 15,924 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget allocation for avenue and median plantation is Rs. 2.91 crores for Implementation. 					
2	Borrow pits and Quarry sites (stone / sand).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas and 2 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for APCRDA for the project by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP Assuming sand quarries of 6 nos. one for each package in the project. Borrow areas shall be carried out upto depth of 2.0m in non-cultivable & elevated lands, 0.45 m in productive lands etc. with a slope of not steeper than 1 vertical to 4 horizontal. Borrow areas shall be away from the 15m of the 2 nos. of water bodies identified in the project. Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits utilized in the project shall be done duly adopting Borrow areas shall be rehabilitated/ mitigation measures to be taken duly adopting the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc., An amount of Rs. 4.00 Lakh provision is made in the EMP for each borrow area 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC/ Mining & Geology Department, GoAP/ CE, APCRDA/ CE, ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00
3	Site for storage and construction camp.	The location of the Campsite shall be selected by the Contractor duly confirming the labour laws.	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

4	Sewerage and solid waste disposal.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proper sanitation facilities (bio-toilets) at the construction workers camp shall be provided 2. Domestic refuse shall be collected separately for bio-degradable waste as well as the inert waste and the same shall be sent for the disposal as per the MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000. 3. It is envisaged that approximate 300 – 500 Kg of domestic solid waste (300 – 500 gm/person) per day will be generated from the workers camps which will be disposed of to the nearest solid waste disposal sites as discussed above. 	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Labour department / Health department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 10.00
5	Traffic management	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Secure assistance from local police for traffic control during the construction. 2. Safety measures shall also be undertaken by installing road signs and markings for safe and smooth movement of traffic. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3 (i)	-
6	Air Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are five residential categories locations monitored in the study area and the air quality concentrations are found to be well within the CPCP Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6. 2. During construction, a good number of trucks will carry the construction material for which emission of air pollutants will increase. We should see that all the vehicles deployed for construction of the project will have to keep "Pollution Under Control" certificates. DG sets will also emit air pollutants in the area during construction period. The emission generated during construction will be 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.90 (for Air quality monitoring)

		<p>temporary and localized in nature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Vehicles carrying construction material shall be covered to avoid spilling. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 6 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.90 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during construction period of 1 years, once in a season (other than monsoon season). All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. Hot mixing plant shall be over 500m away from residential neighborhood and 300m away from the road. 6. Mixing equipment shall be seated and equipped with dust removal device. 7. Water will be sprinkled in morning and evening hours at the construction yards and the unpaved sections of the road. 					
7	Noise level	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are three commercial and five residential categories monitored in the study area and the monitored levels found to be slightly higher side for only three stations when compared with the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6. 2. Stationary equipment shall be placed as far as possible from residential areas to minimise noise impacts on the near inhabitants. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.27 (for noise quality monitoring)

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Noise quality monitoring shall be carried out at 6 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.27 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during construction period of 1 years, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 4. Construction activities will be strictly prohibited between 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. near habitation 5. Provision of ear plugs to workers exposed to high noise levels in the project who work in batch mix plants, hot mix plants, quarries etc. 					
8	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are eight nos. of surface water quality samples and six nos. of ground water quality samples monitored in the package. The surface water quality is found to be satisfactory and matching with the IS:2296 Class C Standards. 2. The ground water quality is found to be satisfactory. During construction the water quality shall be monitored and take appropriate mitigation measures as given in Chapter-6. 3. Slightly high BOD is reported at Tank near Penumaka (20) in Package -IV and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources 4. It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is workers camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68 (for water quality monitoring)

		<p>deterioration of BOD</p> <p>5. Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure (sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) with in villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged</p> <p>6. Prior permission of the concerned engineer and regulatory authorities shall be taken regarding the discharge or disposal.</p>					
8	Water Quality	<p>7. Water quality monitoring shall be carried out at 12 locations + 2 WQM locations of the package and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 1.68 Lakhs shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction period of 1 years, once in a season other than monsoon. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.</p> <p>8. Soil laden run off will not be diverted to water bodies. Provision of waste disposal site for waste from construction and storage yards shall be made.</p> <p>9. Vehicle maintenance and refueling will be confined to areas under construction yard to trap discarded lubricant and fuel spills.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68 (for water quality monitoring)
9	Soil quality	<p>1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at suggested sensitive locations (6 locations) in the package 4.</p> <p>2. An amount of 0.72 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring during construction phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.72

		locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge.					
10	Water logging and stagnation of water in the Borrow pits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The majority of the project stretch is plain & horizontal land which will be act as water logging areas during the rainy seasons and may cause the breeding of the vectors in the area. 2. Uncontrolled digging of approved Burrow pits in the areas will be avoided to prevent water accumulation which results in breeding of disease causing vectors in the area. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
11	Occupation Health and Safety	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Labourers shall be equipped with proper safety gears like helmets, gloves and gum boots. 2. Periodic health checkup of construction workers. 		Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3(i)	-
12	Basic amenities and sanitation facilities for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate sanitary facilities shall be provided to the workers to avoid health related problems. Sanitation waste from workers camp will not be diverted to water bodies. • Periodic health checkup of labourers shall be done. • Contractor to prepare, CRDA's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the workers camp and other working sites, which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc. • Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected. Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members. An amount of Rs. 1 Lakh per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

13	Fuel for labourers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate supply of fuel (LPG/Kerosene) shall be provided to the labourers to avoid felling of trees for cooking and other domestic chores. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
14	Prevention of erosion and scouring	<p>Stabilizing the embankment with appropriate technique immediately after placing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The high embankment slopes near to the major bridges are washed out or weakened and the same shall be strengthened. Treating high embankment slopes with rip rap, stone pitching or other technologies to prevent erosion. Construction of toe drain all along the road on both sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Concerned local department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
15	Drainage system	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate care has been taken for the purpose of free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 1 major bridge and 14 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E10, 0 major bridge and 17 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E14 and 1 major bridge and 12 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) in E10 that are proposed in the project to allow the free flow of the natural drainage water in the area. Construction of toe drain along the road on both the sides. Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

16	Conservation of Eco-resources	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To preserve the forests, earth borrowing, piling, and building temporary camps are prohibited in forests lands. 2. Arable lands should not be used as earth borrowing whenever possible. If needed, the topsoil (30cm) should be kept and refilled after construction is over to minimize the impact on ecosystem and agriculture. 3. Construction vehicles should run at temporary accesses to avoid damaging arable lands and cattle-raising lands. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
17	Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Loss of water spread area due to the project 1990.47 Cum from 7 farm ponds within alignment and enhancement of equivalent water spread area is proposed in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc. 2. Beautification of lakes/ farm ponds of 38 nos. present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW). 	Monthly	ADC	Minor Irrigation/ Archaeological/ Endowments/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 3.49 (for enhancement of water spread area) 190 (for beautification of lakes/ farm ponds)
18	Communications and Transportation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Local materials should be used as much as possible so as to avoid long distance transportation that of earth and stone. 2. If there are traffic jammed during construction, measures should be taken to move the jam with the coordination of transportation and public security department. 3. Temporary access should be built at the interchange of the urban roads and other 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Transport Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

		<p>roads.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Passing time on Urban Roads will be limited, similar measures will also be applied to roads with traffic jams. 5. Materials may be delivery in advance in relatively leisurely season of traffic. 6. A transportation plan of materials will be formulated to avoid delivered of them at peak hours on existing roads. 					
19	Rain Water Harvesting	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The package stretch was considered about 23.630 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc. 2. There are 95 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m. 3. The budget provision for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 14.17 Lakhs. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	GW Department /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 14.17
20	Utilization of Fly Ash	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the proposed project, There are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly Ash in the Package 4 has to be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly ash Notification 2007 and the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of earth required for filling has to be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges. 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /APPCB	GCC 15.2.2	-

		<p>2. As per the designs, he proposed pavement crust for all the seven roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the seven roads. It is not feasible to use fly ash in the 10 Priority roads with out embankments</p>					
21	Surplus earth/ Muck disposal and C&D waste	<p>1. The quantity of muck /surplus earth generated in the project is 390988.9Cum in E10 and 366528.74in E14 and 438391.33 in N16. The muck generated mostly consists of expansive black cotton soil. Hence its reuse for project is not recommended. The excess muck will be disposed off in identified locations.</p> <p>2. The C&D waste generated in this package is 474.15 Cum. It will be disposed in the dump site identified for C&D waste disposal near Tadepalli and this is designated dump site as identified in master plan as discussed in Section 7.3.4</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 & 1004..81(for muck/surplus earth)</p> <p>0.39 (for C&D waste disposal)</p>
22	Energy efficient initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrating solar energy generation right from the project planning and design stage for the project to be sustainable is essential. Solar LED lights to be proposed wherever its possible. • Measures to conserve energy include but not limited to the following: • Use of energy efficient motors and pumps, • Use of energy efficient lighting, • Adequate and uniform illumination level at construction sites suitable for the task, • Proper size and length of cables/ wires to 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1674.36

		<p>match the rating of equipment,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of energy efficient air conditioner. <p>The contractor shall design site offices for maximum daylight and minimum heat gain. The rooms shall be well insulated to enhance the efficiency of air conditioners and the use of solar films on windows may be used where feasible.</p> <p>626 (E10), 588 (E14) and 703 (N16) nos. of single arm Solar/ Energy efficient LED streetlights are proposed</p>					
23	Oil & Grease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • oils and greases and waste are likely to be generated from cleaning of vehicles near workers camp, oil & grease removal tank having size of 1.5x1.5x1.2 m shall be installed at initial stage of effluent treatments and it is suggested to use Green-seal certified bio-degradable cleaning solvents for cleaning of vehicles at camp sites 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
OPERATION PHASE							
1	Air Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Monitor periodically the AAQ at suggested locations. 2. Developing road side vegetation for pollutant sinking. 3. Enforcing different control measures to minimise pollution. 4. Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 6 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.90 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board /Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.90 (for air quality monitoring)

		Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. Public will be educated about the regulations on air pollution and noise of vehicles.					
2	Road safety and traffic management	1. Prepare and administer a monitoring system on road accidents. 2. Adequate number of road signs with clear visibility shall be installed. 3. In case of spill of hazardous materials, report to the relevant departments at once and deal with it in accordance with the emergency plan. 4. Drivers and Public will be educated about the Safety regulations.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC/Traffic Police dept.	GCC 15.2.2	-
3	Noise level	1. Provision of noise barriers (earthen berms/thick green belt development) has been proposed at sensitive areas and other noise prone areas. 2. Periodic monitoring of ambient noise levels at suggested locations 3. Erecting sign boards at sensitive and residential locations, prohibiting the use of air horns. Particularly near schools, temples and hospitals. 4. Noise monitoring shall be carried out at 6 locations of the project in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.27 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 5. There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2011. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 23,583 nos. either side of the road to control noise levels.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.27 (for noise monitoring)

4	Soil characteristics	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Periodic monitoring of soil quality at specified distance from the corridor for assessing soil contamination by vehicular emissions. The analyzed samples shall be compared with the baseline values monitored at 4 locations along the Project corridor. 2. An amount of 0.72 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring (6 stations) during operational phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.72
5	Water Quality	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As part of sewerage master plan, 13 STPs are proposed covering 29 villages of Amaravati Capital City area. So, the high BOD levels are not envisaged once the STPs are functional. 2. Periodic monitoring of water quality at suggested sensitive locations (12 locations + 2 WQM locations) in the package 4 3. An amount of 1.68 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during operation phase (of 1 year), once in 3 months other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Environmental Expert/ Engineer In-charge. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68
6	Maintenance of road side plantations	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads) as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 62 (E10), 322 (E14) and 105 (N16) nos. of trees that are affected due to the proposed Package 4 of Priority roads (7 roads). Hence, 23,583 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests Department/Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 291.56

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. <i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized. 3. Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 23.63 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provisions of 666 nos. of plants (two rows are proposed since BRT is planned in the remaining space in future) per kilometer for 23.63 Kms. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 15,924 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc. 4. Employment of local people for the maintenance of plantation along the corridor 					
7	Maintenance of Drainage System	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The drainage system will be periodically cleared so as to ensure water flow. 	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

Table 8-104 EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -V (E6)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
DESIGN PHASE							
1.	Alignment	The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for the Package V of proposed roads in the project is 9.84 Km with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	Passageways are designed/widened for road development work to meet the needs of the residents and vehicles.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
3.	Soil Erosion	In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	No cultural relics present in the corridor of Impact.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-
5.	Flood	Adequate care has been taken for free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 4 bridges and 19 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed for Package V of the proposed 10 roads in the project to allow the free flow of the natural	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		flood water in the area.					
6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme most the land was already acquired and no major issues of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures.	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-
CONSTRUCTION PHASE							
1	Tree plantation	Prepare an action plan for about 85 nos. of trees to be felled along the Package V of the proposed roads in the project stretch. An amount of Rs. 3.4 Lakhs provision is made in EMP for felling of trees in Package V. There are 255 nos. of trees need to be planted as per the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof. Intimate forest department before cutting trees. Prepare action plan for avenue and median plantation. <i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package V of Proposed roads (E6) of Project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 85 nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package V of proposed roads of the project. Hence, 9513 nos. of trees are	Monthly	Contractor/Concessio naire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with the Forest dept.	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 3.4 (Felling of trees) 118.067 (Avenue and Median Plantation)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., <i>Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc.</i></p> <p><i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized.</p> <p>Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 9.84 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for two medians of 9.84 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 6553 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., <i>Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc.</i></p> <p>Budget allocation for avenue and median plantation is Rs. 118.067 Lakhs or 1.18 crores for Implementation.</p>					
2	Borrow pits and Quarry sites (stone / sand).	<p>10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas and 2 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for APCRDA for the project by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP</p> <p>Assuming sand quarries of 6 nos. one for each package in the project.</p> <p>Borrow areas shall be carried out upto</p>	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC / Mining & Geology Department, GoAP/ CE, APCRDA/ CE, ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>depth of 2.0m in non-cultivable & elevated lands, 0.45 m in productive lands etc. with a slope of not steeper than 1 vertical to 4 horizontal.</p> <p>Borrow areas shall be away from the 15m of the 2 nos. of water bodies identified in the project.</p> <p>Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits utilized in the project shall be done duly adopting Borrow areas shall be rehabilitated/ mitigation measures to be taken duly adopting the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc., An amount of Rs. 1.00 Lakh provision is made in the EMP for each borrow area</p>					
3	Site for storage and construction camp.	The location of the Campsite shall be selected by the Contractor duly confirming the labor laws.	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
4	Sewerage and solid waste disposal.	<p>Proper sanitation facilities (bio-toilets) at the construction workers camp shall be provided</p> <p>Domestic refuse shall be collected separately for bio-degradable waste as well as the inert waste and the same shall be sent for the</p>	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Labour department / Health department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 10

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>disposal as per the MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000.</p> <p>It is envisaged that approximate 300 – 500 Kg of domestic solid waste (300 – 500 gm/person) per day will be generated from the workers camps which will be disposed of to the nearest solid waste disposal sites as discussed above.</p>					
5	Traffic management	<p>Secure assistance from local police for traffic control during the construction.</p> <p>Safety measures shall also be undertaken by installing road signs and markings for safe and smooth movement of traffic.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 62 (c)	-
6	Air Quality	<p>There are 2 locations monitored in the study area and the air quality concentrations are found to be well within the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6.</p> <p>During construction, a good number of trucks will carry the construction material for which emission of air pollutants will increase. We should see that all the vehicles deployed for</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.30 (for Air quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>construction of the project should keep "Pollution Under Control" certificates. DG sets will also emit air pollutants in the area during construction period. The emission generated during construction will be temporary and localized in nature.</p> <p>Vehicles carrying construction material shall be covered to avoid spilling.</p> <p>Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.30 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season (other than monsoon season). All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC.</p> <p>Hot mixing plant shall be over 500m away from residential neighborhood and 300m away from the road.</p> <p>Mixing equipment shall be seated and equipped with dust removal device.</p> <p>Water will be sprinkled in morning and evening hours at the construction yards and the unpaved sections of the road.</p>					

