

**Minutes of the Citizen Advisory Committee held at 4PM  
on 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2025 at Conference Hall, O/o CRDA,  
Vijayawada**

**Members Present:**

Sl. No.	Name of the member	Position
1	Dr. D. Narayana Rao	Chairman
2	Sri G. Surya Sai Praveen Chand I.A.S	Convenor
3	Dr. Dasari Venkata Laxmi	Women Member
4	Ms. Archana	Women Member
5	Dr. V. Lakshmi Chetana	Women Member
6	Sri Bellamkonda Narasimha Rao	Member
7	Smt. Maineni Girija	Women Member
8	Smt. Gujjarlapudi Anitha	Women Member
9	Sri Ankam Suvarna Kamala	Women Member
10	Sri Sk. Saheb John	Member
11	Sri Nutakki Naga Kishore,	Member
12	Sri Bazawada Ramesh	Member
13	Sri Damineni Srinivasa Rao	Member
14	Sri Bandla Pattabhi Ramaiah	Member
15	Sri Pothuraju Srinivasa Rao	Member
16	Sri Yaragopu Naga Raju	Member
17	Sri Kanteti Brahmaiah	Member
18	Smt Munipalli Kranthi	Women Member
19	Sri Ardala Sada siva Rao	Member
20	Avala Ravi Kiran	Member
21	Thota Rama Rao	Member
22	Vemuri Minor babu	Member

**Agenda:**

1. Introducing the Members of CAC:  
The Chairman, after his initial remarks, has asked the members to introduce themselves which is done by all the members present.
2. Grievances of the Capital City Citizens and Redressal

**The Chairman** has initiated the discussion narrating the scope of the Committee and its objects. He appealed to the members to come out with specific grievances of the Capital City Villages – with specific reference to farmers whose land was assembled for capital development and landless labourers along with infrastructure issues. He assured the members that the issues raised by the members will be addressed by the APCRDA officials, as per their jurisdiction, and larger issues, if any, will be placed before appropriate authorities for their attention. The Chairman invited the members to share their views on the subject. He desired that Amaravati City is to be developed in consonance with the wishes of the farmers and opined that though educational institutes of repute have come and established, there is need to establish schools to meet the demands of the incoming population. He also desired that plans need to be initiated to have facilities for social life in the city by setting up Hotels and other establishments.

**The Convenor, Sri Praveenchand I.A.S.,** has elaborated the land assembly methods followed and the land pooled by the APCRDA and informed the details of land to be pooled under LPS and NSP for the proposed works under AIUDP. He assured that the compensation to NSP affected persons will be deposited in their accounts directly. The RAPs of all sub-programs are disclosed and the all the Project Affected Persons are contacted giving details compensation. It is also informed that eight schools are already given land and they are setting up their campuses and nine reputed Hotels (nine) are also allotted with land to complete construction in time bound manner.

**Prof Archana,** representing National Institute of Design, pointed out that there is no internal road connecting Tullur from their campus as the existing road is not motorable and requested for immediate repairs to enable students to reach their schools. The Additional Commissioner/Convenor assured that it will be attended on priority.

**Sri Bejawada Ramesh**, farmer representative from Mandadam desired that skill development initiatives be scaled up for the benefit of landless families so that they can get employment in enterprises coming up in the capital city. He favoured priority to local people in employment as they lost their livelihood. He wished that local MLA also be made Permanent Invitee of the Committee as elected representative of the area.

**Sri Damineni Srinivas**, farmer representative from Tulluru, has suggested that a DPR be prepared for each village of capital city to upgrade the infrastructure on par with new city.

**Sri Nutakki Naga Kishore**, farmer representative from Mandadam, favoured more skill centres to benefit the youth to seek employment.

**Sri Vemuru Minor Babu**, farmer representative from Nowluru insisted that landless labour of capital city villages be given priority in the employment by the newly established institutions.

**Sri Pothuraju Srinivasa Rao**, farmer representative from Inavolu demanded that Identity Cards be given to CAC members so that they move around the works of capital city and guide them. However, the Chairman opined that this may not be the purpose of the committee and its members.

**Sri B.Pattabhiramayya**, farmer representative from Tulluru, demanded that material supply to work sites be done by constructing bypass roads so that the existing habitation roads will be not be used and damaged by them. He also pointed out that the existing PHC/CHC have no sufficient medical staff to meet the needs of influx of labour for capital works. The Additional Commissioner/Convenor has assured that District Collector, Guntur is already addressed in this regard and the issue will be taken up with Secretary, Health Dept on priority basis.

**Sri Yerragopu Nagaraju**, farmer representative from Inavolu, complained that the existing water supply pipeline system of

his village has become old and often subjected to repairs. He wants that whole system is to be replaced now.

**Sri Kantheti Brahmayya**, farmer representative from Tulluru, observed that Category III assigned farmers are facing problem for registration of returnable plots as they are asking for NOC. The Additional Commissioner/Convener informed that if the farmer submits any one of the original documents is provided the registration will be done and staff will be informed not to insist for NOC from Tahsildar. He requested to arrange that local transport vehicles owned by capital city residents be taken on hire by the contracting agencies to benefit the local people.

**Sri Avala Ravikiran**, farmer representative from Krishnayapalem suggested to remove all encroachments from CRDA owned pooling lands and emphasized that development of greenery in the city.

**Smt A.Suvarna Kamala**, farmer representative from Rayapudi, informed that GO 41 relating to entitlements of assigned farmers was subsequently modified by another GO 316. She requested to cancel the subsequent GO 316 and restore GO 41.

**Smt Myneni Girija**, farmer representative from Dondapadu, requested that landless pensions be restored to those who got employed as Sweepers.

**Smt. Munupalli Kranti**, pensioners' representative from Ananthavaram pointed out that many of the unemployed in the villages are dropouts from school/college having qualification of only SSC/Inter and they need to give some employment.

**Sri Shaik Saheb John**, farmer representative from Rayapudi, emphasized that the buildings under CITIS project are complete and they need to be put to use by inaugurating the same. He demanded that burial grounds are getting affected by works and urgent focus needed on developing alternate facilities.

**Smt G Anitha**, farmer from Uddandarayunipalem, recalled that the appeal of Borupalem and Abburajupalem farmers regarding classification of lands as Dry instead of Jarrebu requires re-examination. The Additional Commissioner/Convenor informed that the issue is examined thoroughly and could not be revisited again.

**Sri Bellamkonda Narasimha Rao**, farmer from Venkatapalem, objected that there are reports that CRDA is reportedly considering giving priority allotment of returnable plots to seed access road affected farmers which is not agreeable to farmers of other villages. Likewise, frequent change of plans regarding location of Iconic Bridge is creating confusion and delaying the project. He questioned that Tourism City is proposed for Venkatapalem, Krishnayapalem, Undavalli and Penumaka and as lands are not pooled in some of these places, no project is coming to these villages affecting the value of land there.

The Meeting is closed with felicitation to Chairman and Convenor by members of Citizen Advisory Committee.



A handwritten signature in green ink, which appears to be 'D. Narayana Rao', is written on a white background. The signature is fluid and cursive.

(Prof. D. Narayana Rao)