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
7	Noise level	<p>There is one commercial and seven residential categories monitored in the study area and the monitored levels found to be slightly higher side for only three stations when compared with the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6.</p> <p>Stationary equipment shall be placed as far as possible from residential areas to minimise noise impacts on the near inhabitants.</p> <p>Noise quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.09 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Construction activities will be strictly prohibited between 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. near habitation</p> <p>Provision of ear plugs to workers exposed to high noise levels in the project who work in batch mix plants, hot mix plants, quarries etc.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.09 (for noise quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
8	Water Quality	<p>There are 1 no. of surface water quality samples and 2 nos. of ground water quality samples monitored in the project. The surface water quality is found to be satisfactory and matching with the IS:2296 Class C Standards. The ground water quality is found to be satisfactory. During construction, the water quality shall be monitored and take appropriate mitigation measures as given in Chapter-6.</p> <p>Slightly high BOD is reported at Pond near Nelapadu (14) in Package -V and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources</p> <p>Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure (sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) within villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged</p> <p>It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is worker camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further deterioration of BOD</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.84 (for water quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Prior permission of the concerned engineer and regulatory authorities shall be taken regarding the discharge or disposing of any material arising from the execution of the works.</p> <p>During construction, it will be ensured that contractor does not dispose debris in water bodies.</p> <p>Water quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 ground water samples + 1 surface water and 4 WQM locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.84 Lakhs shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC.</p> <p>Soil laden run off will not be diverted to water bodies. Provision of waste disposal site for waste from construction and storage yards shall be made.</p> <p>Vehicle maintenance and refueling will be confined to areas under construction yard to trap discarded lubricant and fuel spills.</p>					
9	Soil quality	Periodic monitoring of soil quality at suggested sensitive locations (2 locations) of Package V	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		An amount of 0.24 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC			Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC		0.24
10	Water logging and stagnation of water in the Borrow pits	Most of the project stretch is plain & horizontal land which will be act as water logging areas during the rainy seasons and may cause the breeding of the vectors in the area. Uncontrolled digging of approved Burrow pits in the areas will be avoided to prevent water accumulation which results in breeding of disease causing vectors in the area.	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
11	Occupation Health and Safety	Labourers shall be equipped with proper safety gears like helmets, gloves and gum boots. Periodic health checkup of construction workers.		Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3 (i)	-
12	Basic amenities and sanitation facilities for labourers	Adequate sanitary facilities shall be provided to the workers to avoid health related problems. Sanitation waste from worker's camp will not be diverted to water bodies. Periodic health checkup of labourers shall be done.	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Contractor to prepare, CRDA's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the worker's camp and other working sites, which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc.</p> <p>Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected.</p> <p>Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members. An amount of Rs. 1 Lakh per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well.</p>					
13	Fuel for labourers	Adequate supply of fuel (LPG/Kerosene) shall be provided to the labourers to avoid felling of trees for cooking and other domestic chores.	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
14	Prevention of erosion and scouring	<p>Stabilizing the embankment with appropriate technique immediately after placing.</p> <p>The high embankment slopes near to the major bridges are washed out or weaken and the same shall be strengthened.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Concerned local department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Treating high embankment slopes with rip rap, stone pitching or other technologies to prevent erosion.</p> <p>Construction of toe drain all along the road on both sides.</p> <p>Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling.</p>					
15	Drainage system	<p>Adequate care has been taken for free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 4 bridges and 19 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) proposed in the project to allow the free flow of the natural drainage water in the area.</p> <p>Construction of toe drain along the road on both the sides.</p> <p>Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
16	Conservation of Eco-resources	<p>To preserve the forests, earth borrowing, piling, and building temporary camps are prohibited in forests lands.</p> <p>Arable lands should not be used as earth borrowing whenever possible. If needed, the topsoil (30cm) should be kept and refilled after construction is over to minimize the impact on</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>ecosystem and agriculture.</p> <p>Construction vehicles should run at temporary accesses to avoid damaging arable lands and cattle-raising lands.</p>					
17	Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures	<p>Loss of water spread area due to the project 11703.74 m³ from 8 farm ponds within alignment and enhancement of equivalent water spread area in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc.</p> <p>Beautification of lakes/ tanks/ farm ponds of 8 nos. present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW).</p>	Monthly	ADC	Minor Irrigation/ Archaeological/ Endowments/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>20.54 (for enhancement of water spread area)</p> <p>40 (for beautification of lakes/ tanks/ farm ponds)</p>
18	Communications and Transportation	<p>Local materials should be used as much as possible to avoid long distance transportation that of earth and stone.</p> <p>If there are traffic jammed during construction, measures should be taken to move the jam with the coordination of transportation and public security department.</p> <p>Temporary access should be built at the interchange of the urban road and other roads.</p> <p>Passing time on Urban Roads will be limited, similar measures will also be</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Transport Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>applied to roads with traffic jams.</p> <p>Materials may be delivery in advance in relatively leisurely season of traffic.</p> <p>A transportation plan of materials will be formulated to avoid delivered of them at peak hours on existing roads.</p>					
19	Rain Water Harvesting	<p>The package stretch was considered about 9.84 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc.</p> <p>There are 38 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m.</p> <p>The budget provision for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 5.72 Lakhs.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	GW Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 5.72
20	Utilization of Fly Ash	<p>1. In the proposed project, there are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly Ash in the project must be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly Ash Notification 2007 and</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /APPCB	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of earth required for filling should be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges.</p> <p>2. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the proposed 10 roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the proposed roads. It is not feasible to use fly ash in the proposed roads without embankments.</p>					
21	Surplus earth/ Muck disposal and C&D waste	<p>1. The quantity of muck /surplus earth generated in the project is 1093818.23 Cum. The muck generated mostly consists of expansive black cotton soil. Hence its reuse for project is not recommended. The excess muck will be disposed off in identified locations.</p> <p>2. The C&D waste generated in this package is 686.28 Cum. It will be disposed in the dump site identified for C&D waste disposal near Tadepalli and this is the designated dumpsite as identified in master plan as discussed in Section 7.3.4</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 919.38
22	Energy efficient initiatives	Integrating solar energy generation right from the project planning and design stage for the project to be sustainable is essential. Solar LED lights to be proposed	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>wherever its possible.</p> <p>Measures to conserve energy include but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of energy efficient motors and pumps, • Use of energy efficient lighting, • Adequate and uniform illumination level at construction sites suitable for the task, • Proper size and length of cables/ wires to match the rating of equipment, • Use of energy efficient air conditioner. <p>The contractor shall design site offices for maximum daylight and minimum heat gain. The rooms shall be well insulated to enhance the efficiency of air conditioners and the use of solar films on windows may be used where feasible.</p>					
23	Oil & Grease	Oils and greases are likely to be generated from cleaning of vehicles near workers camp, oil & grease removal tank having size of 1.5x1.5x1.2 m shall be installed at initial stage of effluent treatments and it is suggested to use Green-seal	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		certified bio-degradable cleaning solvents for cleaning of vehicles at camp sites					
OPERATION PHASE							
1	Air Quality	<p>Monitor periodically the AAQ at suggested locations.</p> <p>Developing road side vegetation for pollutant sinking.</p> <p>Enforcing different control measures to minimize pollution.</p> <p>Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.30 Lakhs shall be allotted for monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Public will be educated about the regulations on air pollution and noise of vehicles.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.30 (for air quality monitoring)
2	Road safety and traffic management	<p>Prepare and administer a monitoring system on road accidents.</p> <p>Adequate number of road signs with</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /Traffic Police dept.	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>clear visibility shall be installed.</p> <p>In case of spill of hazardous materials, report to the relevant departments at once and deal with it in accordance with the emergency plan.</p> <p>Drivers and Public will be educated about the Safety regulations.</p>					
3	Noise level	<p>Provision of noise barriers (earthen berms/thick green belt development) has been proposed at sensitive areas and other noise prone areas.</p> <p>Periodic monitoring of ambient noise levels at suggested locations</p> <p>Erecting sign boards at sensitive and residential locations, prohibiting the use of air horns. Particularly near schools, temples and hospitals.</p> <p>Noise monitoring shall be carried out at 2 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.09 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.09 (for noise monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2011. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 9513 nos. either side of the road to control noise levels.					
4	Soil characteristics	<p>Periodic monitoring of soil quality at specified distance from the corridor for assessing soil contamination by vehicular emissions. The analyzed samples shall be compared with the baseline values monitored at 8 locations along the Project corridor.</p> <p>An amount of 0.24 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring (2 stations) during operational phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.24
5	Water Quality	<p>Periodic monitoring of water quality at suggested sensitive locations (1 SW locations + 2 GW + 4 WQM locations) in Package V</p> <p>As part of sewerage master plan, 13 STPs are proposed covering 29 villages of Amaravati Capital City area. So, the high BOD levels are not envisaged once the STPs are functional.</p> <p>An amount of Rs. 0.84 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during operation phase (of 1 year),</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.84

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		once in 3 months other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC.					
6	Maintenance of road side plantations	<p><i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package V of proposed 10 roads of Project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 85 nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package V of proposed 10 roads of the project. Hence, 9513 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., <i>Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc.</i></p> <p><i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized.</p> <p>Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 9.84 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for two medians of 9.84 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 6553 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., <i>Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc.</i></p> <p>Employment of local people for the maintenance of plantation along the</p>	Quarterly	Contractor/Concessionaire	Forests Department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 118.067

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		corridor.					
7	Maintenance of Drainage System	The drainage system will be periodically cleared to ensure water flow.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

Table 8-105 : EMP: Implementation Schedule with Supervising Organization/ Authority for Package -VI

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
DESIGN PHASE							
1.	Alignment	The new alignment is proposed in the Capital City of Andhra Pradesh. The proposed length for the Package VI of the proposed (N11, E12) in the project is 15.44 Kms with a Right of Way of 50m. The land required for this project is falling under Land Pooling Scheme of APCRDA.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
2.	Interference on People	Passageways are designed/widened for road development work to meet the needs of the residents and vehicles.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
3.	Soil Erosion	In slopes and suitable places along the corridor of impact, bush grass will be planted, and retaining wall, water intercepting ditches, and masonry rubble will be built to prevent soil erosion. Temporary and permanent drainage systems are designed to minimize the soil erosion and the impact on irrigation canals.	Monthly	DPR Consultant	AP Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA)	-	-
4.	Cultural Relics	No cultural relics present in the corridor of Impact.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / Archaeological dept.	-	-
5.	Flood	Adequate care has been taken for free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 2 bridges and 47 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed for Package VI in the project to allow the free flow of the natural flood water in the area.	Quarterly	DPR Consultant	AP CRDA / I & CAD Department	-	-
6.	Preparation of feasible land acquisition plan	Under APCRDA Land Polling Scheme most of the land was already acquired and no major issues of land acquisition is envisaged. Prepare and administer land use control measures.	Quarterly	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	AP CRDA, Revenue Department in consultation with the affected people	-	-
CONSTRUCTION PHASE							

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
1	Tree Plantation	<p>Prepare an action plan for about 125 nos. of trees to be felled along the Package VI of the proposed roads (E12, N11) in the project stretch. An amount of Rs. 5 Lakhs provision is made in EMP for felling of trees in Package VI. There are 375 nos. of trees need to be planted as per the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 and subsequent amendments thereof.</p> <p>Intimate forest department before cutting trees. Prepare action plan for avenue and median plantation.</p> <p><i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package VI of the proposed roads (N11, E12) of Project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 125 nos. of trees affected due to the proposed Package VI) of the project. Hence, 14855 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., <i>Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc.</i></p> <p><i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized.</p> <p>Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 15.44 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for two medians of 15.44 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around</p>	Monthly	Contractor/Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC in consultation with the Forest dept.	GCC 15.2.2	<p>Sr. No. 9 &</p> <p>5 (Felling of trees)</p> <p>184.52 (Avenue and Median Plantation)</p>

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>10283 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., <i>Bougainvillea</i>, <i>Nerium Oleander</i>, <i>Thevitia Nerifolia</i>, <i>Tabernaemontana Coronaria</i> etc.</p> <p>Budget allocation for avenue and median plantation is Rs.184.52 Lakhs or 1.84 crores for Implementation.</p>					
2	Borrow pits and Quarry sites (stone / sand).	<p>10 nos. of operational licensed borrow areas and 2 nos. of operational licensed metal quarries are allotted for APCRDA for the project by Department of Mines & Geology, GoAP</p> <p>Assuming 6 sand quarries with, one for each package in the project.</p> <p>Borrow areas shall be carried out upto depth of 2.0m in non-cultivable & elevated lands, 0.45 m in productive lands etc. with a slope of not steeper than 1 vertical to 4 horizontal.</p> <p>Borrow areas shall be away from the 15m of the 2 nos. of water bodies identified in the project.</p> <p>Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits utilized in the project shall be done duly adopting Borrow areas shall be rehabilitated/ mitigation measures to be taken duly adopting the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 for activities of borrowing/ excavation of</p>	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC / Mining & Geology Department, GoAP/ CE, APCRDA/ CE, ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 4.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of construction of roads, embankments etc., An amount of Rs. 1.00 Lakh provision is made in the EMP for each borrow area					
3	Site for storage and construction camp.	The location of the Campsite shall be selected by the Contractor duly confirming the labour laws.	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
4	Sewerage and solid waste disposal.	<p>Proper sanitation facilities (bio-toilets) at the construction workers camp shall be provided</p> <p>Domestic refuse shall be collected separately for bio-degradable waste as well as the inert waste and the same shall be sent for the disposal as per the MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000.</p> <p>It is envisaged that approximate 300 – 500 Kg of domestic solid waste (300 – 500 gm/person) per day will be generated from the workers camps which will be disposed of to the nearest solid waste disposal sites as discussed above.</p>	Monthly	Contractor / Concessionaire	Labour department / Health department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 10.00
5	Traffic management	<p>Secure assistance from local police for traffic control during the construction.</p> <p>Safety measures shall also be undertaken by installing road signs and</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 62 (c)	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		markings for safe and smooth movement of traffic.					
6	Air Quality	<p>There are 5 locations monitored in the study area and the air quality concentrations are found to be well within the CPCP Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6.</p> <p>During construction, a good number of trucks will carry the construction material for which emission of air pollutants will increase. We should see that all the vehicles deployed for construction of the project should keep "Pollution Under Control" certificates. DG sets will also emit air pollutants in the area during construction period. The emission generated during construction will be temporary and localized in nature.</p> <p>Vehicles carrying construction material shall be covered to avoid spilling.</p> <p>Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 5 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.75 Lakhs shall be allotted for air quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season (other than monsoon season). All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.75 (for Air quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Hot mixing plant shall be over 500m away from residential neighborhood and 300m away from the road.</p> <p>Mixing equipment shall be seated and equipped with dust removal device.</p> <p>Water will be sprinkled in morning and evening hours at the construction yards and the unpaved sections of the road.</p>					
7	Noise level	<p>There is one commercial, one industrial and five residential categories monitored in the study area and the monitored levels found to be slightly higher side for only three stations when compared with the CPCB Standards. The same shall be monitored during the construction phase of the project. Adequate measures shall be taken as per the mitigation measures suggested in the Chapter -6.</p> <p>Stationary equipment shall be placed as far as possible from residential areas to minimize noise impacts on the near inhabitants.</p> <p>Noise quality monitoring shall be carried out at 5 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.225 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.225 (for noise quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Construction activities will be strictly prohibited between 10.00 p.m. to 6.00 a.m. near habitation</p> <p>Provision of ear plugs to workers exposed to high noise levels in the project who work in batch mix plants, hot mix plants, quarries etc.</p>					
8	Water Quality	<p>There are 6 nos. of surface water quality samples and 5 nos. of ground water quality samples monitored in the project. The surface water quality is found to be satisfactory and matching with the IS:2296 Class C Standards. The ground water quality is found to be satisfactory. During construction, the water quality shall be monitored and take appropriate mitigation measures as given in Chapter-6.</p> <p>High BOD is reported at Kuragallu lake (20) and lake near Yerrabalem (16) in Package -VI and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources</p> <p>Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68 (for water quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>(sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) within villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged</p> <p>It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is worker camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further deterioration of BOD in Package -VI and this high value can be attributed to a village intervention close to water bodies. This could possibly be due to wastewater, cattle slurry, solid waste, domestic wastewater already joining into streams, tanks and other surface water sources</p> <p>Under SIIMP, the proposed project is already having provision for improvement of existing infrastructure (sewerage, storm water, solid waste and water supply) within villages premises, hence further deterioration of surface water is not envisaged</p> <p>It is envisaged the only source of contribution of BOD is worker camps. Already provision is made in EMP that bio-toilets and oil & grease tanks should be constructed for abatement of further deterioration of BOD</p> <p>Prior permission of the concerned engineer and regulatory authorities shall be taken regarding the discharge or disposing of any material arising from</p>					

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>the execution of the works.</p> <p>During construction, it will be ensured that contractor does not dispose debris in water bodies.</p> <p>Water quality monitoring shall be carried out at 5 ground water samples + 6 surface water and 3 WQM locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 1.68 Lakhs shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Soil laden run off will not be diverted to water bodies. Provision of waste disposal site for waste from construction and storage yards shall be made.</p> <p>Vehicle maintenance and refueling will be confined to areas under construction yard to trap discarded lubricant and fuel spills.</p>					
9	Soil quality	<p>Periodic monitoring of soil quality at suggested sensitive locations (5 locations) of Package VI</p> <p>An amount of 0.60 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during construction phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.60

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC					
10	Water logging and stagnation of water in the Borrow pits	<p>Most of the project stretch is plain & horizontal land which will be act as water logging areas during the rainy seasons and may cause the breeding of the vectors in the area.</p> <p>Uncontrolled digging of approved Burrow pits in the areas will be avoided to prevent water accumulation which results in breeding of disease causing vectors in the area.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
11	Occupation Health and Safety	<p>Labourers shall be equipped with proper safety gears like helmets, gloves and gum boots.</p> <p>Periodic health checkup of construction workers.</p>		Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 2.3 (i)	-
12	Basic amenities and sanitation facilities for labourers	<p>Adequate sanitary facilities shall be provided to the workers to avoid health related problems. Sanitation waste from worker's camp will not be diverted to water bodies.</p> <p>Periodic health checkup of labourers shall be done.</p> <p>Contractor to prepare, CRDA's approved detailed public health utilities plan for the worker's camp and other working sites,</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 2.00

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>which make adequate provision for safe disposal of all wastes and prevention of spillages, leakage of polluting materials etc.</p> <p>Contractor will be required to pay all costs associated with cleaning up any pollution caused by their activities and to pay full compensation to those affected.</p> <p>Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package 4 units*12 members. An amount of Rs. 1 Lakh per Bio-toilet provision is made in EMP and this include oil & grease removal tank costs as well.</p>					
13	Fuel for labourers	Adequate supply of fuel (LPG/Kerosene) shall be provided to the labourers to avoid felling of trees for cooking and other domestic chores.	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Labour department / Health Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
14	Prevention of erosion and scouring	<p>Stabilizing the embankment with appropriate technique immediately after placing.</p> <p>The high embankment slopes near to the major bridges are washed out or weaken and the same shall be strengthened.</p> <p>Treating high embankment slopes with rip rap, stone pitching or other technologies to prevent erosion.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Concerned local department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Construction of toe drain all along the road on both sides.</p> <p>Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling.</p>					
15	Drainage system	<p>Adequate care has been taken for free flow of flood discharge in the design stage itself. There are 2 bridges and 47 culverts (slab / box / pipe culverts) are proposed in the project to allow the free flow of the natural drainage water in the area.</p> <p>Construction of toe drain along the road on both the sides.</p> <p>Avoiding obstruction of existing drainage during filling.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
16	Conservation of Eco-resources	<p>To preserve the forests, earth borrowing, piling, and building temporary camps are prohibited in forests lands.</p> <p>Arable lands should not be used as earth borrowing whenever possible. If needed, the topsoil (30cm) should be kept and refilled after construction is over to minimize the impact on ecosystem and agriculture.</p> <p>Construction vehicles should run at temporary accesses to avoid damaging arable lands and cattle-raising lands.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Forests department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
17	Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures	<p>Loss of water spread area due to the project 4622 m³ from 12 farm ponds within alignment and enhancement of equivalent water spread area in the streams, canals, vagus of sub-project influence area viz., Kondaveeti vagu, Pala vagu, other streams etc.</p> <p>Beautification of lakes/ tanks/ farm ponds of 23 nos. present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW).</p>	Monthly	ADC	Minor Irrigation/ Archaeological/ Endowments/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	<p>8.14 (for enhancement of water spread area)</p> <p>115 (for beautification of lakes/ tanks/ farm ponds)</p>
18	Communications and Transportation	<p>Local materials should be used as much as possible to avoid long distance transportation that of earth and stone.</p> <p>If there are traffic jammed during construction, measures should be taken to move the jam with the coordination of transportation and public security department.</p> <p>Temporary access should be built at the interchange of the urban road and other roads.</p> <p>Passing time on Urban Roads will be limited, similar measures will also be applied to roads with traffic jams.</p> <p>Materials may be delivery in advance in relatively leisurely season of traffic.</p> <p>A transportation plan of materials will be formulated to avoid delivered of them at</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Transport Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		peak hours on existing roads.					
19	Rain Water Harvesting	<p>The package stretch was considered about 15.4 Km excluding settlements, bridge portions etc.</p> <p>There are 72 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m.</p> <p>The budget provision for the RWH structures are provided at the rate of Rs. 15000/pit and the total amount is estimated to be Rs. 8.87 Lakhs.</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	GW Department / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 8.87
20	Utilization of Fly Ash	<p>1. In the proposed project, there are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly Ash in the project should be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly Ash Notification 2007 and the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of earth required for filling should be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges.</p> <p>2. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the proposed</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /APPCB	GCC 15.2.2	-

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be					
21	Surplus earth/ Muck disposal	<p>1. The quantity of muck /surplus earth generated in the project is 400716.97 cum in N11 and 344801 Cum in E12. The muck generated mostly consists of expansive black cotton soil. Hence its reuse for project is not recommended. The excess muck will be disposed off in identified locations.</p> <p>2. The C&D waste generated in this package is 104.90 Cum. It will be disposed in the dump site identified for C&D waste disposal near Tadepalli and this is the designated dumpsite as identified in master plan as discussed in Section 7.3.4</p>	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 626.32
22	Energy efficient initiatives	<p>Integrating solar energy generation right from the project planning and design stage for the project to be sustainable is essential. Solar LED lights to be proposed wherever its possible.</p> <p>Measures to conserve energy include but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of energy efficient motors and pumps, • Use of energy efficient lighting, 	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate and uniform illumination level at construction sites suitable for the task, Proper size and length of cables/ wires to match the rating of equipment, Use of energy efficient air conditioner. <p>The contractor shall design site offices for maximum daylight and minimum heat gain. The rooms shall be well insulated to enhance the efficiency of air conditioners and the use of solar films on windows may be used where feasible.</p>					
23	Oil & Grease	Oils and greases are likely to be generated from cleaning of vehicles near worker's camp, oil & grease removal tank having size of 1.5x1.5x1.2 m shall be installed at initial stage of effluent treatments and it is suggested to use Green-seal certified bio-degradable cleaning solvents for cleaning of vehicles at camp sites	Monthly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-
OPERATION PHASE							
1	Air Quality	<p>Monitor periodically the AAQ at suggested locations.</p> <p>Developing road side vegetation for pollutant sinking.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.75 (for air quality monitoring)

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>Enforcing different control measures to minimise pollution.</p> <p>Air quality monitoring shall be carried out at 5 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.75 Lakhs shall be allotted for monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>Public will be educated about the regulations on air pollution and noise of vehicles.</p>					
2	Road safety and traffic management	<p>Prepare and administer a monitoring system on road accidents.</p> <p>Adequate number of road signs with clear visibility shall be installed.</p> <p>In case of spill of hazardous materials, report to the relevant departments at once and deal with it in accordance with the emergency plan.</p> <p>Drivers and Public will be educated about the Safety regulations.</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC /Traffic Police dept.	GCC 15.2.2	-
3	Noise level	Provision of noise barriers (earthen berms/thick green belt development) has been proposed at sensitive areas and	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board /	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 &

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p>other noise prone areas.</p> <p>Periodic monitoring of ambient noise levels at suggested locations</p> <p>Erecting sign boards at sensitive and residential locations, prohibiting the use of air horns. Particularly near schools, temples and hospitals.</p> <p>Noise monitoring shall be carried out at 5 locations of the package in 3 seasons and compared with the baseline levels and amount of 0.225 Lakhs shall be allotted for Noise monitoring during Operational period of 1 year, once in a season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p> <p>There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2011. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 14855 nos. either side of the road to control noise levels.</p>			Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC		0.225 (for noise monitoring)
4	Soil characteristics	Periodic monitoring of soil quality at specified distance from the corridor for assessing soil contamination by vehicular emissions. The analyzed samples shall be compared with the baseline values monitored at 5 locations along the Project corridor.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 0.60

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		An amount of 0.60 Lakh shall be allotted for soil quality monitoring (5 stations) during operational phase (of 1 year), once every season other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC					
5	Water Quality	<p>Periodic monitoring of water quality at suggested sensitive locations (6 SW locations + 5 GW + 3 WQM locations) in Package VI</p> <p>As part of sewerage master plan, 13 STPs are proposed covering 29 villages of Amaravati Capital City area. So, the high BOD levels are not envisaged once the STPs are functional.</p> <p>An amount of Rs. 1.68 Lakh shall be allotted for water quality monitoring during operation phase (of 1 year), once in 3 months other than monsoon season. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations or follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC</p>	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Andhra Pradesh State Pollution Control Board / Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 1.68
6	Maintenance of road side plantations	<i>Avenue Plantation:</i> There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the Package VI of the proposed roads (N11,E12) of Project corridor as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. There are 125 nos. of trees are affected due to the proposed Package VI of the project. Hence, 14855 nos. of trees are proposed to be planted. The plants proposed are i.e., <i>Ravi, marri, Gulmohar, rain tree, Ganuga, Neem, Nagajemudu, Mango, Maredu etc.</i>	Quarterly	Contractor/Concessionaire	Forests Department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	Sr. No. 9 & 184.46

S. No	Project related Issues	Action to be taken	Frequency	Implementation Agency	Supervision Agency	Relevant Contract Conditions	Relevant BoQ Items & Cost (Rs. Lakh)
		<p><i>Median Plantation:</i> Since the project is construction of four laning road, project median will be utilized.</p> <p>Median plantation is proposed along the four lane stretches of total length 15.44 Kms. Median plantation is proposed with a provision of 333 nos. of plants per kilometer for two medians of 15.44 Kms of 4 lane + 2 lane BRT. Hence, the estimated median plantation is around 4522 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., <i>Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria etc.</i> Employment of local people for the maintenance of plantation along the corridor.</p>					
7	Maintenance of Drainage System	The drainage system will be periodically cleared to ensure water flow.	Quarterly	Contractor or Concessionaire	Irrigation department/ Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC	GCC 15.2.2	-

8.2 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

The World Bank Group, stating, "Corporate social responsibility is the commitment of businesses to contribute to sustainable economic development by working with employees, their families, the local community and society at large, to improve their lives in ways that are good for business and for development."

The core elements of the CSR are:

1. Care for all stakeholders
2. Ethical functioning
3. Respect for workers' rights and welfare
4. Respect for human rights
5. Protection of Environmental
6. Activities for Social and Inclusive

8.2.1 CSR in Companies Bill 2012

The Companies Bill, 2012 incorporates a provision of CSR under Clause 135 which states that every company having net worth Rs. 500 crore or more, or a turnover of Rs. 1000 crore or more or a net profit of rupees five (05) crore or more during any financial year, shall constitute a CSR Committee of the Board consisting of three or more Directors, including at least one Independent Director, to recommend activities for discharging corporate social responsibilities in such a manner that the company would spend at least 2 per cent of its average net profits of the previous three years on specified CSR activities.

Per Schedule-VII of Companies Bill, 2012 the following activities can be included by companies in their CSR Policies: -

- Eradicating extreme hunger and poverty;
- Promotion of education;
- Promoting gender equality and empowering women;
- Reducing child mortality and improving maternal health;
- Combating human immunodeficiency virus, acquired immune deficiency syndrome, malaria and other diseases;
- Ensuring environmental sustainability;
- Employment enhancing vocational skills;
- Social business projects;

- Contribution to the Prime Minister’s National Relief Fund or any other fund set by the Central Government or the State Governments for socio-economic development and relief and funds for the welfare of the Scheduled Caste, the Scheduled Tribes, other backward classes, minorities and women; and
- Such other matters as may be prescribed.

8.2.2 Guidelines on CSR for Public Enterprises

The Department of Public Enterprises had issued Guidelines on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) for Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) in April, 2010 which have been issued formally to the Ministries/Departments for compliance in the CPSEs under their administrative control. Following are the some of the salient features of guidelines on CSR & Sustainability:

(i) Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainability is a company’s commitment to its stakeholders to conduct business in an economically, socially and environmentally sustainable manner that is transparent and ethical.

(ii) In the revised guidelines, CSR and Sustainability agenda is perceived to be equally applicable to external and internal stakeholders, including the employees of a company, and a company’s corporate social responsibility is expected to cover even its routine business operations and activities. CPSEs are expected to formulate their policies with a balanced emphasis on all aspects of CSR and Sustainability – equally with regard to their internal operations, activities and processes, as well as in their response to externalities.

(iii) In the revised guidelines, CSR and Sustainable Development have been clubbed together in one set of guidelines for CSR and Sustainability because of close linkage between the two concepts.

(iv) Public Sector enterprises are required to have a CSR and Sustainability policy approved by their respective Boards of Directors. The CSR and Sustainability activities undertaken by them under such a policy should also have the approval/ratification of their Boards. Within the ambit of these guidelines, it is the discretion of the Board of Directors of CPSEs to decide on the CSR and Sustainability activities to be undertaken.

(v) The financial component/budgetary spend on CSR and Sustainability will be based on the profitability of the company and shall be determined by the Profit After Tax (PAT) on the company in the previous year.

S.No.	PAT of CPSEs in the Previous Years	Range of Budgetary allocation for CSR & Sustainability Activities (as % of PAT in Previous Year)
-------	------------------------------------	--

1	Less than 100 Crores	3-5%
2	Rs. 100 Crores to Rs. 500 Crores	2-3%
3	Rs. 500 Crores and Above	1-2%

Loss making companies are not mandated to earmark specific funding for CSR and Sustainability activities. However, they must pursue CSR and Sustainability policies by integrating them with their business plans, strategies and processes, which do not involve any financial expenditure. They may also collaborate with the profit making CPSEs and assist them in ingenious ways without financial support in CSR and Sustainability activities.

8.2.3 CSR Budgetary Allocations for this Project

CRDA has undertaken the development of the proposed project road stretch of 4-lane roads. The estimated cost of 10 Priority roads (10 roads) is Rs. 1653.01 Crores. CSR in Companies Bill, 2012 stating that the budgetary provisions shall be made on PAT, which is not envisaged throughout the concessions period for this project. However, as per the Government of India initiative taken on CSR activities, the lump-sum amount provision of Rs. 4 Crores shall be made in the project initially and the same shall be reviewed and adjusted time to time by the CSR Board of Directors for this project.

8.3 Environmental Training

The Environmental Management Cell (EMC), in addition to implementing and monitoring different environmental attributes, shall also be actively involved in imparting training and raising environmental awareness level of Contractors and the construction staff to enable them to take the environmental aspects into consideration as and when required. In the long term, the EMC can impart additional and specialized training in the Environmental Management of the road system.

8.3.1 Training Module

As a part of its capacity building initiatives, APCRDA /ADC will provide formal training to its personnel to develop comprehensive understanding of environmental and social issues and

the associated risks. Thus, a training program has been devised for employees at different levels. This training program aims to provide APCRDA's/ADC's employees with the necessary expertise and other project participants like workers, civil contractor(s), construction project management team (viz., Project Management, Project Engineers and Project Superintendents/ Supervisors) to recognize Environmental & Social (E&S) issues at project sites, and develop suitable safeguards. These training programs would to be conducted with the help of local and national training institutions and individual technical experts. Project Management Unit is formed for the smooth implementation of Strategic Environmental & Social Assessment – Environmental & Social Management Framework (SESA -ESMF) in the Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP). The Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC will identify select courses offered by the premier institutions in India and develop an E&S training module. The Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC with the assistance of the Environmental Engineers (EE/DE) will coordinate these training programs. The Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC will customize the course contents to suit the needs of the urban road infrastructure projects. Two types of training will be conducted, namely:

- Awareness training
- Competence training

Awareness training will be imparted to all senior staff of APCRDA (Engineers and above) on a rotational basis and other project participants like workers, civil contractor(s), construction project management team (viz., Project Management, Project Engineers and Project Superintendents/ Supervisors). This training program will mainly cover an appreciation of E&S issues, APCRDA /ADC environmental policy and vision, Environmental Induction Program, Environment & Social (E&S) initiatives and requirements to be implemented. To provide competence training, needs identification will be done by The Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC for their Engineers. The training program will mainly cover the introduction to SESA -ESMF, pollution prevention techniques, environmental management systems, implementation of EMP ec. Executive Engineers (EE)/ Deputy Executive Engineers (DE)/ Others having the following basic skills would be selected to receive the competence training:

- Masters /Engineering degree in civil/ chemical / environment
- Environmental Science Graduate with Masters in geology/ biology/ mathematics etc.
- At least 5 years of experience in handling direct portfolios.
- Good communication skills in English.

Table -8.3 provides an outline of the awareness and competence training modules to be prepared.

Table 8-106: Awareness and Competence Training Module

S.No.	Module	Course Outline	Duration	Frequency	Target Audience	Training Institutions / Individual Expertise
A. Awareness Training						
1	APCRDA /ADC EHS policies and Environmental Induction Program	APCRDA's /ADC E&S policy, requirements and initiatives	30 min.	Once Every year for all staff	All Senior Staff of APCRDA and other staff including workers, civil contractor(s), construction project management team (viz., Project Management, Project Engineers and Project Superintendents/ Supervisors)	APCRDA/ ASCI /ESCI
2	Environmental & Social (E&S) Regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to Indian regulatory framework and environmental & social legal requirements for projects /sub-projects of AP CRDA/ADC and implementation bottlenecks. • E&S requirements of major funding institutions like World Bank, IFC etc 	30 min.	Once Every year for all staff	All Senior Staff of APCRDA	ASCI /ESCI
3	Introduction to causes of pollution and its consequences on environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to air, water, noise and land pollution. • Global environmental issues. • Case studies of infrastructure projects (urban roads) and associated environmental impacts. 	60 min.	Once Every year for all staff	All Senior Staff of APCRDA	ASCI /ESCI /AP PCB/ EPTRI
B. Competence Training						
1	Introduction to APCRDA's /ADC's	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SESA -ESMF principles • SESA -ESMF Forms and formats and 	4 Hrs	Once in every six months	Senior Management & Executive Engineers	ASCI /ESCI/ EPTRI

S.No.	Module	Course Outline	Duration	Frequency	Target Audience	Training Institutions /Individual Expertise
	Strategic Environmental & Social Assessment – Environmental & Social Management Framework (SESA -ESMF) and procedures	<p>check sheets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental & social regulation in India (Acts and rules) • SESA -ESMF Risk Classification of AP CRDA /ADC. • Project appraisal methodology. • Risk management and mitigation techniques. • Monitoring requirements. 			(EE)/ Deputy Executive Engineers (DE)	
2	Pollution Prevention Techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleaner production practices. • Cleaner production initiatives for World Bank EA, EB & EC Categories of infrastructure projects. • Air pollution control techniques • Wastewater treatment techniques. • Solid & Hazardous waste substances management • Occupational health and safety Management 	4 Hrs	Once in every six months	Senior Management & Executive Engineers (EE)/ Deputy Executive Engineers (DE)	ASCI /ESCI / EPTRI /Eminent Environment Expert
3	Environmental management systems and Implementation of Environmental Management Plan (EMP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to environment management systems (EMS) and benefits • EMS implementation techniques. • Monitoring and measurement of EMP performance in line with BoQs 	1 day	Once in every six months	Senior Management & Executive Engineers (EE)/ Deputy Executive Engineers (DE)	ASCI /ESCI / EPTRI /Eminent Environment Expert

Chapter 10

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN

9.1 Pre-Construction Phase

The environmental monitoring programme is a vital process of any Environmental Management Plan (EMP) of development project for review of indicators and take immediate preventive action. This helps in signaling the potential problems resulting from the proposed project activities and will allow for prompt implementation of corrective measures. APCRDA has keen interest in environmental monitoring as it is an integral part towards better environmental management of air, noise, water quality etc both during construction and in operation. Generation of dust and noise are two main issues during any large construction activity. Degradation of water quality is another. During construction, management of dust was carried out by monitoring Suspended Particulate Matter. Now, the same is being done by monitoring Particulate Matter (size less than 10microns). Similarly, for example, noise monitoring is carried out by recording dB(A) values. The parameters are monitored in pre-construction, construction and operation phase and are based on the need to evaluate the deviation of environmental conditions from baseline environmental conditions due to construction and operation of the Roads. If it is observed that environmental conditions are deteriorating, then proper mitigation measures will be taken. The monitoring parameters are thus those that are generally impacted during construction activities. Impact monitoring during construction help to discipline the contractors and assist them in meeting their contractual obligations. Construction phase monitoring data is also intended to evaluate the efficacy of some control mechanisms found in the environmental manual which are then either modified, upgraded or deleted. Monitoring is also extended to the operational phase, to ascertain the impacts over a long-term period. These parameters are also of immediate public concern. Over a period, much environmental monitored data has been generated and is also of academic interest. The data is much sought after by Institutions, NGOs and interested public. The environmental monitoring will be required during both construction and operational phases. The following parameters are proposed to be monitored:

- Water Quality,
- Air Quality,
- Noise

- Soil Quality
- Workers health and safety

Environmental monitoring during pre-construction phase is important to know the baseline data and to predict the adverse impacts during construction and operations phases. Pre-construction phase monitoring has been done for the proposed project for air, noise, water, soil quality and ecology. The results so obtained are documented in **Chapter -6.**

9.2 Construction Phase

During construction stage, environmental monitoring will be carried out for air quality, noise levels, water quality, and ecology. Environmental monitoring should be carried out at the locations where baseline monitoring was carried-out. These numbers could be modified based on need when the construction commences. The contractor shall ensure daily, weekly and monthly environmental monitoring, mitigation & management measures for preparing monthly report, the PMC personnel would consist of a dedicated Environmental Specialist to monitor the compliance throughout the construction phase of the project and report the same to the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC. The project specific environmental formats are given in **Annexure -VI.** The monitoring program shall also be conducted by Project Management Consultant/ third party on a random sample basis covering 20% of sampling requirements in addition to, and independent of the tests that need to be carried out by the contractor as specified in the EMP under the supervision of Director, Landscape & Environment of ADC/APCRDA.

9.2.1 Water Quality

Since water contamination leads to various water related diseases, the project authorities shall establish a procedure for water quality surveillance and ensure safe water for the consumers. The water quality parameters are to be monitored during the entire period of project construction. Monitoring should be carried out by NABL certified private or Government agency. Water quality should be analyzed following the procedures given in the standard methods. Parameters for Surface water quality monitoring will be as per IS: 2296 and for Ground water quality monitoring will be as per IS: 10500. The monitoring points could be ground and surface water.

9.2.2 Air Quality

Air quality is regularly monitored by Central/State Pollution Control Boards at number of places in Amaravati Capital City. In addition to these, air quality should be monitored at the locations of baseline monitoring as reported in Chapter 6. The parameter recommended is Particulate Matter (PM10). The contractor will be responsible for carrying out air monitoring during the entire construction phase under the supervision of APCRDA

9.2.3 Noise

The noise will be monitored at construction sites for entire phase of construction by the site contractor and under the supervision of APCRDA.

9.2.4 Soil Quality

Soil quality should be monitored at the locations of baseline monitoring as reported in **Chapter -6.**

9.2.5 Workers health and safety

Monitoring of health risk issues that might arise throughout the project life time will be done. Epidemiological studies at construction sites and workers camp will be performed to monitor the potential spread of diseases. Regular inspection and medical checkups shall be carried out to workers' health and safety monitoring. Any reoccurring incidents such as irritations, rashes, respiratory problems etc. shall be recorded and appropriate mitigation measures shall be taken. Contractor will be the responsible person to take care of health and safety of workers during the entire period of the construction and project proponent shall review/audit the health and safety measures/plans. However, supervision agency for Workers health and safety is the project proponent, APCRDA, which should include site representation, compliance monitoring, training and incident management. The monitoring Schedule for Air, noise, water and soil are presented in Table -9.1 for Roads in the Project.

Table 9-107: Construction Stage Monitoring Schedule for Roads in the Project

Paramete	Frequency	No. of Locations (Package & road wise)
----------	-----------	--

r		I	II	III		IV			V	VI	
		E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16	E6	E12	N11
Air (PM10)	24 hours, Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Noise	Hourly for 24 hours, Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Water	Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	8	4	7	7	5	5	4	3	6	4
Soil	Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2

9.3 Operation Phase

Even though the environmental hazards during the operation phase of the project are minimal, the environmental monitoring will be carried out for air, noise, water, soil and ecology during operation phase of the project. The parameters monitored during operation will be PM10, PM2.5, CO, NO2 & SO2 for air, water quality parameters will be monitored as per BIS 10500. The monitoring schedule is presented in Table -9.2 for Roads in the Project. The monitoring program shall also be conducted by Project Management Consultant/ third party on a random sample basis covering 20% of sampling requirements in addition to, and independent of the tests that need to be carried out by the contractor as specified in the EMP under the supervision of Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC

Table 9-108 : Operational Stage Monitoring Schedule for Roads in the Project

Parameter	Frequency	No. of Locations (Package & Road wise)									
		I	II	III		IV			V	VI	
		E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16	E6	E12	N11
Air (PM10)	24 hours, Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Noise	Hourly for 24 hours, Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
Water	Once in 3 months other than monsoon season	8	4	7	7	5	5	4	7	10	4
Soil	Once in 3 months	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2

	other than monsoon season										
--	---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

The results of Air quality, water quality, noise quality and soil quality will be submitted to management quarterly during construction phase and semiannually during operation phase. All monitoring to be carried out at all baseline locations, wherever not mentioned follow the directions of the Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC. The monitoring schedule for both construction and operational phases of all the roads is presented in **Table -9.3.**

9.4 Establishment of an Environment Management Cell

It is recommended that APCRDA establishes Environment Management Cell at the initial stage of the project itself. The cell should be staffed with an Environmental Engineer/Officer and a Technical Assistant (environment background). The task of the cell would be to supervise and coordinate studies, environmental monitoring and implementation of environmental mitigation measures, and it should report directly to Director, Landscape & Environment of APCRDA/ADC. The Hierarchical structure of Environment Management Cell is shown in the figure -9.1 below.




Figure 9-157 : Hierarchical structure of Environmental Management Cell

9.5 Implementation of EMP by Third party/ Project Management Consultant

As per the requirement of World Bank, a Third-party engineer/ Project Management Consultant shall be deployed at site during construction phase of the project for an effective Implementation of EMP. Organizational setup for Environmental Monitoring during construction and operation phase are shown in **Figure -9.2**.




Figure 9-158 : Organizational Setup During Construction and Operation Phase

Environmental Monitoring Schedule during Construction & Operation Phases

Table 9-109 :

Chapter 11

CONSTRUCTION STAGE MON

Attributes	No. of Stations						
	I	II	III		IV		
	E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16
Air	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Noise	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Water	8	4	7	7	5	5	4
Soil	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

OPERATION STAGE MON

Attributes	No. of Stations						
	I	II	III		IV		
	E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16
Air	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Noise	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Water	8	4	7	7	5	5	4
Soil	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

ENVIRONMENTAL COST ESTIMATES

10.1 Budget for EMP Implementation

The design and construction of the project involves number of items such as erosion prevention, rehabilitation of borrow areas, safety signage, etc., which are included in the contract cost. Only those items that are not covered under the budget for construction are

shown in the EMP implementation budget. The Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items to be covered in BoQs works out to be **Rs. 66.98 Crores** and the budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items included in Project Cost works out to be **Rs. 108.55 Crores** as given in Tables-10.1 & 10.2 respectively.

The main components are:

- Tree plantation / Transplantation
- Environmental Enhancement Measures
- Green initiatives
- Environmental monitoring during construction and operation phase
- Training during construction and operation phase
- Initiatives to be undertaken as part of Corporate Social Responsibilities.
- Dust Suppression systems
- Muck/ Debris Disposal and C&D Waste

Table 10-110 : Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items to be covered in BoQs

S. No.	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)	Package I	Package II	Package III		Package IV			Package V	Package VI		TOTAL COST
			E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16	E6	N11	E8	
I. Logistics cum Administrative costs													
1	Vehicle cost	1 Vehicles/ Package @ Rs 50,000/- per month for 12 vehicle months	6.00	6.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	6.00	3.00	3.00	36.00
2	Office administration (including man power costs) and logistics etc for Environment Management Cell (EMC)	1 location for 12 months @ Rs.166666.5 /- per month/ Package	20.00	20.00	10.00	10.00	6.66	6.67	6.67	20.00	10.00	10.00	120.00
II. Construction costs													
3	Sprinkling of water thrice in a day all along the stretch & Erosion control Retaining walls, slope pitching and turfing. (Included in project cost) (365 days x 3 times x 1 year x 2 vehicles/road x Rs. 1000/trip)	-	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	21.90	219.00
4	Muck/Debris and C&D Waste Disposal from site		552.19	429.83	222.07	308.58	328.43	308.28	368.25	919.38	336.66	289.66	4063.33

				Package I	Package II	Package III	Package IV			Package V	Package VI				
S. No.	Road	C&D (m3)	Muck (m3)	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.) Rs. 84/- per m ³ #									TOTAL COST		
	E8	1895	655470.54												
	N9	121.29	511576.3												
	N4	62.04	264308.03												
	N14	1769	365590.71												
	E10	0	390988.9												
	E14	474.15	366528.74												
	N16	0	438391.33												
	E6	686.28102	1093818.23												
	N11	70.79973	400716.97												
	E8	34.10999	344801												
III. Tree Plantation															
5	Avenue trees including 3 years maintenance - around 13,228 nos. of trees in Package I; 12,834 nos. of trees in Package II; 14,960 nos. of trees in Package III ;23,583 nos. of trees in Package IV; 9513 nos of trees in Package V and 14855 nos of trees in Package VI including tree guards (Rs. 1000/tree)			1000/Tree	132.28	128.34	69.18	80.42	76.09	73.16	86.57	95.13	85.21	63.33	889.71
6	Shrub plantation in median and landscaping in 4/6- lane estimated median plantation for Package I is around 9,091 nos, Package II is around 8,765 nos, Package III is around 10,323 nos,Package IV is around 15,924 nos, Package V is 6533 and Package VI is 4522 @ Rs.350/- including maintenance for one year.			350/Plant	31.81	30.67	16.86	19.27	18.21	17.08	20.44	22.93	20.16	15.82	213.25

S. No.	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)	Package I	Package II	Package III	Package IV	Package V	Package VI	TOTAL COST			
			IV. Monitoring costs: Construction Phase (1 year)									
7	Air Quality Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period – (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Year) E8 – 2 stations N9 – 2 stations N4 – 2 stations N14 – 2 stations E10 – 2 stations E14 – 2 stations N16 – 2 stations E6 – 2 station, E12 - 3 station, N11 - 2 stations.	No. of monitoring locations* 3 seasons*Rs. 5,000 per station	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.30	0.30	0.45	3.15
8	Noise level Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period – (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Years) E8- 2 stations N9 – 2 stations N4 – 2 stations N14 – 2 stations E10 – 2 stations E14 – 2 stations N16 – 2 stations E6 - 2 station, E12 - 3 station, N11 - 2 stations.	No. of monitoring locations* 3 seasons *Rs.1500 per station	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.135	0.945

			Package I	Package II	Package III	Package IV			Package V	Package VI			
S. No.	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)											TOTAL COST
9	Water Quality Monitoring (61 Monitoring stations) once in a season other than monsoon season during the construction period – (61 Stations x 3 Seasons x 1 Years) E8- 8 stations N9- 4 stations N4 – 7 stations N14 – 7 stations E10 – 5 stations E14 – 5 stations N16 – 4 stations E6 – 7 station, E12 – 10 station, N11 – 4 stations.	monitoring locations*3 seasons*Rs. 4000 per station	0.96	0.48	0.84	0.84	0.6	0.6	0.48	0.84	0.48	1.20	7.32
10	Soil Quality Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period – (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Years) E8- 2 stations N9 – 2 stations N4 – 2 stations N14 – 2 stations E10 – 2 stations E14 – 2 stations N16 – 2 stations E6 – 2 station, E12 – 3 station, N11 – 2 stations.	No. of monitoring locations*3 seasons *Rs.4000 per station	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.36	2.52
11	Awareness programmes (Lumpsum)	LS	5.00	5.00	2.50	2.50	1.66	1.67	1.67	5.00	2.50	2.50	30.00
12	Rehabilitation, Resurfacing and landscaping of the borrow pits	Rs. 1.00 Lakh per borrow area	4.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.34	1.00	0.50	0.50	18.00

			Package I	Package II	Package III		Package IV			Package V	Package VI		
S. No.	356 Rain Water Harvesting Structures (RWH) Pits of dia. 1.5m with a depth of 3.8 m at an interval of 500m either side of the road.	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)											TOTAL COST
13	Item Package 1 (E8) - 53 Package 2 (N9)- 51 Package 3 (N4) - 28 Package 3 (N14) - 32 Package 4 (E10) - 31 Package 4 (E14) -29 Package 4 (N16) - 35 Package 5 (E6) - 38 Package 6 (E12) - 34 Package 6 (N11)- 25	Rs. 15000 per RWH	7.95	7.71	4.16	4.84	4.58	4.40	5.21	5.72	5.12	3.75	53.44
V. Monitoring costs: Operational Phase (1 year)													
14	Air Quality Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period - (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Year) E8 - 2 stations N9 - 2 stations N4 - 2 stations N14 - 2 stations E10 - 2 stations E14 - 2 stations N16 - 2 stations E6 - 2 station, E12 - 3 station, N11 - 2 stations.	No. of monitoring locations* 3 seasons*Rs. 5,000 per station	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.30	0.30	0.45	3.15

			Package I	Package II	Package III	Package IV			Package V	Package VI			
S. No. 15	Noise level Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period – (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Years) E8- 2 stations N9 – 2 stations N4 – 2 stations N14 – 2 stations E10 – 2 stations E14 – 2 stations N16 – 2 stations E6 - 2 station, E12 - 3 station, N11 - 2 stations.	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.) No. of monitoring locations* 3 seasons *Rs.1500 per station	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.135	TOTAL COST 0.945	
16	Water Quality Monitoring (61 Monitoring stations) once in a season other than monsoon season during the construction period – (61 Stations x 3 Seasons x 1 Years) E8- 8 stations N9- 4 stations N4 – 7 stations N14 – 7 stations E10 – 5 stations E14 – 5 stations N16 – 4 stations E6 - 7 station, E12 - 10 station, N11 – 4 stations.	No. of monitoring locations*3 seasons*Rs. 4000 per station	0.96	0.48	0.84	0.84	0.6	0.6	0.48	0.84	0.48	1.20	7.32

			Package I	Package II	Package III	Package IV			Package V	Package VI			
S. No.	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)										TOTAL COST	
17	Soil Quality Monitoring (21 Monitoring stations) once every month other than monsoon season during the construction period – (21 Stations x 3 seasons x 1 Years) E8- 2 stations N9 – 2 stations N4 – 2 stations N14 – 2 stations E10 – 2 stations E14 – 2 stations N16 – 2 stations E6 - 2 station, E12 - 3 station, N11 - 2 stations.	No. of monitoring locations*3 seasons*Rs. 4000 per station	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.36	2.52
Sub Total			784.31	655.67	354.61	455.45	463.32	438.95	516.27	1100.00	487.27	414.75	5676.60
Service tax @18%			141.18	118.02	63.83	81.98	83.40	79.01	92.93	198.00	87.71	74.66	1021.79
Grand Total			925.48	773.69	418.44	537.43	546.72	517.96	609.20	1298.00	574.98	489.41	6698.39
Say Rs 66.98 Crores													

Table 10-111 : Budget for Environmental Management Plan for Items included in Project Cost

S. No	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)	Package I	Package II	Package III		Package IV			Package V	Package VI		Total
			E8	N9	N4	N14	E10	E14	N16	E6	N11	E8	
1	Energy efficient streetlights 4 lane – 150 watt LED, 10 m high pole every 25m 2 lane BRT – 90 watt LED, at 9m high on other arm E8 – 1196 Double arm streetlights N9 – 1054 Double arm streetlights N4– 574 Single arm streetlights N14 – 662 Single arm streetlights E10 - 626 Single arm streetlights E 14 - 588 Single arm streetlights N16–703 Single arm streetlights E6 – 454 Double arm streetlights E12- 332 Double arm streetlights N11- 406 Double arm streetlights	Rs. 1,06,084/- per Double arm streetlight Rs. 1,03,924/- per single arm streetlight	1727.98	1522.5	500.9 4	578.25	546.70	513.68	613.98	481.62	430.70	352.20	7268.55
2	Construction of 4 Bio-toilets in each package where each Bio-toilet unit possess 5 one piece toilet	4 units per package*12 members*1 Lakh per bio-toilet	4	4	2	2	1	1	2	4.00	2.00	2.00	24.00
3	Labour camps, health and other services	LS	10	10	5	5	3.33	3.33	3.34	10.00	5.00	5.00	60.00
4	Solid waste management	LS	10	10	5	5	3.33	3.33	3.34	10	5	5	60.00
5	Felling of trees Package I – 266 Nos. Package II – 96 Nos. Package III – 327 Nos. Package IV – 489 Nos. Package V – 85 Nos. Package VI – 125 Nos.	Rs. 4000/- per tree	10.64	3.84	7.8	5.28	2.48	12.88	4.2	3.40	3.76	1.24	55.52
6	Allocation of Budget towards the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities	LS	100	100	50	50	33.34	33.33	33.33	100.00	50.00	50.00	600.00

			Package I	Package II	Package III		Package IV			Package V	Package VI		
S. No 7	Loss of water spread area due to the project and enhancement of equivalent water spread area along the ponds/lakes present within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW). & Beautification of lakes/ tanks/ ponds within 200m from the Right of Way (RoW). Package I – 7511.71 m3 of excavation and 52 ponds for beautification Package II – 6412.06 m3 of excavation and 25 ponds for beautification Package III – 110205.73 m3 of excavation and 22 ponds for beautification Package IV – 1990.47 m3 of excavation and 38 ponds for beautification Package V – 11703.74 m3 of excavation and 8 ponds for beautification Package VI – 5065.55 m3 of excavation and 23 ponds for beautification	Unit cost/ Expenditure cost (Rs.) Rs. 175.5/- Rs. 5.00 Lakh per beautification of one pond	273.18	136.25	16.83	286.58	60.85	30.19	102.45	60.54	110.78	13.11	Total 1090.76
8	Training Programmes	LS	5	5	2.5	2.5	1.66	1.67	1.67	5	2.5	2.5	30
9	Environmental quality monitoring by Third Party/ Project Management Consultant (PMC) At least 20% of sample size in both construction phase and operation phase	At least 20% of sample size budget	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	0.87	1.11	10.86
Sub Total			2141.91	1792.70	591.18	935.72	653.80	600.52	765.42	678.67	613.55	435.16	9199.69

S. No	Item	Unit cost/ Lump sum cost (Rs.)	Package I	Package II	Package III		Package IV			Package V	Package VI		Total
	Service tax @18%		385.54	322.69	106.4 1	168.43	117.68	108.09	137.78	122.16	110.44	78.33	1655.94
Grand Total			2527.45	2115.39	697.5 9	1104.1 5	771.48	708.61	903.20	800.83	723.99	513.49	10855.63
Say Rs. 108.55 Crores													

Note:

* Cost per m3 is Rs. 84/- . (Page no. 39, AP Common SOR 2015-16)

** Cost for Removal of wet silt and sludge from sullage drains with aid of baskets and vessels. (Page no. 289 of SOR 2015-2016 GoAP)

Budget under the purview of Amaravati Development Corporation (ADC):

From Table -10.2, the budget components under the purview of ADC are component I – Construction cost which includes item 5 – Felling of Trees, component II - Green Initiatives/ Environmental Enhancement Measures which includes item 9 – Environmental Enhancement Measures and component III- Training programmes which includes item 10 – Training Programme

Budget under the purview of Contractor/ Concessionaire:

From Table -10.2, the budget component under the purview of Contractor/ Concessionaire is component I - Construction costs which includes items 1,2,3,4 & 6 – Energy efficient streetlight, Bio-toilets, Labor camps, Solid waste management & Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

Budget under the purview of Third party/ Project Management Consultant.

From table 10.2, the component under the purview of Third party/ Project Management Consultant is component IV – Third party/ Project Management Consultant Environmental Quality Monitoring which includes item 11 – Environmental quality monitoring by Third Party/ Project Management Consultant (PMC)

Chapter 12

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

11.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed construction of 4 lane/ 4 lane + 2 lane BRT roads in Capital City of Andhra Pradesh can harmonize with the surrounding environment with the following direct and indirect benefits.

1. The proposed roads will provide inter connectivity within the newly formed state capital of the Andhra Pradesh State and to the major National and State Highways.
2. To improve the economic and social welfare of nearby people.
3. There will be improvements of the economic growth in the surrounding places due to establishment of more industries, institutions, MNCs and other organizations.

Environmental Improvement Measure Adopted in the project are:

1. During the construction phase of the project, air pollution will be increased due to various construction activities, movement of construction vehicles etc. and the same will be mitigated by sprinkling of water thrice in a day. An amount of Rs. 2.91 Crores provision is made in the EMP implementation budget to take care of this issue.
2. *Avenue Plantation:* There are two rows of avenue plants proposed along the roads as per IRC-SP-21: 2009. The avenue plants proposed to be planted in the project are about 88,973 nos. either side of the road. The avenue plants proposed are i.e., *Ravi, Nalla tumma, Neem, Veduru, Nagajemudu, Mango, Teku, Maredu etc.* The budget allocation for the avenue plantation is Rs. 8.89 Crores.
3. *Median Plantation:* Since the project is construction of four lane project median will be utilized. The median plantation is proposed in single/quadruple rows and the numbers of species proposed are 55,158 nos. The median plant proposed in the project are i.e., *Bougainvillea, Nerium Oleander, Thevitia Nerifolia, Tabernaemontana Coronaria* etc. The budget allocation for the median plantation is Rs. 2.13 Crores.
4. There are 356 nos. of RWH Pits are proposed either side of the road at an interval of 500m. The proposed RWHs are having dia. of 1.5 m with a depth of 3.8 m. The

budget provision for the RWH structures are already made in the EMP and amount is estimated to be Rs. 0.53 Crores.

5. In the proposed project, there are two Thermal Power Station namely Narla Tatarao Thermal Power Station (NTTPS) and Kothagudem Thermal Power Station identified and which fall within 300 Km from the project corridor. Utilization of Fly Ash in the project has to be made at high embankments and approaches to major bridges as per the IRC SP:58 – 2001 and Fly ash Notification 2007 and the same shall also be put under the BoQs. 25% of earth required for filling has to be replaced with fly ash at high embankments and approaches to major bridges and the same shall also be estimated and put under the BoQs. As per the designs, the proposed pavement crust for all the 10 Priority roads is 1.115 m and the difference from ground level to FRL varies from 0.5 to 1.2 m. To achieve FRL, existing ground need to be cut to lay all the pavement crust in this regards embankment is not arrived in all the 10 Priority roads. It is not feasible to use fly ash in the 10 Priority roads without embankments.
6. The total budget for implementation of EMP during construction & operation phases of the project for items to be covered in BoQs is Rs. 66.98 Crores.

Chapter 13
DISCLOSURE OF CONSULTANT
ENGAGED

12.0 DISCLOSURE OF THE CONSULTANT ENGAGED

Aarvee Associates is a premier, ISO 9001:2008 certified multi-disciplinary engineering consulting company, established in 1989 and based at Hyderabad in India. We have a pan-India presence, with branch offices in New Delhi, Ahmedabad and Bhubaneswar, and project offices in all the major states across the country. We also have a wholly-owned Australian subsidiary, Aarvee Associates Pty Ltd, based at Brisbane, Queensland.

In addition to over 1,000 successful assignments in India, we have carried out projects in Australia, Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Gabon, Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa, Sri Lanka, and Uganda. We have worked on projects funded by various international agencies including the World Bank, the Asian Development Bank (ADB), the Department for International Development (DFID), and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA).

M/s. Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers and Consultants Pvt., Ltd., Hyderabad is a Civil Engineering Consultancy accredited by QCI-NABET in their Vide letter no. NABET/EIA/SA091/254 Dated: 12th December 2013 for doing EIA and EMP Studies in "Highways, Railways, Transport terminals, and Mass Rapid Transport Systems Sector".

REFERENCES

- David L. Rowell : Soil science: methods and applications, 1994. Longman Scientific & Technical
- Anderson, J.M., Ingram, J.S.I. (Eds.), 1993. Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility: a Handbook of Methods. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Census, Government of India, 2011
- Central Public Health & Environmental Engineering Organisation (CPHEEO) Manual,1999;
- Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India
- Draft Detailed Master Plan of Capital City AMARAVATI – Prepared by Surbana Jurong Private Limited.
- Ecological Impact Assessment Series, 2002, Central Pollution Control Board.
- EIA & EMP of Amaravati Capital City, September 2015, TATA Consulting Engineers Limited.
- EIA notification 2006, Ministry Of Environment, Forests and Climate Change.
- Fly ash Notification, 2007, Ministry Of Environment, Forests and Climate Change
- Forest Conservation Act, 1980, Ministry Of Environment, Forests and Climate Change.
- Ground Water Brochure Guntur District, ANDHRA PRADESH, September 2013, Central
- Ground Water Board, Ministry Of Water Resources
- Guidelines for Capacity of urban roads in plain Areas by INDIAN ROADS CONGRESS, 1990 (IRC 106-1990)
- Guidelines for Use of Flyash in Road Embankments (IRC:SP-58 2001), Indian Roads
- Congress (IRC), Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MORTH)

- Guidelines for Water Quality Management, 2008, Central Pollution Control Board
- <http://disastermanagement.ap.gov.in/cyclonedata100yrs.aspx> , 100 years Cyclone Data ,
- Disaster Management Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh
- <http://www.imdhyderabad.gov.in/>, Indian Meteorological Department, Hyderabad ,Government of India
- IS 10500, Drinking Water — Specification, Bureau of Indian Standards 2012
- IS: 2296; Surface Water Quality Standards, Bureau of Indian Standards, 1982
- Land Acquisition & Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act (LARRA), 2013, Government of India
- Manual for Rain Water Harvesting; CPWD, 2012
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB notification dated 18th November 2009
- Noise pollution (Regulation and control) Rules, 2000; Ministry of Environment and Forests & Climate Change (MoEF&CC)
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 1998.
- American Public Health Association (APHA).
- Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, Ministry Of Environment, Forests
- <https://www3.epa.gov/ttnchie1/ap42/ch13/final/c13s0202.pdf>, US EPA document for estimating Fugitive Dust Emissions from Unpaved Roads (AP-42 Section 13)
- IRC: SP:55-2014 (Guidelines on Traffic Management in Work Zones)

ANNEXURES

Annexure -I


Road wise maps of Drainage Pattern are attached separately

ANNEXURE - II

Estimation of mineral in proposed mining blocks for CRDA in Guntur

S.No	Mandal	Village	R S NO.	Total Extent (Acres)	Tapped Area (Acres)	Un Tapped Area (Acres)	Appr.Depth Of Mining(m)		Estimated Quantity (Cum)			Remarks
							Un Tapped Area	Tapped Area	Un Tapped Area	Tapped Area	Total	
I GRAVEL												
1	Tadepalli	Penumaka	171	239.40	-	49.70	6.00	0.00	1206815	0	1206815	
2	Mangalagiri	Athmakuru	202/1	89.20	71.80	15.00	6.00	1.00	364230	300000	664230	
3	Mangalagiri	Bethapudi	390	26.86	5.00	5.00	6.00	4.00	120000	75000	195000	
4	Mangalagiri	Bethapudi	327	31.25	5.00	5.00	6.00	2.00	120000	40000	160000	
5	Amaravati	Lemalle	33	8.39	-	7.00	6.00	0.00	198303	0	198303	Private Land
6	Amaravati	Lemalle	47	2.19	-	1.70	6.00	0.00	48159	0	48159	
7	Amaravati	Endroy	228	12.09	Black Cotton Soil							Private Land
8	Amaravati	Endroy	215	10.00	-	10.00	6.00	0.00	240000	0	240000	Unsurveyed Hill
9	Amaravati	Endroy	264	120(app)	-	10.00	6.00	0	240000	0	240000	Unsurveyed Hill
10	Amaravati	Endroy	94&96	13.00	-	13.00	6.00	0	312000	0	312000	
										Total	3264507	
II METAL												
1	Mangalagiri	Neerukonda	484	179.75	-	110.00	15.00	0	6600000	0	6600000	Hill
2	Thulluru	Ananthavaram	221	350.00	100.00	-	0	15.00	5400000	900000	6300000	Hill
										Total	12900000	
III COLOR GRANITE												
1	Thulluru	Ananthavaram	221	350.00	33.00	-	0	-	600000	100000	700000	Hill

Masshaul diagram for Amaravati Capital City



Annexure III

Environmental Baseline Map of 10 Priority Roads is attached separately

Annexure – IV

Proceedings & Minutes of Meetings for the Public Consultation Meetings Conducted from 19th to 22nd July 2017 at Navalluru, Abbarajupalem, Thulluru, Ananthavarm, Rayapudi, Penumaka and Yerrabalem Villages of Guntur District

District	: Guntur	Date	: 19.07.2017 to 22.07.2017
Locality	: Amaravati	Time	: 10:00 a.m. to 06.00 p.m.
Municipality / Corporation	: Amaravati	Venue	: Navalluru, Abbarajupalem, Thulluru, Ananthavaram, Rayapudi, Penumaka and Yerrabalem.
Sub-project	: Public Consultation on Draft EA & EMP Reports for 7 Priority Roads & 11 Roads.	Duration	: 2.00 hrs. / 2.30 hrs at each place

I. Participants

1. At each village between 15 to 25 members Consisting of:
 - a. farmers who have - participated in LPS, Focused Group i.e., affected by land acquisition, affected by roads passing through their sites in village sites
 - b. Main representatives from associations / NGOs
 - c. Public Representatives
 - d. Aarvee Associates
 - e. Representatives from Print and Electronic Media.
2. Line department officials: 6
Competent Authorities from revenue units, Officials of - CRDA, ADC, Urban Development, etc.
3. Observers:
Sr. Social Expert from The World Bank

The participants included women representatives as well. The list of Public Consultation participants consisting of name, mobile number and signature are filed in the Project dossiers and can be accessed by interested stakeholders.

II. Introduction

Draft Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan (EA-EMP) reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads were prepared and disclosed at various villages and on the website of CRDA under the World Bank supported "Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP)" section. Comments and suggestions on the draft documents were invited.

The public consultations on Draft EA-EMP reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads are conducted for accomplishing wider awareness, share contents of the documents and seek feedback from the participants leading to finalization of the documents and adopting for the Bank supported project - ASCCDP. The details of the Public Consultations carried out are given below:

S.No.	Date	Name of the Village	Duration (hrs.)
1	19.07.2017 (Hrs. 10.00 to 12.30)	Navalluru Village	2.30
2	19.07.2017 (Hrs. 14.00 to 16.00)	Abbarajupalem	2.00
3	19.07.2017 (Hrs. 16.30 to 18.30)	Thulluru	2.00
4	20.07.2017 (Hrs. 11.00 to 13.30)	Ananthavaram	2.30
5	20.07.2017 (Hrs. 14.30 to 16.30)	Rayapudi	2.00
6	21.07.2017 (Hrs. 17.00 to 19.00)	Penumaka	2.00
7	22.07.2017 (Hrs. 11.00 to 13.00)	Yerrabalem	2.00

Mr. J.S.R.K. Sastry, Director-Strategy of APCRDA chaired the Public Consultations and briefed the agenda of Consultations at each village and encouraged participants to voice their feedback after a brief presentation on Draft EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads for addressing them and incorporating the same into EA-EMP reports duly adopting the World Bank norms.

III. Public Suggestions and Feedback

Public consultations were held with large number of people in villages of Amaravati i.e., Navalluru, Abbarajupalem, Thulluru, Ananthavaram, Rayapudi, Penumaka and Yerrabalem affected villages, the suggestions and comments of public related to the Environment have been incorporated in the EA & EMP Report. Village wise objections and suggestions received during Public Consultations are given below:

Village wise objections and suggestions received during Public Consultations Vs Technical Committee Recommendations

Name of the Village(s)	Objections / Suggestions	Technical Committee Recommendations
Navalluru	Extension of the E14 road till NH-5 Highway (Vijayawada - Guntur) for the better connectivity.	The roads are proposed duly adopting the Master Plan and E14 is ending near Railway line and not feasible to extend under this project.
	The locations such as Nagamayyaputta temple, Government Elementry school and Masjid are near to E14 Road.	These locations are already noted and adequate mitigation measures will be suggested in the EA &EMP Report.
	Along the E14 Road, there are few houses are partially falling and how the compensation will be made to them.	Full acquisition will be done and the compensation will be made accordingly.
	How the compensation will be made for some of the houses falling under non patta lands of E14 Road.	Compensation will be made to them as per the Government norms.
Abbarajupalem	How the compensation will be made for Isolated house is present outside the village	Compensation will be made to them as per the Government norms.
	Traffic Congestion will raise during construction phase of the project will affect the movement of the villagers	Adequate provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the contractor and the same is also put under the contractor conditions.
	Are there any provisions from the Government for assistance in development of the domestic dairy farms?	In the capital city region, Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
	There are some trees such as vepa, thumma, chinta are cutting in the proposed projects. How will you compensate the same?	Avenue & median plantation is proposed duly adopting IRC SP 21-2009: Guidelines on Landscaping and Tree Plantation in the proposed project.
	Plots allocated to us are having Thulluru Lift Irrigation pipeline underneath. When will you remove the same?.	Action will be taken by the AP CRDA after having consultation with Minor Irrigation Department.
	Construction jobs shall be allocated to the locals or Prioritization for petty labour contracts in the construction of the road shall be given to the locals.	ADC/ AP CRDA is going for National Competitive Bidding process. The successful bidders will be mandated to employ locals as per contract.
	Site clearance for the proposed road activities are obstructing the existing	Adequate mitigation measures already suggested in EMP and the same will be

Name of the Village(s)	Objections / Suggestions	Technical Committee Recommendations
Thulluru	peramvagu and other streams around the Thulluru.	asked to strictly implemented by the Contractors.
	Are there any provisions from the Government for assistance in development of livelihood of women and household petty works?	In the capital city region, Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
	Heavy vehicle movement is damaging the roads around the Thulluru and traffic congestion may be more during construction phase of the roads.	Adequate maintenance of the existing road will be taken care by AP CRDA /ADC. Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions.
	Houses falling under Project will be vacated after construction of the new house only.	As per the guidelines of the AP CRDA, the time duration of 6 months will be given to the owners for the construction of the new houses or equivalent amount of rent will be paid to them. In case project affected persons want more time they can request the AP CRDA during negotiation process.
Ananthavaram	Considerably traffic around the village is increased and damaging the roads and obstructing the movement of vehicles temporarily.	Adequate maintenance of the existing road will be taken care by AP CRDA /ADC. Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions.
	Dust pollution issue is raising day by day in all the roads leading to Village.	Adequate environmental mitigation measures are already suggested in EMP with budgetary provisions to take care of the construction phase of Roads project.
	For project Affected Persons (House looters) Rental Allowance for Rs. 5000/- shall be given for eight months instead of six months.	As per the guidelines of the AP CRDA, the time duration for 6 months will be given to the owners for the construction of the new houses or equivalent amount of rent will be paid to them. In case project affected persons want more time and compensation, they can request the AP CRDA during negotiation process.
	Government is organizing Skill Development activities for the people of Capital City and after the completion of the same will they provide any financial assistance.	Government is organizing the Skill Developmental activities i.e., sewing, jute bag manufacturing, car driving, mobile repairs, computer courses horticulture, poultry forms development etc. In the capital city Government is giving special loan assistance upto 25 lakhs without interest for the development of the domestic/ household/ small scale industries.
	Kalpana fabrics representation to the AP CRDA is discussed	The point was noted and the same will be brought to the notice of higher officials of AP CRDA/ADC.
	Health schemes offered by the Government are not covered for all the diseases. It would be better that a	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.

Name of the Village(s)	Objections / Suggestions	Technical Committee Recommendations
Rayapudi	corporate level Multi-specialty hospital to be established within the Capital City Region.	
	Plantation shall be removed where-ever the developmental activity is proposed instead of clearing all.	The plantation is being removed on the proposed developmental activities i.e., Roads, Govt. Buildings, institutions etc. There is no plantation removed other than developmental area.
	Sand tippers/ heavy vehicles are disturbing the vehicle movement of the villagers.	Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions of 7 & 11 Roads projects.
Penumaka	As of now dust pollution is very less. During construction of the roads adequate measures to be taken.	The provision for the water sprinkling in construction of Roads is already made in the EA & EMP Report with budgetary provision as well as enforcing the same in Contractor conditions. Hence, the issue will be taken care.
	Majority of the group members raised the issue that the education fees reimbursement is not yet started. They are expecting it to be started so that they will be benefited.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Majority expressed that some the people participated in the Skill development programme but the opportunities they got are not as per the training received.	At Skill Development Centre training will be given on i.e., sewing, jute bag manufacturing, car driving, mobile repairs, computer courses horticulture, poultry forms development etc. However, the trained applicants have to be worked as per the requirements of the Employer.
	Villagers are Demanding for a corporate hospital in their area and the provision to accept this health card will be of great help.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
Yerrabalem	Majority said that the existing fees reimbursement can be extended to KL University	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Regarding skill development center, some people already undergone training in Nowluru-1 training center. About 20 to 30 women participated in Job mela and 3 got employment.	
	Some of the farmers constructed their houses with building permission/approvals. Now they realized that they need to get permission and requested AP CRDA for the exemption.	The same will be brought to the notice of the AP CRDA/ADC higher officials.
	Mr. R Sambasiva Rao said that no water bodies are affected because of the roads project and the present pollution levels are too low. However, During the construction phase of the road project dust pollution may arise.	The provision for the water sprinkling in construction of 7 & 11 Roads is already made in the `EA & EMP Report with budgetary provision as well as enforcing the same in Contractor conditions. Hence, the issue will be taken care.

Name of the Village(s)	Objections / Suggestions	Technical Committee Recommendations
	Traffic Management to be taken care during the construction of the roads project.	Provisions for diversion of the traffic without affecting the local traffic will be made by the Contractor and the same is also put under the Contract conditions of 7 & 11 Roads projects.

IV. Closing Remarks

The Public Consultations concluded with the receipt and acknowledgement of the comments and views expressed by the participants for which suitable measures will be taken to resolve in the context of the EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads documents.

Those issues which are outside the scope of the EA-EMP documents have been compiled and circulated to the respective authorities for taking necessary further steps.

**1. Attendance Sheet and Photos Report of Public Consultation
- Navalluru Village**

Environmental Public Consultation for 7 Priority Roads and Phase II Roads

Village - Navaluru
(APCRDA Office)

Date : 19/07/2017

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
SK)	சாதர்	9347994141	
SR)	சுப்பிரமணியன்		
3)	சுப்பிரமணியன்		
4)	M.D. சிவா	9866696415	
5)	சு. சிவா	9618 186876-	
6)	Shaik. Md. Ali.	810 610 2357	
7)	சு. சிவா	9959805077	
8)	P. சிவா	9966230272	
9)	K. சிவா	9966709227	
10)	சு. அனாபி	843150597	
11)	A. Venugopal	9440100212	
12)	P. Rani Devi	9848457584	
13)	சு. சிவா	9346229123	
14)	சு. சிவா	9703748418	
15)	P. சிவா	9542325490	
16)	சு. சிவா	9392105106	
17)	Sic. அனாபி	9848809870	சு. அனாபி
18)	சு. சிவா	9959446446	சு. சிவா

Public Consultation Photos - Navvaluru Village


















**2. Attendance Sheet and Photos Report of Public Consultations
- Abbarajupalem Village**

Environmental Public Consultation for 7 Priority Roads and Phase II Roads

Village - Abbaraju Palem
(APCRDA Office)

Date : 19/07/2017

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
1	Guduru Rajeshkara		
2			
3			
4	R. Narasimha Reddy		
5			
6			
7			
8	Sheikh Saibabu		
9	G. Tulasi Rami		
10	Sheikh Bikar		
11	Narasimha		
12	M. Raju Babu		

Public Consultation Photos - Abbarajupalem Village



**3. Attendance Sheet and Photos Report of Public Consultation
- Thulluru Village**

Environmental Public Consultation for 7 Priority Roads and Phase II Roads

Village - Thullur
(APCRDA Office)

Date : 19/07/2017

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
1	K. Chandrashekhara	Andhra Pradesh Representative	
2	అంజలి కె. కె. కె.		
3	కె. కె. కె.	1	K. Srinivas Rao
4	చి. వెంకటేశ్వర్లు		Ch. Venkateswara
5	I. Naranasimha Rao		I. Naranasimha Rao
6	S. Souda	మంత్రి	S. Souda
7	M. Srinivas Reddy		M. Srinivas Reddy
8	K. Srinivas		
9	K. Akhila Devi		K. Akhila Devi
10	N. Venk		కె. వెంక
11	M. RAMBABU		M. Ram Babu
12	K. Appa Rao		K. Appa Rao
13	M. Srinivas Reddy		M. Srinivas Reddy
14	న. వెంక		
15	P. Srinivas		P. Srinivas
16	M. K. Venkiah		

Public Consultation Photos - Thulluru Village



**4. Attendance Sheet and Photo Report of Public Consultations
- Ananthavaram Village**

Environmental Public Consultation for 7 Priority Roads and Phase II Roads

Village - Anantavaram
(APCRDA Office)

Date : 20/07/2017

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
1	M. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	Farmer.	K. S. S. S. S. S.
2	U. Radha Krishna	"	U. S. S. S. S.
3	K. Venkateswara	"	K. S. S. S. S.
4	K. Sambasiva Rao	"	K. S. S. S. S.
5	T. Naga Suresh Rao	"	K. S. S. S. S.
6	N. Jagathal Reddy	"	N. S. S. S. S.
7	T. Nageswara Rao	"	T. S. S. S. S.
8	P. Raghavash	"	P. S. S. S. S.
9	Ch. Suresh	"	Ch. S. S. S.
10	Ch. Parvathi	"	Ch. S. S. S.
11	K. Ratha Krishna	"	K. S. S. S. S.
12	P. Kalyan Chakravarthy	"	P. S. S. S. S.
13	Ch. Rambabu	"	Ch. S. S. S.
14	Ch. Srinivasa Rao	"	Ch. S. S. S.
15	P. Narasimha Rao	"	P. S. S. S. S.
16	P. Venkateswara	"	P. S. S. S. S.

Village - Anantavaram
(APCRDA Office)

Date : 20/07/2017

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
17	P. Haldharguna Rao	Partner	P. Haldharguna Rao
18	P. Narasimha Rao	"	P. Narasimha Rao
19	P. Madhava Rao	"	P. Madhava Rao
20	T. Vajanthi Rao	"	T. Vajanthi Rao
21	K. Rina Prasad	"	K. Rina Prasad
22	S. Murat	"	S. Murat
23	K. Narasimha Swamy	"	K. Narasimha Swamy

Public Consultation Photos - Ananthavaram Village















**5. Attendance Sheet and Photos Report of Public Consultation
- Rayapudi Village**

Environmental Public Consultation for 7 Priority Roads and Phase II Roads

Village - Rayapudi
(Thullur APCRDA Office)

Date : 20/07/2017

Sl. No	Name	Designation	Signature
1)	N Venkateswar Rao.	Villager.	
2)	N. Hanumanth Rao		
3)	N. Venkatesh		
4)	P. Sankar Reddy		
5)	T. S. Lakshmi		
6)	B. S. Lakshmi		
7)	B. S. Lakshmi		
7)	P. Sankar Reddy		
10)	J. Sridhar		
11)	Subbavenu Prasad		
12)	Battala Parki		
13)	J. Titumalaka		
14)	B. S. Lakshmi		
15)	B. S. Lakshmi		

Public Consultation Photos - Rayapudi Village




**6. Photo Report and Attendance sheet of Public Consultations
- Penumaka Village**

21/7/17 21st July 2017

Focus Group Discussion for ASCCDP conducted

Name of the Village: Penumaka Group Name: F GD on Marginal farmers.

Sr. No	Name	Aadhaar No.	Sign.
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>		<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>	8761 7380 2056	
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>	5651 3029 5261	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>		<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>	8761 7380 2056	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>
	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>	431150028983	<u>P.V. Reddy</u>
	<u>K. Parthasarathy Reddy</u>	7877 1579 8923	<u>K. Parthasarathy Reddy</u>
	<u>Kallam. Rajasekhar Reddy</u>	8670 4876 8145	<u>Kallam. Rajasekhar Reddy</u>
	<u>K. Bhahma Reddy</u>	308282904317	<u>K. Bhahma Reddy</u>

Name of the Village: Penumaka Group Name: FGD. LA / SUGAR BOSS

Sr. No	Name	Aadhaar No.	Sign.
1	<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>		<u>M. Siva Sambar Reddy</u>
2	<u>P. Samba Siva Rao</u>		<u>P. Samba Siva Rao</u>

Public Consultation Photos - Penumaka Village




**7. Photo Report and Attendance sheet of Public Consultation
- Yerrabalem Village**


22nd July 2017

L.P.S. Focus Group Discussion for ASCCDP

Name of the Village: Yerrabalem Group Name: Marginal farmers

Sr. No	Name	Aadhaar No.	Sign.
1	Dome Venanjaneeyulu	9007 4922 9005	D.Venanjaneeyulu
2	Remini Smt. Sambaswarao	3490605792 ⁷⁸⁰⁷	R.Sambaswarao
3	P. Venkateswar Rao	528705012417 9393845528	P.Venkateswar Rao
4	చిరుమల సుబ్బారావు	8178 32626309	CH. సుబ్బారావు
5	కొండలపాటి వెంకటేశ్వరరావు	9625287234 ⁴³³	K.Venkatashwarao
6	కొండలపాటి వెంకటేశ్వరరావు		కొండలపాటి వెంకటేశ్వరరావు
7	Y. Venkataswami		

Name of the Village: Yerrabalem Group Name: pensioners

Sr. No	Name	Aadhaar No.	Sign.
	బి.బి.వి. కుమారి		B. B. V. Kumari
	Mancham Vidya Vathi		M. Vidya
	T. వెంకటేశ్వరరావు		T. Venkateswarao
	Y. Venkateswami		
	కొండలపాటి వెంకటేశ్వరరావు		కొండలపాటి వెంకటేశ్వరరావు
	S. D. Prasad Rao		S. D. Prasad Rao
	M. Mahalakshmi		

Public Consultation Photos - Yerrabalem Village



న్యాయం చేయండి!

- ప్రత్యామ్నాయం చూపాలి
- 6 నెలల్లో ఇళ్ల నిర్మాణం చేసుకుంటాం
- అప్పటి వరకు కదిలించవద్దు
- సీఆర్డీఏ అధికారులకు రోడ్డు బాధితుల వినతి



రోడ్డు బాధితులు, తుళ్లూరు గ్రామస్థులతో మాట్లాడుతున్న సీఆర్డీఏ అధికారులు. వరల్డ్ బ్యాంకు ప్రతినిధి

తుళ్లూరు: ఇళ్ల కోల్పోయేవారికి తగిన న్యాయం జరగాలని తుళ్లూరు ఐసజెరు వ్యవస్థాపక చైర్మన్ రోడ్డు బాధితులు సీఆర్డీఏ అధికారులు, ప్రపంచ బ్యాంకు ప్రతినిధికి విన్నవించారు. తుళ్లూరు పడమర భాగంలో ఎన్-14 రోడ్డు నిర్మాణం జరుగుతున్నది. అందులో భాగంగా 14 కుటుంబాల వారు ఇళ్ల కోల్పోవాలి వస్తున్నది. బాధితుల పరిహారం, వారి అభ్యంతరాలు తెలుసుకోవడానికి తుళ్లూరు సీఆర్డీఏ కార్యాలయంలో బుధవారం అధికారులు ఈ సమావేశం నిర్వహించారు. ఇళ్ల కోల్పోయేవారికి వెంటనే ప్రత్యామ్నాయం చూపాలని కోరారు. ఇంటి నిర్మాణం చేస్తే ప్రస్తుతం ఎంత వ్యయం అవుతుందో అంత ఇవ్వాలని కోరారు. సెంటు కు రెండు సెంటు కేటాయించాలన్నారు. ఆరు నెలల్లో ఇంటినిర్మాణం చేసుకుంటామని అంధాక తమను కదిలించవద్దని సూచించారు. అదై ఇళ్లలో కన్నా సొంత ఇంటి నిర్మాణం చేసుకొని అందులో ఉండటమే ఇష్టమని, అప్పటిదాక గడువు కావాలని కోరారు. రాజధానివాసులకు ప్రదమ ప్రాధాన్యం అన్నారు. అది జరగటం లేదన్నారు. నిర్మాణ కంపెనీలు, ఇతర కంపెనీల్లో వేరే ప్రాంతంవారు ఉపాధి పొందుతున్నారని, ఇక్కడి వ్యవసాయ కూలీలకు ఉపాధి చూపటంలేదన్నారు.

పైపులైన్లు పగులుతున్నాయో...

గ్రామంలో తాగునీటి వసతి కోసం ఏర్పాటు చేసుకున్న పైపులైన్లు రాజధాని పనులు కారణంగా తరచూ పగులుతున్నాయని సర్పంచ్ ఇందుర్తి నరసింహారావు అధికారుల దృష్టికి తెచ్చారు. కాంట్లాక్టర్లు స్థానికంగా సంప్రదించకుండా ఇష్టంవచ్చినట్లు రోడ్డు పనులు చేస్తూ డ్రైనేజీ సమస్యను తీసుకొస్తున్నట్లు పిర్యాదుచేశారు. తుళ్లూరు తూర్పు భాగంలో ఉన్న వాగు దగ్గర అవగాహన లేకుండా పైపులు వేయటంతో మురుగునీరు గ్రామంలోకి వస్తోందని సర్పంచ్ పిర్యాదుచేశారు. పంచాయతీలను సంప్రదించి అభివృద్ధి పనులు చేపడితే బాగుంటుందని ఆయన సూచించారు. గ్రామస్థులు, రోడ్డు బాధితుల వివరాలను సీఆర్డీఏ అధికారులు నమోదు చేసుకున్నారు. సమావేశంలో వరల్డ్ బ్యాంకు సోషల్ డెవలప్ మెంటు సెక్షన్ల సైట్ విజిటింగ్ డిప్యూటీ సీఆర్డీఏ స్టేట్ డైరెక్టర్ శాస్త్రి, కమ్యూనిటీ మొబిలైజర్ గాయిత్రి, రీసెర్చ్ అసోసియేట్ ప్రజ్జ, ఆర్టీ అసోసియేట్ ఎన్వైరాన్మెంట్ సబ్బయ్య పాల్గొన్నారు.



**Proceedings and Minutes of the Stake Holders Meeting on 4th
of April 2017 at AP CRDA Office, Thulluru, Guntur District**

District	: Guntur	Date	: 04.04.2017
Locality	: Andhra Pradesh Capital City Region	Time	: 11:00 a.m. to 02.00 p.m.
Municipality / Corporation	: AP CRDA & ADC	Venue	: AP CRDA Conference Hall, Thulluru.
Sub-project	: Public Consultation on Draft EA & EMP Reports for 7 Priority Roads & 11 Roads.	Duration	: 3.00 hrs.

I. Participants

1. 32 members Consisting of

- a. farmers who have - participated in LPS, affected by land acquisition, affected by roads passing through their sites in village sites
- b. main representatives from associations / NGOs -
 - i. Rajadhani Rytu Parirakshana Samiti
 - ii. Thullur Educational Society
- c. Public Representatives
- d. Aarvee Associates
- e. Representatives from Print and Electronic Media

2. Line department officials: 16

Competent Authorities from revenue units, Officials of - CRDA, ADC, PHED, Irrigation, Urban Development, Forests, etc.

3. Observers:

Sr. Environmental Expert
from The World Bank

The participants included women representatives as well. The list of Public Consultation participants consisting of name, mobile number and signature are filed in the Project dossiers and can be accessed by interested stakeholders.



II. Introduction

Draft Environmental Assessment & Environmental Management Plan (EA-EMP) reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads were prepared and disclosed on 04-03-2017 on the website of CRDA under the World Bank supported "Amaravati Sustainable Capital City Development Project (ASCCDP)" section. The public is notified through public notice on news. Comments and suggestions on the draft documents were invited.

The public consultation Workshop on Draft EA-EMP reports for 7 & 11 Priority Roads is conducted on 04-04-2017 for accomplishing wider awareness, share contents of the documents and seek feedback from the participants leading to finalization of the documents and adopting for the Bank supported project - ASCCDP.

A Public Notice in both Telugu and English was published well before the workshop date and wide publicity was undertaken within the capital city about the workshop by CRDA.

B.L. Chennakesava Rao, Director-Lands of APCRDA chaired the workshop and welcomed all the participants. J.S.R.K. Sastry, Director-Strategy of APCRDA briefed the day's agenda of workshop and encouraged participants to voice their feedback after a brief presentation on Draft EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads for addressing them and incorporating the same into EA-EMP reports duly adopting the World Bank norms.

III. Public Suggestions and Feedback

The following participants voiced their suggestions during the consultation workshop which are summarized below. Detailed speaker wise record of discussions is available with APCRDA/Aarvee project file.

1. K. Panakala Reddy, Penumaka, 2. Mr. Dhanekula Ramarao, Nelapadu, 3. Mr. Ponnakonda Srinivasa Rao, Thullur, 4. Mr. P. Surendra Babu, Thullur, 5. Mr. Nutalapati Sivaramakrishna, Thullur 6. Mr. Kambampati Balakrishna, Thullur, 7. Mr. Lanka Sudhakar, Venkatayapalem, 8. Mr. Jonnalagadda Venkateswara Rao, Thullur Education Society, 9. Mr. Ganesh, Abbarajupalem, 10. Mr. Gantapati Narasimha Rao, Krishnayapalem. In addition, a few other speakers briefly spoken on this issue.

Issue - wise suggestions /feedback is precisely minuted below:

A. Environmental

1. Due to road construction water flow to the existing ponds will be stopped and tanks will not be filled. Due to this ground water level will be reduced after

certain period and necessary mitigation measures to be adopted during the design stage and ensure better water storage/supply.

2. Requested to check the idea of planting the saplings before commencement of construction activity. Requested for affected trees transplantation. Suggested to encourage citizen participation in every village in maintenance of avenue plantation.
3. Existing Land use pattern- Showed that agricultural area as %. Shall be reworked. CRDA suggested to consider the project area as vacant land as the land was already acquired by CRDA under Land Pooling scheme two years before the study period.
4. Blue Bull animal. Need to remove blue bull from flora and fauna para.
5. Monitoring of Air pollution. Mr J.S.R.K. Sastry suggested to incorporate the method followed, sampling protocol, transportation method, accreditation lab details etc. Limitations and assumptions to be discussed. Suggested to consider other than the vehicular pollution in the capital city area.
6. Impact on water spread area. Suggested to categorize the water spread area as natural, and manmade ponds separately with usage and depth.
7. Avenue plantation - Suggested to provide more no of plants inconsideration with Forest Department Guidelines.
8. Monitoring of Air, Water Noise quality, baseline data. Suggested to monitor the pre-monsoon at sample locations (approx. 10 locations) and analyse in capital city area.
9. Water spread area for 11 roads need to be corrected. Suggested to categorize natural, manmade ponds area and need to discuss road wise ponds, tanks etc.
10. Need to show the water flow details to existing ponds and restoration plan of ground water levels in the ponds. Suggested to show the water flow details to existing ponds in maps and discuss the restoration mechanism of ground water levels in pond areas especially Thulluru tank and Chakalicheruvu tank areas.
11. Need to discuss major challenges faced during preparation of EIA

B. Engineering

1. Requested for extension of E-6 road from Thulluru to Nelapadu for a length of 2.10 km.
2. Impact on irrigation tanks should be minimal and suggested to conduct the consultations during design preparation to avoid irrigation tanks, provision of under passes and service road
3. Need to provide underpasses whenever crossing is required. To continue the access to the existing road network to the villages, they are requested to construct the underpasses as priority.

C. Social

1. Thullur education society school will be affected due to proposed N-14 road. Requested to avoid the education centre.
2. Replacement cost for the affected structures. Requested for more compensation.
3. Need to discuss the project benefits and suggested to discuss the project benefits such as social, economic growth and population will be benefited etc., by each road for every 10 years.

IV. Closing Remarks

The consultation workshop concluded with the receipt and acknowledgement of the comments and views expressed by the participants for which suitable measures will be taken to resolve in the context of the EA-EMP reports of 7 & 11 Priority Roads documents.

Those issues which are outside the scope of the EA-EMP documents have been compiled and circulated to the respective authorities for taking necessary further steps.

Further it is intimated that feedback by email or telephone to APCRDA or Aarvee is also accepted till 15th April 2017. Respective contacts were shared during the end of presentation.

Photo Report of consultation workshop



Photographs Showing Briefing of the Agenda of meeting and EA&EMP Report



Photographs Showing the Issues Raised by Public

Invitation for attending meeting (Telugu & English Notice)

**ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజధాని ప్రాంత అభివృద్ధి ప్రాధికార సంస్థ
విజయవాడ**

ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజధాని ప్రాంత అభివృద్ధి ప్రాధికార సంస్థ(APCRDA), విజయవాడ ఏప్రిల్ 4వ తేదీన ఉదయం 10.00 గం.లకు తుమ్మూరు కార్యాలయం, గుంటూరు జిల్లా ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్రమునందు ఈ క్రింది నివేదికలపై సదస్సును ఏర్పాటు చేస్తున్నట్లుగా డా. శ్రీధర్ చెరుకూరి, కమిషనర్, APCRDA ఒక ప్రకటనలో తెలిపారు:

1. ఏడు ఉపరహదారులకు సంబంధించిన సామాజిక ప్రభావ అంచనా - పునరావాస కార్యవరణ ప్రణాళిక
2. పదకొండు ఉపరహదారులకు సంబంధించిన సామాజిక ప్రభావ అంచనా - పునరావాస కార్యవరణ ప్రణాళిక
3. ఏడు ఉపరహదారులకు సంబంధించిన పర్యావరణ ప్రభావ అంచనా - పర్యావరణ నిర్వహణ ప్రణాళిక
4. పదకొండు ఉపరహదారులకు సంబంధించిన పర్యావరణ ప్రభావ అంచనా - పర్యావరణ నిర్వహణ ప్రణాళిక

పై నివేదికలపై ఈ సదస్సులో ప్రజలు, స్వచ్ఛందసంస్థల ప్రతినిధులు, మేధావులు, ప్రకృతి ప్రేమిలు, సామెనేకులు, ఇతర ప్రముఖ శాఖల అధికారులు పాల్గొని తమ సలహాలు, సూచనలు ఇవ్వవలసినదిని కోరడమైనది.

 **Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority**
Lenin Center, Govermentpet, Vijayawada - 520 002 (A.P)

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Draft EIA and EMPs, SIA/RAP for 7 Priority roads and 11 Priority roads have been disclosed on the CRDA website. A consultation workshop will be held on **04.04.2017** at 10.00AM at APCRDA - Thulluru Office, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.

The concerned experts and stakeholders including Public and NGO's are invited to submit their suggestions/concerns within 15 days from the date of this publication and/or participate in the above workshop. The suggestions/concerns will be reviewed and suitably incorporated in these documents for effective implementation of the projects.

For full details, please visit our website: www.crda.ap.gov.in

Sd/- Commissioner, APCRDA

రవాణా..రమ్!

- రాజధానిలో ఏడు, 11 ప్రాధాన్య రోడ్లలో ఒక ర్యాపిడ్ ట్రాన్సిట్ లోన్లు
- దూసుకుపోతున్న పాదచారుల బస్సులు
- అనురాహిత్య మిత్రమందు అందరినీ నిర్ణయం

రాజధాని పనిలో శాసనసభ ప్రధాన్య రోడ్లపై బస్సులు రెండు రూపులలో ఉన్నాయి. ఇందులోని ఒకటి ఏర్పడితే రోడ్లను విస్తరించేందుకు అవకాశం కలగవచ్చునని అధికారి నిర్ణయించారు. దీనిలో ప్రజా రవాణా లోన్, అనేకా అండ్ టోకెన్లు ఉత్తమ ఖాతాలో వచ్చే విధంగా అందరినీ తేలిక చేస్తే వాదనలు ఎదురవుతాయి.

భవిష్యత్తులో మహానగరమే

తుల్యం: భవిష్యత్తు అవసరాలను అనుగుణంగా మహానగరంగా మార్చలేదని అందులో ప్రధానంగా ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణాన్ని ప్రపంచవ్యాప్త సహకారంతో నిర్వహించాలని ప్రపంచ బ్యాంకు సీనియర్ మేనేజర్ రజ సుబ్రహ్మణ్యం ఆసక్తికర సీతారామకృష్ణ తెలిపారు. తుల్యం సీబీడీ సీట్ కారణంగానే మంగళవారు రహదారిని నిర్మాణంపై పీల్డ్ అనౌన్స్ చేశారు. ఈ సందర్భంగా సీతారామకృష్ణ మాట్లాడుతూ ప్రపంచబ్యాంకులో రవాణా, టోకెన్ వసతులు అభివృద్ధి చేసేందుకు సీబీడీ సీట్ ప్రణాళిక రూపొందించినట్లు తెలుస్తోంది. ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల సముద్రమట్టానికి 18 నుంచి 20 మీటర్ల ఎత్తులో నిర్మించాలని తెలిపారు. ఏడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణం రూ. 915 కోట్లు, 11 రోడ్ల నిర్మాణానికి రూ. 1149.41 కోట్లు అంచనాలు రూపొందించినట్లు తెలిపారు.

బాధించు సంగతులు...? : రాజధాని నిర్మాణంలో ప్రధాన పాత రోడ్లపై ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణంలో ఇళ్ల కోల్పోతున్న యజమానుల సంగతి ఏమీమీ ప్రైవేటు సమస్య వ్యక్తికి తెల్లం. ప్రైవేటు సూచించిన సలహాలు అప్పుడు ప్రభుత్వం వ్యక్తికి తీసుకువెళ్లి వ్యాపారం చేస్తామని చెప్పారు. కార్యక్రమంలో సీబీడీ సీట్ లైసెన్స్ తీసుకోవడానికి, డిమాండ్ తగ్గిస్తే, ప్రైవేటు డిమాండ్ ప్రణాళిక రూపొందించినట్లు తెలుస్తోంది. ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల సముద్రమట్టానికి 18 నుంచి 20 మీటర్ల ఎత్తులో నిర్మించాలని తెలిపారు.

రాజధానిలో.. రవాణా.. రమ్!

- రాజధానిలో ఏడు, 11 ప్రాధాన్య రోడ్లలో ఒక ర్యాపిడ్ ట్రాన్సిట్ లోన్లు
- దూసుకుపోతున్న పాదచారుల బస్సులు
- వివిధాల వ్యవధిలో గమ్యస్థానాలు చేరుకోవచ్చు ప్రజలు
- నిర్ణయించిన అనురాహిత్య మిత్రమందు అందరినీ నిర్ణయం

రాజధాని పనిలో శాసనసభ ప్రధాన్య రోడ్లపై బస్సులు రెండు రూపులలో ఉన్నాయి. ఇందులోని ఒకటి ఏర్పడితే రోడ్లను విస్తరించేందుకు అవకాశం కలగవచ్చునని అధికారి నిర్ణయించారు. దీనిలో ప్రజా రవాణా లోన్, అనేకా అండ్ టోకెన్లు ఉత్తమ ఖాతాలో వచ్చే విధంగా అందరినీ తేలిక చేస్తే వాదనలు ఎదురవుతాయి.

ప్రణాళికాబద్ధంగా రోడ్లు

● **పీల్డ్ సెంట్రల్ ప్రతినిధుల ప్రజాసభ**

తుల్యం: శాసనసభలో ఏర్పడిన ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణంపై ప్రపంచబ్యాంకు సీనియర్ మేనేజర్ రజ సుబ్రహ్మణ్యం ఆసక్తికర సీతారామకృష్ణ తెలిపారు. తుల్యం సీబీడీ సీట్ కారణంగానే మంగళవారు రహదారిని నిర్మాణంపై పీల్డ్ అనౌన్స్ చేశారు. ఈ సందర్భంగా సీతారామకృష్ణ మాట్లాడుతూ ప్రపంచబ్యాంకులో రవాణా, టోకెన్ వసతులు అభివృద్ధి చేసేందుకు సీబీడీ సీట్ ప్రణాళిక రూపొందించినట్లు తెలుస్తోంది. ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల సముద్రమట్టానికి 18 నుంచి 20 మీటర్ల ఎత్తులో నిర్మించాలని తెలిపారు.



వనకపాలయంలో ప్రజాసభలో ఏడు, పది కొడు ప్రధాన్య రోడ్లపై ప్రతినిధుల సభ










వినియోగదారుల సేవలను అందించే వ్యవస్థను ఏర్పాటు చేసేందుకు ప్రజాసభలో ప్రతినిధుల సభ నిర్వహించారు. ప్రతినిధుల సభలో ప్రతినిధుల సభ నిర్వహించారు. ప్రతినిధుల సభలో ప్రతినిధుల సభ నిర్వహించారు.

10 కోటి రూపాయల వ్యయంతో అనురాహిత్య మిత్రమందు అందరినీ నిర్ణయం

ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణంపై 10 కోటి రూపాయల వ్యయంతో అనురాహిత్య మిత్రమందు అందరినీ నిర్ణయించారు. ప్రధాన్య రోడ్ల నిర్మాణంపై 10 కోటి రూపాయల వ్యయంతో అనురాహిత్య మిత్రమందు అందరినీ నిర్ణయించారు.

Details of Participants Attended the Public Consultation Workshop

Public Consultation Workshop on EA&EMP for 7 & 11 Roads on 04.04.2017 at Thullur Office			
Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
1.	K. Karanmulla Bathu	Sr. Expt Aryas Associa	
2.	G.V. Ramana	ADC / Sr. Eng.	
3.	D. Peddi Raju	Dr. collid, COA	
4.	M. Seshi Reddy	"	
5.	K. Madhusudhana Rao	C.A., Koragalla unit 4	
6.	M. Daba	CA & DC Koragalla-2	
7.	V. SUBBA RAO	CA, Venakal unit-22	
8.	K. LALITHA	C.A. Mowla-2 Koragalla	
9.	K. Sombi Reddy	C.D. DANEMBER	
10.	K. Bhatkoti Reddy	Sar. Parish. Rameswaram	
11.	DHANEKULAKRISHNA RAO	NELAPADU	
12.	G. GNANAPRAKASARAO	Forest Range officer	
13.	Y. GANESH	St.	
14.	D. VIJAYA KUMARI	S-D-C C.P.D.	
15.	G. SAI VISWANATHA	Prison	
16.	G. PRAMANJ KUMAR	Asst. Engr. planner APCRDA	

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Signature
17	కొండవీటి రామారావు	farmer	
18	K Satyanarayana Murthy	Amee Associate	
19	Asaram Alu P	Amee Associate	
20	A. Sitarama Krishna	Scientist & Asst.	
21	P. SURENDRA BABU	FARMER	P Surendra Babu
22	B. Sarada	SDS & CA Unit - 6	
23	P. Parathibhavanth	SDS & CA Unit - 26	
24	కొండవీటి రామారావు	farmer	
25	కొండవీటి రామారావు	farmer	
26	యల్లె వీరవేణుక		
27	L. Sudhakar Reddy	farmer	L. Sudhakar Reddy
28	J. Sankar Reddy	మనోరథి విద్యా సంస్థ Tamil Education Society, Bangalore	J. Sankar Reddy
29	కొండవీటి రామారావు	farmer	
30	J. Vasanth Reddy	OSD (RW Andhra)	J. Vasanth Reddy
31	B. L. Channa - Kanna Rao	Director Amee Associate	
32	Andhra Maha Vikasa	Design Engineer Amee Associate	Andhra I

ANNEXURE -V

Road wise maps for Corridor of Impact (CoI) are attached separately

ANNEXURE -VI

**ENVIRONMENTAL FORMATS
- TO BE ADOPTED DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

FORM 1

DETAILS OF BATCHING PLANT
(To be filled by the Contractor)

Name of Location _____

Report for Batching Plant

Reporting Month.....

Date of Submission.....

1. Environment Features of the surrounding area

1.1	Name and Location of Batching Plant	
1.2	Wind Direction	
1.3	Name (s), distance population and type of settlements in a 1.5km radius of site	

2. Details of Batching Plant and Mitigation Measures taken

2.1	Installed Capacity	
2.2	Average Utilization	
2.3	Last Maintenance date	

3. Explain Air Pollution and Noise Pollution Control Measures taken at the Batching Plant site

Remark

Submitted	Checked	Approved
Signature	Signature	Signature
Name	Name	Name
Designation		
Contractor	Environmental Engineer of Construction Supervision Consultant	In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-2

**DETAILS OF MUCK DUMPING OPERATIONS
(To be filled by the Contractor)**

Dumping site location _____

Reporting Month.....

Date of Submission

1. Environment Features of the surrounding area

1.1	Location of Dumping site	
1.2	Capacity of Dumping Site	
1.3	Safety Measure taken at Dumping (s)	
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
Remark		

Submitted	Checked	Approved
Signature	Signature	Signature
Name	Name	Name
Designation		
Contractor	Environmental Engineer of Construction Supervision Consultant	In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-3

**DETAILS OF MACHINERY DURING CONSTRUCTION
(To be filled Monthly by the Contractor)**

Location Name _____

Reporting Month.....

Date of Submission

1. Details of Machinery Operation

1.1	Total No. of Machinery in Operation (Nos.)	
1.2	Number of pavers	
1.3	Number of Rollers	
1.4	Number of Excavators	
1.5	Number of Graders	
1.6	Number of Dumpers	
1.7	Number of Cranes	
1.8	No. of workshops with repairs facility (furnish location and type of facility provided)	Workshop on Facility Location Provided
1.9	Number of vehicles in repair at each location	
1.10	Details of waste disposal	
1.11	Others	

Remark

Submitted	Checked	Approved
Signature	Signature	Signature
Name	Name	Name
Designation		
Contractor	Environmental Engineer of Construction Supervision Consultant	In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-4

**POLLUTION
MONITORING**

Construction site location _____

_____ Construction

Stage: Report – Date: _____ Month _____

_____ Year _____

Mitigation measures suggested in last report complied or
Not..... If not reasons
thereof.....

(Location at which monitoring to be conducted as per EMP)

Sl. No.	Chainage (km)	Details of Location	Duration of monitoring	Instruments used	Completion	Monitoring Parameters	Standards	Results	Reasons for exceeding standards	Mitigation Measures suggested	Type of area (Residential / Industrial / Commercial)	Remark
1. Air Monitoring (As per National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB (2009))												
		As per Engineer in Charge	As per			PM _{2.5}	60 µg/m ³					
						PM ₁₀	100 µg/m ³					
						SO ₂	80 µg/m ³					
						CO	02 mg/m ³					
						NO _x	80 µg/m ³					
2. Water Monitoring (As per Drinking Water Quality Standards, IS 10500, 2012)												
		As per Charge	As per Section 8.1			pH	6.5–8.5					
						BOD	Nil					
						COD	Nil					

							TDS	500 mgfl					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	----------	--	--	--	--	--

Sl. No.	Chainage (km)	Details of location	Duration of monitoring	Instruments used	Completion	Monitoring Parameters	Standards	Results	Reasons for exceeding standards	Mitigation Measures suggested	Type of area (Residential / Industrial / Commercial)	Remark
						Chlorides	250 mgfl					
						Nitrates	45 mgfl					
						Sulphates	200 mgfl					
						Iron	0.3 mgfl					
						Calcium	75 mgfl					
						Lead	0.01 mgfl					
3. Soil Monitoring												
		As per decision of Engineer in Charge	As per Section 8.1			pH	<7.0 Acid 6.5-7.5 Neutral					
						Organic Matter	0.5-0.75 %					
						Sodium	0-1 %					
						Potassium	2-6 %					
						Chloride	0-1 %					
						Available	280-560					

Sl. No.	Chainage (km)	Details of locations	Duration of monitoring	Instruments used	Completion		Monitoring Parameters	Standards	Results	Reasons for exceeding standards	Mitigation Measures suggested	Type of area (Residential / Industrial / Commercial)	Remark
							Nitrogen						
							Phosphorous	11.5 - 24.5 kgfhac					
							Arsenic	< 20 mgfkg					
							Cadmium	< 1 mgfkg					
							Mercury	< 1 mgfkg					
							Lead	< 35 mgfkg					
							Electric Conductivity	0.0-2.0 Non Saline 4.1-8.0 Saline					
4. Noise Monitoring (As per National Ambient Noise Standards, CPCB)													
		As per decision of Engineer in Charge	As per Section 8.1				L _{day}	Residential-55 dB(A) Commercial-					
							L _{night}	Residential-45 dB(A)					

Sl. No.	Chainage (km)	Details of location	Duration of monitoring	Instruments used	Completion			Monitoring Parameters	Standards	Results	Reasons for exceeding standards	Mitigation Measures suggested	Type of area (Residential / Industrial / Commercial)	Remark
								55 dB(A)						
Remark														

Submitted

Signature

Name

Designation

Contractor

Checked

Signature

Name

Environmental Engineer of

Construction Supervision Consultant

Approved

Signature

Name

In-charge Officer

(ACCORD)

FORM-5

RESTORATION OF CONSTRUCTION SITES

(To be filled by the Contractor)

Construction site location _____
 (Reporting by Contractor to ASCCDP)

Construction stage: Monthly Report – DateMonthYear.

Sl. No.	Contract Package	Labor Camp		Construction Camp		Plant Site		Disposal Locations		Top Soil	
		O	R	O	R	O	R	O	R	Preserved	Restored

Remark:

Submitted

Checked

Approved

Signature

Signature

Signature

Name

Name

Name

Designation

Designation

Designation

Contractor

Environmental engineer (PMC)

In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-6

FORMAT FOR KEEPING RECORDS OF CONSENT OBTAINED BY CONTRACTOR

Construction site location _____

Construction Stage: Report – Date: _____ Month _____ Year _____

Sl. No.	Contractor's Name	Clearance	Applicable Acts	Agencies	Obtained on	Valid up to	Remarks
	CONSTRUCTION SITE LOCATION						

Remarks:

Submitted

Checked

Approved

Signature

Signature

Signature

Name

Name

Name

Designation

Designation

Designation

Contractor

Environmental engineer (PMC)

In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-7**CHECKLIST FOR ENVIRONMENT INSPECTION****(Points / Issues to be covered)**

Construction site location _____

Date of Inspection _____

Environmental Management Plan Measures			
Item	Task	Yes/No	Remarks
1	Provision of a personnel accountable for implementation of EMP Measures with Contractor		
2	Consent of APPCB to Establish Batching Plant		
3	Consent of APPCB to operate Batching Plant		
4	Compliance of APPCB Conditions for Batching Plant installation and operation		
5	Whether compliance reported through monthly Progress report to In-Charge (ASCCDP)		
6	PUC taken for all Construction vehicles		
7	Concrete platform with trap under bitumen boiler, Fuel Tank for Batching Plant and generator set provided or not		
8	Precautions to prevent contamination of soil by emulsion, oil and lubricant taken while storing		
9	Providing cover to fine construction material & bituminous mix during transportation		
10	Muck /debris disposal:		
	a) Present status of land		
	b) Closure and completion plan		
11	Site specific traffic Safety management Plan:		
	a) Contractor installed the warning / regulatory Traffic signs at the construction site		
	b) The arrangement adequate		
12	Safety equipment i.e helmet, gloves, gumboot, mask, earplugs etc. provided to workers		

13	Health Facility at camp and work site i.e. First Aid kit & suitable vehicle for conveyance in case of emergency / accident		
14	Permit for Procuring River sand		
15	Licence from Department of mines for quarrying		
16	Consent to establish / operation of crusher		
17	Provision of labour camp with sanitation & potable water		
18	Fire precautions at Plant and site Office		
19	Air and noise monitoring done in camp site		
20	Whether any cultural property is being impacted		
21	Status of drainage provision in camp area		
22	General House Keeping		

Remarks:.....

Submitted Checked Approved

Signature

Signature

Signature

Name

Name

Name.....

Designation

Designation

Designation

Contractor

Environmental engineer
of Construction
Supervision Consultant

In-charge Officer (ASCCDP)

FORM-8

ENVIRONMENT SUMMARY SHEET

(To be filled monthly by supervisory staff and Submitted to APCRDA/ADC)

Construction site location _____

Month _____ Date _____

Sl No.	Description	Yes/No	Remarks
1	NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE		
A	Cement Batching Plant		
	Location 1		
	Location 2		
	Location 3		
2	POLLUTION UNDER CERTIFICATE		
	Vehicles		
	Machineries		
3	No Objection Certificate for Diesel Gen set		
	Location 1		
	Location 2		
4	Labour Camps		
	No. of sites Identified		
	Approved		
	Opened		
	Conforms to conditions imposed at the time of opening of sites		

	Closed		
5	WORKERS		
	No of workers employed		
	No of male workers		
	No of female workers		
	No of day workers		
6	Borrow Area		
	No. of sites identified		
	Approved		
	Opened		
	Quantity of available material		
	Quantity of material Utilized		
	Quantity of Topsoil preserved		
	Quantity of top soil used		
	No of sites closed		
	No. of sites Rehabilitated		
7	Quarry		
	No. of sites identified		
	Approved		
	Opened		
	Material available		
	Material obtained		
	No. of sites Rehabilitated		
8	Disposal Locations		
	No. of sites identified		
	Approved		
	Opened		
	Amount of Waste disposed		

	Type of waste disposed		
	No. of sites Rehabilitated		
9	Road Safety		
	Road Safety norms and approved Traffic plan		
10	Cleaning of Culvert/ drains		
	No. of culverts/ drains		
	Nos Cleaned		
11	Trees		
	No of trees marked for cutting in field		
	No of trees cut		
	No of trees to be Planted		
	Trees Planted		
12	Haulage Roads		
	Adequacy of maintenance of Haulage Road Network		

Remarks:.....

Submitted

Checked

Approved

Signature

Signature

Signature

Name

Name

Name

Designation

Designation

Designation

Contractor

Environmental Engineer (ADC)

Independent Engineer